

Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms – must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.

- Project Listings:

- New;
- Renewal;
- UFA Costs;
- CoC Planning;
- YHPD Renewal; and
- YHDP Replacement.
- Attachment Requirement

- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- New and Renewal Project Listings – all project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.

- Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved, they are not ranked per the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

- UFA Costs Project Listing;
- CoC planning Project Listing;
- YHPD Renewal Project Listing; and
- YHDP Replacement Project Listing.

- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.

- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.

- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

Collaborative Applicant Name: King County Regional Homelessness Authority

2. Reallocation

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

2-1 Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in Calendar Year 2024 into one or more new projects? Yes

Alert: As stated in the FY 2023 NOFO, CoCs may reallocate renewing Round 1 YHDP projects initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 YHDP Competition.

CoCs **MAY NOT** reallocate YHDP Renewal grants initially awarded Round 2 or later YHDP funding.

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs reallocating eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal project funds to create new CoC project application(s) – as detailed in the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal projects must identify those projects on this form.

CoCs must not reallocate YHDP Renewal grants initially awarded Round 2 or later YHDP funding.

Amount Available for New Project: (Sum of All Eliminated Projects)				
\$105,000				
Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Type of Reallocation
Martin Court	WA0037L0T002215	TH	\$105,000	Regular

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

3-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process. Refer to the FY 2023 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: Martin Court

Grant Number of Eliminated Project: WA0037L0T002215

Eliminated Project Component Type: TH

Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: \$105,000

**3-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified.
(limit 2500 characters)**

Voluntary reallocation.

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs reallocating eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal project funds to create new CoC project application(s) – as detailed in the FY 2023 CoC Program Competition NOFO – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating eligible CoC and Round 1 YHDP renewal projects must identify those projects on this form.

CoCs must not reallocate YHDP Renewal grants initially awarded Round 2 or later YHDP funding.

Amount Available for New Project (Sum of All Reduced Projects)					
\$2,074,176					
Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for new project	Reallocation Type
King County Shelt...	WA0034LOT002215	\$10,315..	\$8,241,643	\$2,074,176	Regular

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and FY 2023 CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide on HUD's website. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

4-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2023 reallocation process. Refer to the FY 2023 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: King County Shelter Plus Care Program - TRA
Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0034LOT002215
Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$10,315,819
Amount Retained for Project: \$8,241,643
Amount available for New Project(s): \$2,074,176
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

4-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

Voluntary reduction based on spending trends while meeting performance expectations.

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD’s website.

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC’s Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which tell us which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Rank	PH/Reallocation	PSH/RRH	Expansion
Snoqualmie Valley...	2023-09-25 23:11:...	PH	King County Regio...	\$287,275	1 Year	63	PH Bonus	PSH	
Nike Manor Joint ...	2023-09-25 23:20:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	King County Regio...	\$252,100	1 Year	62	PH Bonus		
DESC 15th Ave W	2023-09-25 18:41:...	PH	King County Regio...	\$2,367,408	1 Year	61	PH Bonus	PSH	
Atlantic Street C...	2023-09-25 18:40:...	PH	King County Regio...	\$1,512,234	1 Year	60	Reallocation	RRH	

Consejo Rental As...	2023-09-25 18:39:...	PH	King County Regio...	\$631,985	1 Year	59	PH Bonus	RRH	
Seattle Rapid Reh...	2023-09-25 18:42:...	PH	King County Regio...	\$96,114	1 Year	E58	Reallocati on	RRH	Yes
New Ground Sandpo...	2023-09-25 18:42:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	King County Regio...	\$63,309	1 Year	57	PH Bonus		
Roy St Transition ...	2023-09-25 23:09:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	King County Regio...	\$932,718	1 Year	56	Both		
DV/SA Rapid Rehou...	2023-09-25 18:40:...	PH	King County Regio...	\$1,512,343	1 Year	DE64	DV Bonus	RRH	Yes

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.	X
The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.	X
The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.	

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which tell us which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank	PSH/RRH	Comp Type	Consolidation Type	Expansion Type
Ravenna House	2023-09-18 17:29:...	1 Year	YouthCare	\$151,856	51		TH		
Straley House	2023-09-18 17:30:...	1 Year	YouthCare	\$105,602	47		TH		
St. Martin's on W...	2023-09-20 08:27:...	1 Year	Catholic Housing ...	\$197,739	9	PSH	PH		
Broadview Transit...	2023-09-19 18:23:...	1 Year	Solid Ground of W...	\$158,620	46		TH		
Arbor House (New ...	2023-09-18 14:01:...	1 Year	Friends of Youth	\$123,062	44		TH		
Rose of Lima House	2023-09-20 08:27:...	1 Year	Catholic Housing ...	\$115,830	1	PSH	PH		
Home Safe Rapid R...	2023-09-25 18:18:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$421,088	24	RRH	PH		
Kerner Scott House	2023-09-25 18:21:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$443,471	25		SH		
The Inn - Safe Haven	2023-09-25 18:27:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$348,156	19		SH		
Hickman House Joi...	2023-09-25 18:17:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$351,126	11		Joint TH & PH-RRH		
DV/SA Rapid Rehou...	2023-09-25 18:15:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$1,366,918	53	RRH	PH		
FOY - Francis Vil...	2023-09-25 18:16:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$125,000	34	PSH	PH		
Coming Home	2023-09-25 18:13:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$488,420	27		TH		
DESC Greenlake	2023-09-25 18:14:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$1,807,128	35	PSH	PH		

Anita Vista TH/RRH	2023-09-25 18:13:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$331,592	49		Joint TH & PH-RRH		
WA-500 HMIS	2023-09-25 18:29:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$403,714	36		HMIS		
WA-500 Coordina te...	2023-09-25 18:30:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$264,498	38		SSO		
WA-500 Coordina te...	2023-09-25 18:28:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$1,872,500	37		SSO		
Seattle Rapid Reh...	2023-09-25 18:22:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$1,905,413	E15	RRH	PH		Expansion
Severso n Program	2023-09-25 18:21:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$123,286	39		TH		
Salvation Army Wi...	2023-09-25 18:23:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$253,988	50		TH		
Sandpoi nt Youth G...	2023-09-25 18:22:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$324,869	45		TH		
Rapid Rehou si ng f...	2023-09-25 18:26:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$1,646,351	41	RRH	PH		
Regional RRH for ...	2023-09-25 18:24:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$4,059,960	40	RRH	PH		
Lyon Building	2023-09-25 16:30:...	1 Year	Downtow n Emergen c...	\$524,317	28	PSH	PH		
Multicult ural IDD...	2023-09-25 18:19:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$709,392	33	PSH	PH		
My Friend's Place...	2023-09-25 18:18:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$403,752	54		Joint TH & PH-RRH		
King County Conso...	2023-09-25 18:20:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$1,392,670	55	RRH	PH		
Mi Casa	2023-09-25 18:20:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$64,613	42		TH		
YWCA Supporti ve H...	2023-09-25 19:54:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$231,080	16	PSH	PH		
Valley Cities Lan...	2023-09-25 19:56:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$221,596	26	PSH	PH		

Scattered Site Le...	2023-09-25 19:48:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$818,722	20	PSH	PH		
Ozanam House Cons...	2023-09-25 19:51:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$439,282	5	PSH	PH		
PHG 7th and Cherry	2023-09-25 19:49:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$265,297	6	PSH	PH		
Cascade Women's S...	2023-09-25 20:00:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$116,182	7	PSH	PH		
Auburn Family PSH	2023-09-25 19:36:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$57,540	29	PSH	PH		
Family Village Re...	2023-09-25 19:56:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$117,819	2	PSH	PH		
DESC Consolidated...	2023-09-25 19:46:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$4,077,812	23	PSH	PH		
Hobson Place	2023-09-25 19:47:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$552,406	C31	PSH	PH	Individual	
Williams Apartments	2023-09-25 19:50:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$673,870	13	PSH	PH		
King County Shelt...	2023-09-25 20:05:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$1,514,894	14	PSH	PH		
Patrick Place	2023-09-25 19:41:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$182,238	12	PSH	PH		
Thea Bowman Apart...	2023-09-25 19:54:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$207,657	10	PSH	PH		
Nyer Urness	2023-09-25 20:09:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$690,799	4	PSH	PH		
Avalon Place	2023-09-25 19:52:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$47,457	32	PSH	PH		
Sandpoint Familie...	2023-09-25 19:47:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$393,823	8	PSH	PH		
DESC Consolidated...	2023-09-25 19:47:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$2,492,645	21	PSH	PH		
King County Conso...	2023-09-25 19:54:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$7,506,198	3	PSH	PH		

Ronald Commons	2023-09-25 20:05:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$183,530	22	PSH	PH		
King County Shelt...	2023-09-26 12:41:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$8,241,643	17	PSH	PH		
Noel House at Bak...	2023-09-26 13:06:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$220,908	18	PSH	PH		
DESC Clement Plac...	2023-09-26 13:18:...	1 Year	King County Depar...	\$1,609,303	C30	PSH	PH	Survivor	

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which tell us which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
WA-500 CoC Planning	2023-09-26 14:14:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$1,500,000	Yes

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP Renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps. .

As stated in the FY 2023 NOFO, CoCs must rank all YHDP Renewal projects that HUD initially funded in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP Competition.
https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing YHDP renewal projects.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which tell us which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted ?	Rank	PSH/RRH	Consolidation Type
WA-500 YHDP Behav...	2023-09-25 18:28:...	King County Regio...	\$96,188	SSO	1 Year	Yes	48		
WA-500 YHDP - YET...	2023-09-25 18:16:...	King County Regio...	\$1,209,637	PH	1 Year	Yes	52	RRH	
WA-500 YHDP - Bri...	2023-09-25 18:28:...	King County Regio...	\$1,795,466	Joint TH & PH-RRH	1 Year	Yes	43		

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: WA-500 YHDP Behavioral Health Crisis Response
Project Number: 214323
Date Submitted: 2023-09-25 18:28:55.187
Applicant Name: King County Regional Homelessness Authority
Budget Amount: \$96,188
Project Type: SSO
Program Type: SSO
Component Type: SSO
Grant Term: 1 Year
Priority Type:

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

If the YHDP Renewal project was initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP competition, you must first answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" Round 1 YHDP Renewal project applications must be ranked based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection process.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

Do you want to rank this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Rank 48

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: WA-500 YHDP - YET & Nav/Div Consolidated
Project Number: 214322
Date Submitted: 2023-09-25 18:16:56.615
Applicant Name: King County Regional Homelessness Authority
Budget Amount: \$1,209,637
Project Type: PH
Program Type: PH
Component Type: PH
Grant Term: 1 Year
Priority Type:

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save & Back to List." If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

If the YHDP Renewal project was initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP competition, you must first answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" Round 1 YHDP Renewal project applications must be ranked based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection process.

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save" and a new field labeled "Rank" will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click "Save & Back to List." If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

Do you want to rank this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Rank 52

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: WA-500 YHDP - Bridge Housing
Project Number: 214321
Date Submitted: 2023-09-25 18:28:35.146
Applicant Name: King County Regional Homelessness Authority

Budget Amount \$1,795,466
Project Type Joint TH & PH-RRH
Program Type Joint TH & PH-RRH
Component Type Joint TH & PH-RRH
Grant Term 1 Year
Priority Type

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project applications that were selected for review.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

If the YHDP Renewal project was initially funded by HUD in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP competition, you must first answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" Round 1 YHDP Renewal project applications must be ranked based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection process.

If “Yes” is selected, click “Save” and a new field labeled “Rank” will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click “Save & Back to List.” If “No” is selected, click “Save.” A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click “Save & Back to List.”

Do you want to rank this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Rank 43

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Replacement Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Replacement Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions and CoC Priority Listing Navigational Guide available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Replacement project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Replacement Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2023 NOFO, CoCs must rank all YHDP Replacement applications for projects replacing YHDP Renewal projects that HUD initially funded in the FY 2016 (Round 1) YHDP Competition.

https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/comm_planning/coc/competition.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which tell us which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted?	Rank
This list contains no items							

Funding Summary

Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after you approved and ranked the New, Renewal, Round 1 YHDP Renewal and Round 1 YHDP Replacement projects, or rejected project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate.

The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC's Collaborative Applicant will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
Renewal Amount	\$51,347,632
New Amount	\$7,655,486
CoC Planning Amount	\$1,500,000
YHDP Amount - Competitive	\$3,101,291
YHDP Amount - Non-Competitive	\$0
Rejected Amount	\$0
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	\$63,604,409

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Yes	Certification of ...	09/26/2023
Other	No		
Other	No		
Project Rating and Ranking Tool (optional)	No	Project Rating an...	09/26/2023

Attachment Details

Document Description: Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Project Rating and Ranking Tool

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY2021 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

WARNING: The FY2021 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1A. Identification	08/08/2023
2. Reallocation	09/21/2023
3. Grant(s) Eliminated	09/25/2023
4. Grant(s) Reduced	09/25/2023
5A. CoC New Project Listing	09/25/2023
5B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	09/26/2023
5D. CoC Planning Project Listing	09/26/2023
5E. YHDP Renewal Project Listing	09/25/2023

5F. YHDP Replacement Project Listing	No Input Required
Funding Summary	No Input Required
Attachments	09/26/2023
Submission Summary	No Input Required

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: _____

Project Name: _____

Location of the Project: _____

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: _____

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: _____

Title: _____

Signature: Debra J. Rhinehart

Date: _____

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

Instructions for completing the HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

The following information must be completed by the Continuum of Care's designated Collaborative Applicant. If the CoC has multiple projects, it may complete a single HUD-2991 for the jurisdiction provided the Collaborative Applicant includes a list of all projects with applicant names, project names, and locations that will be submitted to HUD with the form when forwarding to the jurisdiction for signature. If there are multiple jurisdictions located within a CoC's geographic area, it must obtain a signed HUD-2991 for each jurisdiction where projects are located.

Completed by the CoC's Collaborative Applicant:

Applicant Name. Enter the name of the project applicant's organization.

Project Name. Enter the name of the project application that will be submitted to HUD in the Continuum of Care Program Competition.

Location of the Project. Enter the physical address of the project; however, if the project is designated as a domestic violence project, enter a P.O. Box or address of the main administrative office provided it is not the same address as the project.

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction. Enter the name of jurisdiction that will review the project information and certify consistency with the Consolidated Plan (e.g., City of..., County, State).

Must be completed by the certifying jurisdiction.

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction. Enter the name of the official who will sign the form.

Title. Enter the official title of the certifying official (e.g., mayor, county judge, state official).

Signature. The certifying official is to sign the form.

Date. Enter the date the certifying official signs the form.

**U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development**

**Certification of Consistency Plan
with the Consolidated Plan
for the Continuum of Care
Program Competition**

I certify the proposed activities included in the Continuum of Care (CoC) project application(s) is consistent with the jurisdiction's currently approved Consolidated Plan.

Applicant Name: See attached List of Projects with multiple applicants


Project Name: See attached List of Projects

Location of the Project: See attached List of Projects

Name of
Certifying Jurisdiction: King County

Certifying Official
of the Jurisdiction Name: Simon P. Foster

Title: Division Director, Housing, Homelessness, and Community Development

Signature: 
C30AFE4966584FE...

Date: 9/21/2023

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 3.0 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing the form, attaching a list of projects if submitting one form per jurisdiction, obtaining local jurisdiction's signature, and uploading to the electronic e-snaps CoC Consolidated Application. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Privacy Act Statement. This form does not collect SSN information. The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is authorized to collect all the information required by this form under 24 CFR part 91, 24 CFR Part 578, and is authorized by the McKinney-Vento Act, as amended by S. 896 The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act of 2009 (42 U.S.C. 11371 et seq.).

HUD considers the completion of this form, including the local jurisdiction(s) authorizing official's signature, as confirmation the project application(s) proposed activities submitted to HUD in the CoC Program Competition are consistent with the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and, if the project applicant is a state or unit of local government, that the jurisdiction is following its Consolidated Plan per the requirement of 24 CFR part 91. Failure to either submit one form per project or one form with a listing of project information for each field (i.e., name of applicant, name of project, location of project) will result in a technical deficiency notification that must be corrected within the number of days designated by HUD, and further failure to provide missing or incomplete information will result in project application removal from the review process and rejection in the competitive process.

Instructions for completing the HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

The following information must be completed by the Continuum of Care's designated Collaborative Applicant. If the CoC has multiple projects, it may complete a single HUD-2991 for the jurisdiction provided the Collaborative Applicant includes a list of all projects with applicant names, project names, and locations that will be submitted to HUD with the form when forwarding to the jurisdiction for signature. If there are multiple jurisdictions located within a CoC's geographic area, it must obtain a signed HUD-2991 for each jurisdiction where projects are located.

Completed by the CoC's Collaborative Applicant:

Applicant Name. Enter the name of the project applicant's organization.

Project Name. Enter the name of the project application that will be submitted to HUD in the Continuum of Care Program Competition.

Location of the Project. Enter the physical address of the project; however, if the project is designated as a domestic violence project, enter a P.O. Box or address of the main administrative office provided it is not the same address as the project.

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction. Enter the name of jurisdiction that will review the project information and certify consistency with the Consolidated Plan (e.g., City of..., County, State).

Must be completed by the certifying jurisdiction.

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction. Enter the name of the official who will sign the form.

Title. Enter the official title of the certifying official (e.g., mayor, county judge, state official).

Signature. The certifying official is to sign the form.

Date. Enter the date the certifying official signs the form.

**Continuum of Care: Seattle King County, Washington WA-500
 Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan: List of Projects**

Renewals:

Applicant	Project	Address
Archdiocesan Housing Authority	Rose of Lima House	120 Bell Street Seattle, WA 98121
Archdiocesan Housing Authority	St. Martin's on Westlake	2008 Westlake Ave. Seattle, WA 98121
Downtown Emergency Service Center	Lyon Building	607 3rd Ave, Seattle, WA 98104
Friends of Youth	Arbor House (New Ground Bothell)	16225 NE 87th, Suite A-6 Redmond, WA 98052
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Coming Home	2209 First Avenue Seattle, WA 98121
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	The Inn (Harbor House - Safe Haven)	1911 Aurora Avenue N Seattle, WA 98109
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Salvation Army William Booth	811 Maynard Ave. S. Seattle, WA 98134
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Kerner Scott House	510 Minor Ave. Seattle, WA 98109
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Mi Casa	3808 S. Angeline St. Seattle, WA 98118
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA-500 HMIS	400 Yesler Way Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98104
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Sandpoint Youth Group Homes	6524 62 nd Avenue Seattle, WA 98115
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Severson Program	Scattered Sites Auburn , WA

**Continuum of Care: Seattle King County, Washington WA-500
 Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan: List of Projects**

King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Seattle Rapid Rehousing for Families Consolidated Project	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Rapid Rehousing for Young Adults	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA-500 Coordinated Entry	400 Yesler Way Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98104
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Home Safe Rapid Rehousing	P.O. Box 75125 Seattle, WA 98175
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	King County Consolidated Rapid Rehousing Program	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	My Friend's Place TH/RRH	Confidential Location Bellevue, WA 98008
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Hickman House Joint Component RRH/TH	5600 Fautleroy Way SW. Seattle, WA 98136
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Regional RRH for DV - Consolidated	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA-500 Coordinated Entry - DV	400 Yesler Way Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98104
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Anita Vista TH/RRH	Confidential Location Kent, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA-500 YHDP - Bridge Housing	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA-500 YHDP - YET & Nav/Div Consolidated	Scattered Sites King County, WA

**Continuum of Care: Seattle King County, Washington WA-500
 Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan: List of Projects**

King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA-500 YHDP Behavioral Health Crisis Response	400 Yesler Way Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98104
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA-500 CoC Planning	401 5th Ave Suite 510 Seattle, WA 98104
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	DESC Greenlake	8610 Aurora Ave N Seattle, WA 98103
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Francis Village Permanent Supportive Housing	12601 NE 124th St, Kirkland, WA 98034
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Multicultural IDD Integrated Support Team	24437 Russell Rd #110, Kent, WA 98032
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	DV/SA Rapid Rehousing Program	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King, County of	Valley Cities Landing	2704 I Street NE Auburn, WA 98002
King, County of	DESC Consolidated PSH Portfolio #2	513 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104
King, County of	King County Shelter Plus Care Program - SRA	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King, County of	King County Shelter Plus Care Program - TRA	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King, County of	YWCA Supportive Housing	2024 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98121
King, County of	Scattered Sites Leasing	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King, County of	Noel House at Bakhita Gardens	2310 Second Avenue Seattle, WA 98112
King, County of	Nyer Urness	1753 N.W. 56th Street Seattle, WA 98107
King, County of	Avalon Place	2970 SW Avalon Way Seattle, WA 98126

Continuum of Care: Seattle King County, Washington WA-500
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan: List of Projects

King, County of	Ozanam House Consolidated PSH	801 Ninth Street Seattle, WA 98104
King, County of	Williams Apartments	219 Pontius Seattle, WA 98121
King, County of	Patrick Place	4251 Aurora Avenue Seattle, WA 98104
King, County of	King County Consolidated Scattered Sites Supportive Housing	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King, County of	Ronald Commons	17839 Aurora Avenue, N Shoreline, WA 98133
King, County of	Sandpoint Families Supportive Housing	6801 62nd Ave SE Seattle, WA 98115
King, County of	Family Village Redmond PSH for Families	16601 NE 80th St Redmond, WA 98052-6643
King, County of	Plymouth at First (formerly 7th and Cherry)	700 Cherry Seattle, WA 98104
King, County of	DESC Consolidated PSH Portfolio #1	513 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104
King, County of	Cascade Women's Supportive Housing	1205 Thomas Street Seattle, WA 98109
King, County of	DESC Clement Place Consolidated	5270 Rainier Avenue S Seattle, WA 98118
King, County of	Thea Bowman Apartments	23920 32nd Ave S, Kent, WA 98032
King, County of	Hobson Place	1911 22 nd Avenue South Seattle, WA 98144
King, County of	Auburn Family PSH	628 L Street Auburn, WA 98002

Continuum of Care: Seattle King County, Washington WA-500
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan: List of Projects

Solid Ground Washington	Broadview Transitional Housing Program	P.O. Box 31151 Seattle, WA 98103
YouthCare	Ravenna House	5825 16 th Ave NE Seattle, WA 98105
YouthCare	Straley House	5602 15th Ave. NE Seattle, WA 98105

New Projects:

King County Regional Homelessness Authority	New Ground Sandpoint Joint TH/RRH	6524 62nd Ave NE Seattle, WA, 98115
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Seattle Rapid Rehousing for Families Consolidated Project Expansion	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Roy Street TH/RRH	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Consejo Rental Assistance Program	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Atlantic Street Center Gender-Based Violence Rapid Rehousing Project	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	DV/SA Rapid Rehousing Program - Expansion	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Snoqualmie Valley Elder PSH	Snoqualmie Valley King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	DESC 15 th Ave West	2626 15th Ave W Seattle WA 98119
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Nike Manor Joint TH/RRH	Scattered Sites King County, WA



Continuum of Care Board - Advisory Committee

Values and Project Priorities

The Seattle-King County Continuum of Care Board affirms the following values and prioritization considerations, anchored in love for our community, to guide the development of the FY23 Project Priority Listing:

1. Center our theory of change - If we create a homelessness response system that centers the voices of people who have lived experience of homelessness, then we will be able to focus on responding to needs and eliminating inequities, in order to end homelessness for all - in the planning, programming and evaluation of Continuum of Care services.
2. Promote our mission to significantly decrease the incidence of homelessness throughout King County, using equity and social justice principles.
3. Promote equitable access and service provision with an intersectional and anti-racist approach, serving those who are historically marginalized and disproportionately impacted by the experience of homelessness in our community, including but not limited to: the Black, Indigenous, Pacific Islander, Latine and Asian communities; the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans, Queer/Questioning, Intersex, Asexual, Two Spirit communities (LGBTQAI2S+); the Trans community¹; people living with disabilities; people with foster care system involvement; the Elder community; people with carceral system involvement; people living with behavioral health conditions and substance use disorders; and all immigrant and refugee communities.
4. Develop a “culturally responsive system [that] values diversity, understands differences and develops services and supports to meet the unique needs of each community.”²
5. Maximize our community response to homelessness while aiming to maintain as much HUD Continuum of Care Program funding in our CoC as possible.
6. Grow a proactive, accountable system through ethical practice - including partners to be transparent with their use of CoC funds - guided by transparency to those we serve to ensure funding is clearly and thoughtfully allocated.
7. Promote human-centered well-being and partnerships with a Housing First and Harm Reduction approach instead of further displacement or the criminalization of our unhoused neighbors.

¹ Currently, our Trans neighbors face genocidal attacks and political scrutiny aimed at erasing and dehumanizing. We must take thoughtful and committed action to uplift our Trans neighbors.

² William Burlison. (2019, April 5). *Culturally responsive*. Minnesota System of Care - Department of Human Services. Retrieved February 23, 2023, from <https://mn.gov/dhs/mnsoc/core-values/culturally-responsive.jsp#:~:text=A%20core%20value%20in%20a,unique%20needs%20of%20each%20community>



KCRHA

King County Regional Homelessness Authority

8. Prioritize projects that:

- a. Elevate the voices of people with lived experience as experts in the development and implementation of planning, programming, and evaluation;
- b. Focus on those who are literally homeless (streets, shelter, transitional housing for youth and veterans, vehicle residents, and includes people fleeing and attempting to flee domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking);
- c. Actively participate in the Continuum of Care through demonstrable racial equity and social justice oriented practices that center community and are in alignment with values of community accountability, housing first, trauma-informed care, harm reduction, prevention, and whole person well being through focusing on what is meaningful to the individual;
- d. Demonstrate commitment to power-sharing and deference to people with lived experience in organizational design and policy development processes through actions such as dedicated board seats or management positions;
- e. Advance as a collective the goals of the CoC, including addressing racial disproportionality and achieving equitable outcomes for Indigenous, Black, Pacific Islanders and other people of color, trans individuals, broader LGBTQAI2S+ communities, and people living with disabilities;
- f. Advance as a collective the goals of the CoC to support our Elder community - which is the largest growing population of those experiencing and at-risk of homelessness - to access dignified, appropriate housing to thrive as they age.
- g. Have positive outcomes, as defined by the participant, to permanent housing and subsequent stability, including economic stability, as the primary focus;
- h. Do not replace mainstream resources such as federally funded health insurance, cash benefits, and other federally funded behavioral health services;
- i. Work to connect people served by CoC-funded programs with community-based, culturally responsive³ resources;
- j. Participate in the Homeless Management Information System with complete, high quality data per both local metrics and HUD data standards;
- k. Demonstrate alignment with HUD Housing First standards⁴ (including screening, program entry, person-centered services, and termination policies);
- l. Perform well against HUD Continuum of Care goals and positively impact local system performance and equity outcomes;
- m. Consistently meet and exceed operational standards for spending, match, occupancy, and reporting.

³ American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. (n.d.). Cultural responsiveness. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. Retrieved February 23, 2023, from <https://www.asha.org/practice-portal/professional-issues/cultural-responsiveness/>

⁴ United States Interagency Council on Homelessness. (2016, September). *Housing First Checklist: Assessing projects and systems for a housing ...* United States Interagency Council on Homelessness . Retrieved February 23, 2023, from https://www.usich.gov/resources/uploads/asset_library/Housing_First_Checklist_FINAL.pdf



2023 HUD Continuum of Care Final Rank Order

	Rank	Sponsor	Project Name	Target Pop.	Area	Type	Score	Cost	Aggregate \$
Tier 1 \$52,664,132	1	Archdiocesan Housing Authority	Rose of Lima House	Disabled Adults	Sea	PSH	90	\$ 115,830	\$ 115,830
	2	YWCA	Family Village Redmond PSH for Families	CH - families	BOC	PSH	88	\$ 117,819	\$ 233,649
	3	King Co DESC / REACH / CCS / H/W / PHG	King County Consolidated Scattered Sites Supportive Housing	Chronic	Reg	PSH	85	\$ 7,506,198	\$ 7,739,847
	4	Compass Housing Alliance	Nyer Urness	Chronic	Sea	PSH	80	\$ 690,799	\$ 8,430,646
	5	Catholic Community Services	Ozanam House Consolidated PSH	Chronic	Sea	PSH	80	\$ 439,282	\$ 8,869,928
	6	Plymouth Housing	PHG 7th and Cherry	Chronic	Sea	PSH	78	\$ 265,297	\$ 9,135,225
	7	Compass Housing Alliance	Cascade Women's Supportive Housing	Chronic	Sea	PSH	76	\$ 116,182	\$ 9,251,407
	8	Solid Ground	Sandpoint Families Supportive Housing	CH - families	Sea	PSH	76	\$ 393,823	\$ 9,645,230
	9	Archdiocesan Housing Authority	St. Martin's on Westlake	Disabled Adults	Sea	PSH	74	\$ 197,739	\$ 9,842,969
	10	Catholic Community Services	Thea Bowman Apartments	Chronic	Sea	PSH	73	\$ 207,657	\$ 10,050,626
	11	The Salvation Army	Hickman House Joint Component RRH/TH	Families - DV	Sea	Joint	72.5	\$ 351,126	\$ 10,401,752
	12	Catholic Community Services	Patrick Place	Disabled Adults	Sea	PSH	72	\$ 182,238	\$ 10,583,990
	13	Plymouth Housing	Williams Apartments	Disabled Adults	Sea	PSH	71	\$ 673,700	\$ 11,257,860
	14	Plymouth Housing	King County Shelter Plus Care Program - SRA	Disabled - All	Reg	PSH	70	\$ 1,514,894	\$ 12,772,754
	15	KCRHA NH/SG/Wellspring	Seattle Rapid Rehousing for Families Consolidated Project	Families	Reg	RRH	69	\$ 1,905,413	\$ 14,678,167
	16	YWCA	YWCA Supportive Housing	Disabled Adults	Sea	PSH	69	\$ 231,080	\$ 14,909,247
	17	Plymouth Housing	King County Shelter Plus Care Program - TRA	Chronic	Reg	PSH	67	\$ 8,241,643	\$ 23,150,890
	18	Catholic Community Services	Noel House at Bakhita Gardens	Chronic	Sea	PSH	66	\$ 220,908	\$ 23,371,798
	19	Catholic Community Services	The Inn - Safe Haven	Disabled Adults	Sea	SH	65	\$ 348,156	\$ 23,719,954
	20	Downtown Emergency Service Center	Scattered Site Leasing	Chronic	Sea	PSH	63	\$ 818,722	\$ 24,538,676
	21	Downtown Emergency Service Center	DESC Consolidated PSH Portfolio #2	Chronic	Sea	PSH	61.25	\$ 2,492,645	\$ 27,031,321
	22	Compass Housing Alliance	Ronald Commons	CH - families	BOC	PSH	61	\$ 183,530	\$ 27,214,851
	23	Downtown Emergency Service Center	DESC Consolidated PSH Portfolio #1	Chronic	Sea	PSH	60.25	\$ 4,077,812	\$ 31,292,663
	24	New Beginnings	Home Safe Rapid Rehousing	Families - DV	Reg	RRH	60	\$ 421,088	\$ 31,713,751
	25	Downtown Emergency Service Center	Kerner Scott House	Disabled Adults	Sea	SH	59	\$ 443,471	\$ 32,157,222
	26	Valley Cities	Valley Cities Landing	Disabled Adults	BOC	PSH	59	\$ 221,596	\$ 32,378,818
	27	Plymouth Housing Group	Coming Home	Single Adults	Sea	TH	58	\$ 488,420	\$ 32,867,238
	28	Downtown Emergency Service Center	Lyon Building	Chronic	Sea	PSH	58	\$ 524,317	\$ 33,391,555
	29	YWCA	Auburn Family PSH	Families	BOC	PSH	57	\$ 57,540	\$ 33,449,095
	30	Downtown Emergency Service Center	DESC Clement Place Consolidated	Chronic	Sea	PSH	56	\$ 1,609,303	\$ 35,058,398
	31	Downtown Emergency Service Center	Hobson Place	Chronic	Sea	PSH	56	\$ 552,406	\$ 35,610,804
	32	Transitional Resources	Avalon Place	Chronic	Sea	PSH	55	\$ 47,457	\$ 35,658,261
	33	Open Doors for Multicultural Families	Multicultural IDD Integrated Support Team (MIDST) PSH	Disabled - All	Reg	PSH	**	\$ 709,392	\$ 36,367,653
	34	Friends of Youth	FOY - Francis Village Permanent Supportive Housing	Young Adult	BOC	PSH	**	\$ 125,000	\$ 36,492,653
	35	Downtown Emergency Service Center	DESC Greenlake	Chronic	Sea	PSH	**	\$ 1,807,128	\$ 38,299,781
	36	KCRHA	WA-500 HMIS	All	Reg	HMIS	**	\$ 403,714	\$ 38,703,495
	37	KCRHA	WA-500 Coordinated Entry	All	Reg	SSO - CE	**	\$ 1,872,500	\$ 40,575,995
	38	KCRHA CEBV	WA-500 Coordinated Entry - DV	DV	Reg	SSO - CE DV	**	\$ 264,498	\$ 40,840,493
	39	YMCA	Severson Program	Young Adult	BOC	TH	55	\$ 123,286	\$ 40,963,779
	40	KCRHA Lifewire/Solid Ground/New Beginnings/YWCA	Regional RRH for DV Consolidated	DV	Reg	RRH	54.37	\$ 4,059,960	\$ 45,023,739
	41	KCRHA FOY / YMCA	Rapid Rehousing for Young Adults	Young Adult	Sea	RRH	52	\$ 1,646,351	\$ 46,670,090
	42	Consejo Counseling	Mi Casa	Families - DV	BOC	TH	51	\$ 64,613	\$ 46,734,703
	43	KCRHA	WA-500 YHDP - Bridge Housing	Young Adult	Reg	Joint	50	\$ 1,795,466	\$ 48,530,169
	44	Friends of Youth	Arbor House (New Ground Bothell)	Young Adult	BOC	TH	49	\$ 123,062	\$ 48,653,231
	45	KCRHA FOY/YouthCare	Sandpoint Youth Group Homes	Young Adult	Sea	TH	48	\$ 324,869	\$ 48,978,100
	46	Solid Ground Washington	Broadview Transitional Housing Program	Families - DV	Sea	TH	45	\$ 158,620	\$ 49,136,720
	47	YouthCare	Straley House	Young Adult	Sea	TH	44	\$ 105,602	\$ 49,242,322
	48	KCRHA	WA-500 YHDP Behavioral Health Crisis Response	Youth / YA	Reg	SSO	43	\$ 96,188	\$ 49,338,510
	49	YWCA	Anita Vista TH/RRH	Families - DV	BOC	Joint	41	\$ 331,592	\$ 49,670,102
	50	Salvation Army	Salvation Army William Booth	Single Adults	Sea	TH	38	\$ 253,988	\$ 49,924,090
	51	YouthCare	Ravenna House	Young Adult	Sea	TH	37	\$ 151,856	\$ 50,075,946
	52	KCRHA FOY / LCYC / YMCA	WA-500 YHDP - YET & Nav/Div Consolidated	Youth / YA	Reg	Joint	36.75	\$ 1,209,637	\$ 51,285,583
	53	KCRHA YWCA/API Chaya	DV/SA Rapid Rehousing	DV	Reg	RRH	**	\$ 1,366,918	\$ 52,652,501
	54	LifeWire	My Friend's Place TH/RRH	Families - DV	BOC	Joint	30	\$ 11,631	\$ 52,664,132
54	LifeWire	My Friend's Place TH/RRH	Families - DV	BOC	Joint	30	\$ 392,121	\$ 53,056,253	
Tier 2 \$1,784,791	55	Downtown Emergency Service Center	King County Consolidated Rapid Rehousing Program	Single Adults	Reg	RRH	31	\$ 1,392,670	\$ 54,448,923
CoC Bonus \$3,963,967 + \$2,179,176 reallocation	1	YMCA	Roy St Transitional Living & RRH Program	Young Adult	Reg	Joint	93.5	\$ 932,718	\$ 55,381,641
	2	KCRHA FoY	Sandpoint Youth Group Homes Joint TH/RRH Expansion	Young Adult	Reg	Joint	93.5	\$ 63,309	\$ 55,444,950
	3	KCRHA Solid Ground	Seattle Rapid Rehousing for Families Consolidated Project Expansion	Families	Reg	RRH	91	\$ 96,114	\$ 55,541,064
	4	Consejo Counseling and Referral Service	Consejo Rental Assistance Program	All	Reg	RRH	89	\$ 631,985	\$ 56,173,049
	5	Atlantic Street Center	Atlantic Street Center Gender-Based Violence Rapid Rehousing Project	DV	Reg	RRH	88.8	\$ 1,512,234	\$ 57,685,283
	6	Downtown Emergency Service Center	DESC 15th Ave W	Chronic	Sea	PSH	87.4	\$ 2,367,408	\$ 60,052,691
	7	St. Stephen Housing Association	Nike Manor Joint TH/RRH	Families	Reg	Joint	86.6	\$ 252,100	\$ 60,304,791
	8	Reclaim: Snoqualmie Valley Shelter Services	Elder PSH	Chronic	BOC	PSH	75.8	\$ 287,275	\$ 60,592,066
DV Bonus \$1,512,343	2	KCRHA Salvation / Urban / InterimDCA	DV/SA Rapid Rehousing Program - Expansion	DV	Reg	RRH	83.5	\$ 1,512,343	\$ 62,104,409
CoC Planning \$1,500,000									
		KCRHA	CoC Planning (non-competitive / not part of tiering)					\$ 1,500,000	\$ 63,604,409
Application TOTAL								\$ 63,604,409	

Projects Reallocated in full or partial	Low Income Housing Institute	Martin Court	All	Sea	TH	**	\$105,000
	Plymouth Housing	King County Shelter Plus Care Program - TRA	Chronic	Reg	PSH		\$2,074,176

	PSH: % remaining in PSH for at least 12 months				
	TH: % moving to PH (zero points if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85%		15 points = meets or exceeds 10 points = nearing goal		
Avg. EPH Rate Score	RRH: % moving to PH (zero point if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85%	Up to 15	5 points = does not meet expectations		
Stability Bonus	Bonus:		5		
Avg. LOS Score	Extent to which the project is meeting system expectations for length		5 system target.		
			Full points = Less than 5% of clients that exit to "unknown".		
Avg. Unknown Exit Score	Extent to which participants exit to a known destination.		7 No points if more than 5% exit to unknown.		
Return Rate Score	Extent to which persons who exit homelessness to permanent		5 system target.		
Earned Income Score	Exits with Earned Income: Extent to which adults in the program exit with employment income.		3 Full points = More than 20% exited with earned income. No partial scores.		
Non-Earned Income Score	Exits with Non-earned income: Extent to which adults in the program exit with cash income from other sources (e.g. TANF, SSDI) or non-cash (e.g. EBT, Medicaid)		3 Full points = More than 95% exited with non-earned income. No partial scores.		
No Resources Score	No Financial Resources: Extent to which no more than 10% of participants exit with "no financial resources" (cash or non-cash)		3 Full points = Fewer than 10% exited with no income. No partial scores.		
Percent Homeless Score	Proportion of Households served coming from streets and/or		10 served coming from homelessness.		
Data Completeness Score	No more than 5% reported missing/not collected etc., for data in any element (excluding Name, SSN, HIV /AIDS status)		7 Full Points = Less than 5% of universal data elements that are 'missing' - e.g. data not collected, client doesn't know, client refused. No partial scores		
NA	<p>Program Component Priority:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Permanent Supportive Housing Project = 14pts Rapid Rehousing for Families, Individuals, and Young Adults = 12pts Transitional Housing = 8pts <p>Program Population Priority</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 100% of units are dedicated/prioritized for Chronically Homeless Persons = 3pts 100% of units serve Youth and Young Adults = 1pt 100% of units operate as "Recovery Based" Housing = 1pt 100% of households are fleeing Domestic Violence or Sex Trafficking = 1 pt <p>Priority Narratives</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Housing First/Housing First Narrative = 2pts Program Termination/Low Barrier Housing Narrative = 2pts 	Up to 25	<p>Program Component:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Full points based on component type. No partial points. <p>Program Population:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3 points = 100% of units dedicated to or prioritized for chronic homelessness 1 point = 100% dedicated to other population type <p>Priority Narratives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2 points = active practice and policies in alignment with CoC 1 point = organization demonstrates clear effort to move practices and policies to align with CoC expectations. 0 points = organization does not clearly demonstrate alignment or movement to CoC expectations 		
Utilization Score w/ RRH	<p>Project Expenditures: Extent to which the project drew down 100% of HUD funds. = 2pts</p> <p>Occupancy: Extent to which the project maintains capacity/occupancy (zero points if less than 85%). Full points if meet/exceed 95%. Note: RRH scores are determined by move-in rate. = 5pts</p>		<p>2 points = fully expended grant</p> <p>1 point = Partial credit for extenuating circumstances (eg., new project start-up)</p> <p>No points for unspent and no extenuating circumstance</p> <p>Occupancy:</p> <p>5pts = Occupancy of 95% or more (RRH based on move-in rate)</p> <p>7 3pts = Occupancy of 90-94% (RRH</p>		

2023 RFP (HUD CoC NOFA) Renewal Project Scoring Metrics

Measure and Scoring					Applies to which Project Types?			
Measure	Details	Points	Scoring	Target	Emergency	Transitional	Rapid Re-	Permanent
Core Measures								
Exits to Permanent Housing	Scoring treshold based on % of system target	5	50-74%	SA	0.25	0.43	0.43	0.45
				Fam	0.40	0.43	0.43	0.45
				YYA	0.25	0.43	0.43	0.45
		10	75-99%	SA	0.38	0.64	0.64	0.68
				Fam	0.60	0.64	0.64	0.68
				YYA	0.38	0.64	0.64	0.68
		15	100%	SA	0.50	0.85	0.85	0.90
				Fam	0.80	0.85	0.85	0.90
				YYA	0.50	0.85	0.85	0.90
Average Length of Stay	Full points for meeting or exceeding system target	5	100%	SA	30	90	120	N/A
				Fam	30	90	120	N/A
				YYA	20	180	120	N/A
Permanent Housing for 12+	Full points for meeting or exceeding scoring threshold	5	>=85%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.85
Return to Homelessness	Full points for meeting or being below system target	5	100%	SA	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.03
				Fam	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.03
				YYA	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Eligibility (Literally Homeless)	Full points for meeting or exceeding system target	10	100%	N/A	0.9	0.95	0.95	0.95
Utilization		2	85-89%	N/A	0.85	0.85	N/A	0.85
		3	90-94%	N/A	0.9	0.9	N/A	0.9
		5	>=95%	N/A	0.95	0.95	N/A	0.95
RRH Move In Rate		2	85-89%	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.85	N/A
		3	90-94%	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.9	N/A
		5	>=95%	0%	N/A	N/A	0.95	N/A
Stability Bonus	ES to PH is <= 30 days	5	>=50%	N/A	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
	TH to PH is <=90 days							
	RRH to move-in is <=30 days							
	PSH: % exit to PH							
Data Completeness	% of universal data elements that are 'missing' - e.g.	7	<=5%	N/A	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Exits with no resources	% with no resources either earned or non-earned at	3	<=10%	N/A	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Total Core Points	65						
CoC-Specific								
Unknown Exits	% of clients that exit to "unknown". No points if more	7	<=5%		X	X	X	X
Exits with Earned Income	% with income from employment	3	>=20%		X	X	X	X
Exits with Non-earned	% with other income such as cash (e.g. TANF, SSDI) or	3	>=95%		X	X	X	X
Spending	Were grant funds fully expended?	2			X	X	X	X
System Priorities	Program component, population served, Housing First,	15			X	X	X	X
	Total CoC-Specific Points	30						
	Total Points	95						

Element	Data Source	Calculation
Exits to Permanent Housing: ES, TH and RRH	HMIS	Universe: All households who exit during the timeframe. Calculation: # of households who exit to a permanent housing/Total # of exits in the timeframe. Permanent housing destination based on the recorded exit destination in HMIS. **Need to exclude clients with Exit Destination = Deceased from denominator. Should exclude from all measures that are based on exits.
Exits to Permanent Housing: PSH	HMIS	Universe: All households active in the timeframe. Calculation: (# of households who exited to permanent housing during the timeframe + # of households who were still enrolled as of the end of the timeframe)/ Total # of households who were active in the timeframe
Average Length of Stay	HMIS	Universe: All households active in timeframe. Calculation: <u>Night-by-Night shelters:</u> Sum of bed nights in timeframe/ # of households active in timeframe. <u>All Other Projects:</u> Numerator for leavers: Sum of days from project entry-project exit. Numerator for stayers: Sum of days from project entry - last day in timeframe. (Numerator leavers + Numerator stayers)/ # of households active in timeframe.
Permanent Housing for 12+ months	HMIS	Universe: All households active in PSH, PH with Supports, or Other PH in timeframe. Calculation: (# of leavers with length of enrollment >=12 months + # of stayers with length of enrollment >=12months) /total # of active households w/ enrollment entry date at least >=12 months prior to end of period
Returns to Homelessness	HMIS	Universe: All households who exit during the timeframe (*NOTE: Returns timeframe is shifted 6 months earlier than reporting timeframe for all other measures, and returns are only calculated for households who consent to share identifying information. Therefore total number of exits may not match other measures.) Calculation: # of consenting households who exit to PH and return within 6 months/Total number of exits
Eligibility	HMIS	Universe: All households active in the timeframe. Calculation: # households who come from a literally homeless prior residence (coming from streets, shelter, safe haven)/# of households active in the timeframe. Literally homeless status is based on Category 4 - fleeing domestic violence Housing Status and Prior Residence recorded in HMIS upon program entry. Single Adult Overnight shelters given full points under the assumption that only literally homeless clients stay at congregate shelters.
Utilization	HMIS	Universe: All households active during timeframe and units in HMIS inventory. Calculation: (Numerator:
RRH Move-Ins	HMIS	Universe: All households enrolled in timeframe. Calculation: # of households with a residential move-in date between start of timeframe and 90 30 days after the end of timeframe/Total # of households enrolled in timeframe.
Stability bonus	HMIS	ES to PH: Universe: all households who exit to permanent housing during the timeframe. Calculation: # of households who exit to PH in <=30 days/# of clients who exit to PH.
		TH to PH: Universe: all households who exit to permanent housing during the timeframe. Calculation: # of households who exit to PH in <=90 days/# of clients who exit to PH.
		RRH to Move-in: Universe: all households with residential move-in date during the timeframe. Calculation: # of households where project entry date to residential move-in date is <=30 days/# of households who exit to PH. with residential move-in date during the timeframe.
		PSH to PH: Universe: all households with exits during timeframe. Calculation: # of households exiting to PH/# of households with exits during timeframe
Data Completeness	HMIS	Universe: Universal data elements at program entry for all households active during the timeframe.
Exits with no resources	HMIS	Universe: All households who exit during the timeframe. Calculation: # of households with no resources either earned or non-earned at exit/ Total # of exits
Unknown exits	HMIS	Universe: All households who exit during the timeframe. Calculation: # households that exit to "unknown"
Exits with Earned Income	HMIS	Universe: All households who exit during the timeframe. Calculation: # of households with earned income
Exits with Non-earned Income	HMIS	Universe: All households who exit during the timeframe. Calculation: # of households with other income

.....

Spending	Local Application	Calculation: Were grant funds fully expended? Partial credit for extenuating circumstances (eg., new project
System Priorities	Local Application	Universe: Program component, population served, Housing First, advancing racial equity and supporting

2023 Local Rating Template

HMIS data was pulled for the operating period **4/1/22 to 3/31/23**. This data will be used to assess project performance according to the key indicators that populate the Annual Performance Review (APR). Additional efficiency and effectiveness measures will also be considered. The following review elements were reviewed and approved by the Seattle-King County CoC System Performance and NOFO Application and Ranking Committees.

The CoC will assess projects in six categories, outlined as follows:

Project Rated: St. Martin's on Westlake				
2023 Indicator Measures	Max. Points	Scoring Ranges	Project Score	Source
1. Movement to Housing: Measured against HUD standards and local performance targets for persons obtaining or maintaining housing.				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PSH: % remaining in PSH for at least 12 months 	Up to 15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ 15 points = meets or exceeds ★ 10 points = nearing goal ★ 5 points = does not meet expectations 		HMIS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TH: % moving to PH (zero points if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85% 				HMIS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RRH: % moving to PH (zero point if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85% 				HMIS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bonus: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 50% or more move from TH to PH in 90 days or less ○ 50% or more PSH moving to other PH destinations ○ 50% or more RRH moving into housing in 30 days or less 	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points: meets threshold. ★ No partial points**. 		HMIS

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extent to which the project is meeting system expectations for length of stay: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PH: Participants stay at least 12 months or move to other permanent housing TH: The project meets or exceeds performance targets <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Single Adult Target = 90 days Family Target = 90 days Young Adult = 180 days RRH: Participants meet or exceed system target of 120 days 	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points = meets or exceeds system target. ★ No partial scores**. 		HMIS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extent to which participants exit to a known destination. 	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points = Less than 5% of clients that exit to "unknown". ★ No points if more than 5% exit to unknown. 		HMIS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Extent to which persons who exit homelessness to permanent housing destination return to homelessness meets or exceeds system target for program and population type. 	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points = meets or exceeds system target. ★ No partial scores**. 		HMIS
2. Income Progress: Measures the extent to which participants show positive changes in income	Max. Points		Project Score	Source
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exits with Earned Income: Extent to which adults in the program exit with employment income. 	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points = More than 20% exited with earned income. ★ No partial scores**. 		HMIS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Exits with Non-earned income: Extent to which adults in the program exit with cash income from other sources (e.g. TANF, SSDI) or non-cash (e.g. EBT, Medicaid) 	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points = More than 95% exited with non-earned income. ★ No partial scores**. 		HMIS

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No Financial Resources: Extent to which no more than 10% of participants exit with “no financial resources” (cash or non-cash) 	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points = Fewer than 10% exited with no income. ★ No partial scores**. 		HMIS
3. Participant Outreach	Max. Points		Project Score	Source
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proportion of Households served coming from streets and/or Emergency Shelter 	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points = 100% population served coming from homelessness. ★ No partial scores**. 		HMIS
4. HMIS Data Quality/Completeness: Measures complete/quality data reported in HMIS (this criterion is waived for confidential DV programs).	Max. Points		Project Score	Source
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No more than 5% reported missing/not collected etc., for data in any element (excluding Name, SSN, HIV /AIDS status) 	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full Points = Less than 5% of universal data elements that are 'missing' - e.g. data not collected, client doesn't know, client refused. ★ No partial scores**. 		HMIS

5. HUD / System / Program Component Priority Measure	Max. Points		Project Score	Source
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Program Component Priority: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Permanent Supportive Housing Project = 14pts ○ Rapid Rehousing for Families, Individuals, and Young Adults = 12pts ○ Transitional Housing = 8pts ● Program Population Priority <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 100% of units are dedicated/prioritized for Chronically Homeless Persons =3pts ○ 100% of units serve Youth and Young Adults= 1pt ○ 100% of units operate as “Recovery Based” Housing = 1pt ○ 100% of households are fleeing Domestic Violence or Sex Trafficking = 1 pt ● Priority Narratives <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Housing First/Housing First Narrative = 2pts ○ Program Termination/Low Barrier Housing Narrative = 2pts ○ Participant Participation/Feedback Narrative = 2pts ○ Racial Equity Narrative = 2pts 	Up to 25	Program Component: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points based on component type. ★ No partial points**.. Program Population: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ 3 points = 100% of units dedicated to or prioritized for chronic homelessness ★ 1 point = 100% dedicated to other population type Priority Narratives: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ 2 points = active practice and policies in alignment with CoC ★ 1 point = organization demonstrates clear effort to move practices and policies to align with CoC expectations. ★ 0 points = organization does not clearly demonstrate alignment or movement to CoC expectations 		Local Application Response

6. Project Efficiency and Effectiveness Measure	Max. Points		Project Score	Source
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Expenditures: Extent to which the project drew down 100% of HUD funds.= 2pts • Occupancy: Extent to which the project maintains capacity/occupancy (zero points if less than 85%). Full points if meet/exceed 95%. Note: RRH scores are determined by move-in rate. = 5pts 	7	<p>Project Expenditures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ 2 points = fully expended grant ★ 1 point = Partial credit for extenuating circumstances (eg., new project start-up) ★ No points for unspent and no extenuating circumstance <p>Occupancy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ 5pts = Occupancy of 95% or more (RRH based on move-in rate) ★ 3pts = Occupancy of 90-94% (RRH based on move-in rate) ★ 2pts = Occupancy rate of 85-89% (RRH based on move-in rate) ★ No points for less than 85% occupancy (RRH based on move-in rate) 		<p>Local App</p> <p>HMIS</p>
OVERALL SCORE:				

**Please note that consolidated grants and grants with multiple subgrantees may have partial points after the final Project Score is averaged.

2023 Local Rating Template

HMIS data was pulled for the operating period **4/1/22 to 3/31/23**. This data will be used to assess project performance according to the key indicators that populate the Annual Performance Review (APR). Additional efficiency and effectiveness measures will also be considered. The following review elements were reviewed and approved by the Seattle-King County CoC System Performance and NOFO Application and Ranking Committees.

The CoC will assess projects in six categories, outlined as follows:

Project Rated: Cascade Women's Supportive Housing				
2023 Indicator Measures	Max. Points	Scoring Ranges	Project Score	Source
1. Movement to Housing: Measured against HUD standards and local performance targets for persons obtaining or maintaining housing.				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PSH: % remaining in PSH for at least 12 months 	Up to 15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ 15 points = meets or exceeds ★ 10 points = nearing goal ★ 5 points = does not meet expectations 		HMIS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TH: % moving to PH (zero points if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85% 				HMIS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> RRH: % moving to PH (zero point if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85% 				HMIS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bonus: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 50% or more move from TH to PH in 90 days or less ○ 50% or more PSH moving to other PH destinations ○ 50% or more RRH moving into housing in 30 days or less 	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points: meets threshold. ★ No partial points**. 		HMIS

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Extent to which the project is meeting system expectations for length of stay: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ PH: Participants stay at least 12 months or move to other permanent housing ○ TH: The project meets or exceeds performance targets <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Single Adult Target = 90 days ■ Family Target = 90 days ■ Young Adult = 180 days ○ RRH: Participants meet or exceed system target of 120 days 	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points = meets or exceeds system target. ★ No partial scores**. 		HMIS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Extent to which participants exit to a known destination. 	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points = Less than 5% of clients that exit to "unknown". ★ No points if more than 5% exit to unknown. 		HMIS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Extent to which persons who exit homelessness to permanent housing destination return to homelessness meets or exceeds system target for program and population type. 	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points = meets or exceeds system target. ★ No partial scores**. 		HMIS
2. Income Progress: Measures the extent to which participants show positive changes in income	Max. Points		Project Score	Source
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Exits with Earned Income: Extent to which adults in the program exit with employment income. 	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points = More than 20% exited with earned income. ★ No partial scores**. 		HMIS
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Exits with Non-earned income: Extent to which adults in the program exit with cash income from other sources (e.g. TANF, SSDI) or non-cash (e.g. EBT, Medicaid) 	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points = More than 95% exited with non-earned income. ★ No partial scores**. 		HMIS

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No Financial Resources: Extent to which no more than 10% of participants exit with “no financial resources” (cash or non-cash) 	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points = Fewer than 10% exited with no income. ★ No partial scores**. 		HMIS
3. Participant Outreach	Max. Points		Project Score	Source
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proportion of Households served coming from streets and/or Emergency Shelter 	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points = 100% population served coming from homelessness. ★ No partial scores**. 		HMIS
4. HMIS Data Quality/Completeness: Measures complete/quality data reported in HMIS (this criterion is waived for confidential DV programs).	Max. Points		Project Score	Source
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No more than 5% reported missing/not collected etc., for data in any element (excluding Name, SSN, HIV /AIDS status) 	7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full Points = Less than 5% of universal data elements that are 'missing' - e.g. data not collected, client doesn't know, client refused. ★ No partial scores**. 		HMIS

5. HUD / System / Program Component Priority Measure	Max. Points		Project Score	Source
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Program Component Priority: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Permanent Supportive Housing Project = 14pts ○ Rapid Rehousing for Families, Individuals, and Young Adults = 12pts ○ Transitional Housing = 8pts ● Program Population Priority <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 100% of units are dedicated/prioritized for Chronically Homeless Persons =3pts ○ 100% of units serve Youth and Young Adults= 1pt ○ 100% of units operate as “Recovery Based” Housing = 1pt ○ 100% of households are fleeing Domestic Violence or Sex Trafficking = 1 pt ● Priority Narratives <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Housing First/Housing First Narrative = 2pts ○ Program Termination/Low Barrier Housing Narrative = 2pts ○ Participant Participation/Feedback Narrative = 2pts ○ Racial Equity Narrative = 2pts 	<p>Up to 25</p>	<p>Program Component:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Full points based on component type. ★ No partial points**.. <p>Program Population:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ 3 points = 100% of units dedicated to or prioritized for chronic homelessness ★ 1 point = 100% dedicated to other population type <p>Priority Narratives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ 2 points = active practice and policies in alignment with CoC ★ 1 point = organization demonstrates clear effort to move practices and policies to align with CoC expectations. ★ 0 points = organization does not clearly demonstrate alignment or movement to CoC expectations 		<p>Local Application Response</p>

6. Project Efficiency and Effectiveness Measure	Max. Points		Project Score	Source
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Expenditures: Extent to which the project drew down 100% of HUD funds.= 2pts Occupancy: Extent to which the project maintains capacity/occupancy (zero points if less than 85%). Full points if meet/exceed 95%. Note: RRH scores are determined by move-in rate. = 5pts 	7	<p>Project Expenditures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ 2 points = fully expended grant ★ 1 point = Partial credit for extenuating circumstances (eg., new project start-up) ★ No points for unspent and no extenuating circumstance <p>Occupancy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ 5pts = Occupancy of 95% or more (RRH based on move-in rate) ★ 3pts = Occupancy of 90-94% (RRH based on move-in rate) ★ 2pts = Occupancy rate of 85-89% (RRH based on move-in rate) ★ No points for less than 85% occupancy (RRH based on move-in rate) 		<p>Local App</p> <p>HMIS</p>
Overall Score:				

**Please note that consolidated grants and grants with multiple subgrantees may have partial points after the final Project Score is averaged.



KCRHA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority

2023
Seattle-King County Continuum of Care (CoC)
Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking (DV
Bonus) Permanent Housing - Rapid Re-Housing (PH-RRH) Request for
Proposal (RFP)

August 8, 2023

II. Questions & Rating Criteria

Write a narrative response to sections A – E. A virtual interview/site visit may be requested per rater panel's request. Answer each section completely according to the questions. Do not exceed a total of 1700 words per section (Section F, Attachment 2 and do not count towards the page limit). Applications will be rated out of 100 points for the following criteria:

A. Need For Project/ Project Narrative (20 POINTS)

1. What is unique about your organization that should be considered when looking across similar applicants? How are you uniquely positioned to carry out this project?
2. Explain what your organization is proposing to do.
 - a. Where will you carry out your proposed program(s)? (Region, city, neighborhood(s), etc.)
 - b. What is the ratio of staff to participants?
 - c. The target population(s) to be served; including number of survivors served annually.
 - d. Explain how program residents will remain in permanent housing that addresses their needs and includes housing first, trauma-informed, survivor-centered approaches which also maintain confidentiality and encourage wellbeing.

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- *The agency effectively demonstrates unique features which are needed within the community and Continuum of Care through this funding process.*
- *Proposes to serve survivors of DV, sexual assault, sex trafficking and/or stalking.*
- *The program does not exclusive serve survivors of sex trafficking only;*
- *Additional points given to proposals who serve only survivors*
- *Applicant proposes adequate experience to provide services and rental assistance as described in the scope of work;*
- *The number and confirmation of units will fit the needs of the program participants; and*
- *Demonstrates a commitment to a housing first approach, trauma-informed, person-centered approaches which also maintain confidentiality and encourage wellbeing.*
- *The applicant has a logical, thorough plan to address the needs identified in this procurement process.*
- *Applicant proposes to implement services within the Seattle-King County CoC's area.*
- *The ratio of direct-service staff to survivors supports survivor-centered services.*
- *Applicant provides detail about the anticipated number of people housed and anticipates serving an underserved population.*

B. Project Applicant Experience & System Coordination (20 POINTS)

1. Does your organization have experience operating Rapid Re-housing or Joint Transitional Housing RRH Component projects? If so, please share how you have demonstrated past success.
2. Explain the range of confidential support services you will offer.
3. Who will carry out these tasks and what are their desired qualifications?
4. Explain how your proposal will intersect with the healthcare system to support the needs of survivors.
5. Explain how your proposal will coordinate with other systems and services in the community.

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- *Demonstrates a history of successfully operating PH-RRH and/or TH-RRH, or the ability to succeed*
- *Demonstrates the ability to keep residents housed permanently.*
- *Proposal includes staffing and staff qualifications seem logical for the program type*
- *Additional points awarded for proposals which have formal agreements with healthcare providers*
- *Proposal demonstrates the ability to leverage partnerships and services to best serve survivors*
- *Supportive services will ensure successful retention in or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding source;*

C. Demonstration of Commitment to Advancing Race and Social Justice (20 POINTS)

1. Describe how your agency supports and empowers low-income Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) communities who have historically experienced systemic oppression.
2. Describe how your agency supports and empowers the LGBTQ community, people living with disabilities, immigrants/refugees, people living with substance use disorder, co-occurring disorders, people with criminal records, and/or people with limited English proficiency who have historically experienced systemic oppression.

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- Applicant demonstrates how they empower their customers' potential and demonstrates an understanding of the historical systemic forms of oppression which harm the communities they serve.
- Applicant has a strong history and/or commitment working with and supporting low income BIPOC communities and individuals impacted by institutional racism.

D. Demonstration of Inclusion of Lived Expertise and Person-Centered Practices (20 POINTS)

1. What role will survivors play in planning, implementation, and evaluating your proposed project?
2. Explain how your project will gain and respond to survivor feedback in its ongoing programming and operations?

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- Applicant clearly describes who and how they will partner with survivors and/or other community stakeholders with lived experience of homelessness and violence.
- Applicant effectively communicates how they 'share power' and respond to customer feedback by including customer voice in their planning, implementation, and evaluation of services.
- Applicant's budget and explanation reflect an equitable partnership (if applicable).

E. Data and Fiscal Management Practices (5 Points)

1. Describe your agency's experience or ability to collect and manage Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) data.
2. What is your agency's experience gathering and reporting on programmatic data? If your agency has limited experience in gathering and reporting on program data, please describe your capacity-building needs in this area.
3. Describe how your agency manages finances, including any financial systems and controls in place.

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- Applicant has previous experience or explains their knowledge and capacity to collect and manage HMIS data.
- The applicant has experience or has the ability to meet reporting requirements with state, local and/or federally funded programs.
- Applicant adequately describes its revenue, financial health, and fiscal management system.
- Applicant has a fiscal management system which maintains checks and balances and follows Generally Accepted Accounting Principles to safeguard all funds that may be awarded under the terms of this funding opportunity. If applicant lacks fiscal management capabilities, applicant identifies its fiscal sponsor.

F. Budget & Budget Narrative (15 POINTS)

Complete the CoC Proposed Program and Personnel Budgets (Attachment #2, tabs 1 & 2) for each program area you are applying for. Do not provide your agency's total budget. Costs should reflect the proposed activities and any additional fund sources related to the program area(s) being

proposed. In addition to Attachment #2, complete a budget narrative which addresses the questions below. Attachment #2 will not count toward the word limit.

1. Describe each budget item you are requesting and how you plan to use it.
2. Is there any other project funding/revenue or income received from other sources for the proposed program(s) (individual, government, foundation, fees for service, volunteers, etc.)? (Yes/No) If yes, please include each additional fund source in separate columns on Attachment #2. You must be able to demonstrate a 25% in-kind or cash match.

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- Staff positions and qualifications are designed to meet the needs of its customers.
- Applicant explains each budget item and its use clearly. Budget items seem logical and cost effective.
- If funds are being used from other sources, applicant clearly lists the fund source(s) and budget allocations are clearly explained.
- Applicant demonstrated the ability to meet the 25% match requirement.

III. Deadline & Completed Application Requirements

A. Application Submittal

1. A completed and signed Application Cover Sheet (Attachment #1).
2. The application must include:
 - a. A completed narrative response to sections A. – F. (Attachment #2 does not count towards the 1700 word limit).
 - b. If you are proposing a partnership or subcontract with another agency, attach a signed letter of commitment from that agency's Director or other authorized representative.
 - c. List of Board of Directors names and last three meeting minutes.

Completed applications are due by **August 28, 2023, by 11:59pm PST**. Applications must be submitted to Fluxx. Faxed, hand delivered, or mailed applications will not be accepted. KCRHA advises submitting application documents in Fluxx several hours prior to the deadline in case you encounter an issue with the system, etc. KCRHA is not responsible for ensuring that applications are received by the deadline. KCRHA reserves the right to deny any applications submitted after the stated deadline.

B. Determination of a Completed Application

KCRHA screens each complete application that is submitted on time. For applicants that are determined "complete," KCRHA will request copies of the following documents if they are not already on file:

1. Current fiscal year's financial statements, consisting of the Balance Sheet, Income Statement and Statement of Cash Flows, certified by the agency's CFO, Finance Officer, or Board Treasurer.
2. Most recent audit reports.
3. Most recent fiscal year-ending Form 990 report.
4. Current certificate of commercial liability insurance (if awarded, the agency's insurance must conform to Master Service Agreement (MSA) requirements at the start of the contract).
5. Current verification of nonprofit status or evidence of incorporation or status as a legal entity. Your agency must have a federal tax identification number/employer identification number.
6. Proof of federally approved indirect rate, if applicable.
7. Proof of Federal [System for Award Management](#) (SAM) registration in good standing, if applicable.

CoC DV Review

Reviewer: TEST Reviewer 482

Review ID: 273

Grant ID: R-202308-02189

Total Rating: 55

Organization: Test Org 482 (Do Not Delete)

Status: Submitted

Primary Contact:

REVIEW QUESTIONS AND RATINGS

A. Need For Project / Project Narrative (20 POINTS)

Rating Criteria

A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- The agency effectively demonstrates unique features which are needed within the community and Continuum of Care through this funding process.
- Proposes to serve survivors of DV, sexual assault, sex trafficking and/or stalking.
- The program does not exclusive serve survivors of sex trafficking only;
- Additional points given to proposals who serve only survivors
- Applicant proposes adequate experience to provide services and rental assistance as described in the scope of work;
- The number and confirmation of units will fit the needs of the program participants; and
- Demonstrates a commitment to a housing first approach, trauma-informed, person-centered approaches which also maintain confidentiality and encourage wellbeing.
- The applicant has a logical, thorough plan to address the needs identified in this procurement process.
- Applicant proposes to implement services within the Seattle-King County CoC's area.
- The ratio of direct-service staff to survivors supports survivor-centered services.
- Applicant provides detail about the anticipated number of people housed and anticipates serving an underserved population.

Impact	Rating	Additional Guidance
High	15-20	Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria.
Medium	6-10	Adequately addresses the criteria.
Low	0-5	Does not meet any/or address the criteria.

Please select a rating based on the criteria outlined above.

Rating: 10

Please provide any comments you have related to your rating selection.

test

B. Project Applicant Experience & System Coordination (20 POINTS)

Rating Criteria

A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- Demonstrates a history of successfully operating PH-RRH and/or TH-RRH, or the ability to succeed
- Demonstrates the ability to keep residents housed permanently.
- Proposal includes staffing and staff qualifications seem logical for the program type
- Additional points awarded for proposals which have formal agreements with healthcare providers
- Proposal demonstrates the ability to leverage partnerships and services to best serve survivors
- Supportive services will ensure successful retention in or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding source;

Impact	Rating	Additional Guidance
--------	--------	---------------------

Impact	Rating	Additional Guidance
High	15-20	Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria.
Medium	8-14	Adequately addresses the criteria.
Low	0-7	Does not meet any/or address the criteria.

Please select a rating based on the criteria outlined above.

Rating: 10

Please provide any comments you have related to your rating selection.

C. Demonstration of Commitment to Advancing Race and Social Justice (20 POINTS)

Rating Criteria

A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- Applicant demonstrates how they empower their customers' potential and demonstrates an understanding of the historical systemic forms of oppression which harm the communities they serve.
- Applicant has a strong history and/or commitment working with and supporting low income BIPOC communities and individuals impacted by institutional racism.

Impact	Rating	Additional Guidance
High	16-20	Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria.
Medium	8-15	Adequately addresses the criteria.
Low	0-7	Does not meet any/or address the criteria.

Please select a rating based on the criteria outlined above.

Rating: 10

Please provide any comments you have related to your rating selection.

D. Demonstration of Inclusion of Lived Expertise and Person-Centered Practices (20 POINTS)

Rating Criteria

A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- The applicant clearly describes who and how they will partner with residents and members of the community with lived experience of homelessness.
- Applicant effectively communicates how they 'share power' and respond to customer feedback by including customer voice in their planning, implementation, and evaluation of services.
- Applicant's budget and explanation reflect an equitable partnership (if applicable).

Impact	Rating	Additional Guidance
High	16-20	Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria.
Medium	8-15	Adequately addresses the criteria.
Low	0-7	Does not meet any/or address the criteria.

Please select a rating based on the criteria outlined above.

Rating: 10

Please provide any comments you have related to your rating selection.

E. Data and Fiscal Management Practices (5 POINTS)

Rating Criteria

A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- Applicant has previous experience or explains their knowledge and capacity to collect and manage HMIS data.
- The applicant has experience or can meet reporting requirements with state, local and/or federally funded programs.
- Applicant adequately describes its revenue, financial health, and financial management system.
- Applicant has a fiscal management system which maintains checks and balances and follows Generally Accepted Accounting Principles to safeguard all funds that may be awarded under the terms of this funding opportunity. If applicant lacks fiscal management capabilities, applicant identifies its fiscal sponsor.

Impact	Rating	Additional Guidance
High	4-5	Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria.
Medium	2-3	Adequately addresses the criteria.
Low	0-1	Does not meet any/or address the criteria.

Please select a rating based on the criteria outlined above.

Rating: 5

Please provide any comments you have related to your rating selection.

F. Budget & Budget Narrative (15 POINTS)

Rating Criteria

Impact	Rating	Additional Guidance
High	11-15	Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria.
Medium	6-10	Adequately addresses the criteria.
Low	0-5	Does not meet any/or address the criteria.

Please select a rating based on the criteria outlined above.

Rating: 10

Please provide any comments you have related to your rating selection.

Rating Total: 55

G. Final Feedback

Overall Comments:

Great - Test!

Do you have any specific feedback on the strengths, weaknesses, or concerns of the proposal that you would like to share?

test

Would you support funding of this application?

Yes / No: Yes

▼ **Notes/History - Internal only**

Record Created By: TEST Reviewer 482

Updated By: TEST Reviewer 482

Created Date: 8/28/2023

Last Updated: 8/28/2023

NOTES

Pending Review → Submitted

Created by TEST Reviewer 482 at 1:34 PM on August 28, 2023

New → Pending Review

Created by TEST Reviewer 482 at 1:32 PM on August 28, 2023

HISTORY

Show History



KCRHA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority

**2023 Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA)
Continuum of Care (CoC) Permanent Supportive Housing
Bonus Funding Request for Proposal (RFP)**

~~August 8, 2023~~
Updated August 9, 2023

II. Questions & Rating Criteria

Write a narrative response to sections A – E. A virtual interview/site visit may be requested per rater panel's request. Answer each section completely according to the questions. Do not exceed 1700 words per section (Section F, Attachment 2 do not count towards the page limit). Applications will be rated out of 100 points for the following criteria:

A. Need For Project/ Project Narrative (20 POINTS)

1. What is unique about your organization that should be considered when looking across similar applicants? How are you uniquely positioned to carry out this project?
2. Explain what your organization is proposing to do.
 - a. Where will you carry out your proposed program(s)? (Region, city, neighborhood(s), etc.)
 - b. What is the ratio of staff to participants?
 - c. The target population(s) to be served; including number of survivors served annually.
 - d. Explain how program residents will remain in permanent housing that addresses their needs and includes housing first, trauma-informed, person-centered approaches which also encourage wellbeing.

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- *The agency effectively demonstrates unique features needed within the community and Continuum of Care through identified in this funding process.*
- *Proposes to serve youth & young adults, single adults or and families who meet HUD's definition of "chronically homeless", or at least one member in the household has a disability;*
- *Applicant proposes adequate experience to provide Permanent Support Housing (PSH) rental assistance, services, and/or operations;*
- *The number and confirmation of units will fit the needs of the program participants; and*
- *Demonstrates a commitment to a housing first approach, trauma-informed, person-centered approaches which also encourage wellbeing.*
- *The applicant has a logical, thorough plan to address the needs identified in this procurement process.*
- *Applicant proposes to implement services within KCRHA's jurisdiction and/or target location(s).*
- *The ratio of direct-service staff to residents supports person-centered services.*
- *Applicant provides detail about the anticipated number of people housed and anticipates serving an underserved population. Additional points are awarded to applicants who plan to serve youth and young adults.*

B. Project Applicant Experience & System Coordination (20 POINTS)

1. Does your organization have experience operating Permanent Supportive Housing Programs (PSH)? If so, please share how you have demonstrated past success.
2. Explain the range of support services you will offer.
 - a. Who will carry out these tasks and what are their desired qualifications?
3. Explain how your proposal will intersect with the healthcare system to support the needs of PSH residents.
4. Explain how your proposal will coordinate with other systems and services in the community.

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- *Demonstrates a history of successfully operating PSH;*
- *Demonstrates the ability to keep residents housed permanently.*
- *Proposal includes staffing and staff qualifications seem logical for the program type*
- *Additional points awarded for proposals which have formal agreements with healthcare providers*
- *Proposal demonstrates the ability to leverage partnerships and services to best serve PSH residents*
- *Supportive services will ensure successful retention in or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding source;*

C. Demonstration of Commitment to Advancing Race and Social Justice (20 POINTS)

1. Describe how your agency supports and empowers low-income Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) communities who have historically experienced systemic oppression.
2. Describe how your agency supports and empowers the LGBTQ community, people living with disabilities, survivors of DV, immigrants/refugees, people living with substance use disorder, co-occurring disorders, people with criminal records, and/or people with limited English proficiency who have historically experienced systemic oppression.

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- Applicant demonstrates how they empower their residents' potential and demonstrates an understanding of the historical systemic forms of oppression which harm the communities they serve.
- Applicant has a strong history and/or commitment working with and supporting low income BIPOC communities and individuals impacted by institutional racism.
- Applicants demonstrate a commitment to advancing equity for marginalized communities in the region.

D. Demonstration of Inclusion of Lived Expertise and Person-Centered Practices (20 POINTS)

1. What role will residents play in planning, implementation, and evaluating your proposed project?
2. Explain how will your project gain and respond to resident feedback in its ongoing programming and operations?

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- The applicant clearly describes who and how they will partner with residents and members of the community with lived experience of homelessness.
- Applicant effectively communicates how they 'share power' and respond to customer feedback by including customer voice in their planning, implementation, and evaluation of services.
- Applicant's budget and explanation reflect an equitable partnership (if applicable).

E. Data and Fiscal Management Practices (5 POINTS)

1. Describe your agency's experience or ability to collect and manage Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) data.
2. What is your agency's experience gathering and reporting on programmatic data? If your agency has limited experience in gathering and reporting on program data, please describe your capacity-building needs in this area.
3. Describe how your agency manages finances, including any financial systems and controls in place.

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- Applicant has previous experience or explains their knowledge and capacity to collect and manage HMIS data.
- The applicant has experience or can meet reporting requirements with state, local and/or federally funded programs.
- Applicant adequately describes its revenue, financial health, and financial management system.
- Applicant has a fiscal management system which maintains checks and balances and follows Generally Accepted Accounting Principles to safeguard all funds that may be awarded under the terms of this funding opportunity. If applicant lacks fiscal management capabilities, applicant identifies its fiscal sponsor.

F. Budget & Budget Narrative (15 POINTS)

Complete the CoC Proposed Program and Personnel Budgets (Attachment #2, tabs 1 & 2). Do not provide your agency's total budget. Costs should reflect the proposed activities and any additional fund sources related to the program area(s) being proposed. In addition to Attachment #2, complete

a budget narrative which addresses the questions below. Attachment #2 will not count toward the character limit.

1. Describe each budget item you are requesting and how you plan to use it.
2. Is there any other project funding/revenue or income received from other sources for the proposed program(s) (individual, government, foundation, fees for service, volunteers, etc.)? (Yes/No) If yes, please include each additional fund source in separate columns on Attachment #2. You must be able to demonstrate a 25% in-kind or cash match.

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- Staff positions and qualifications are designed to meet the needs of its customers.
- Applicant explains each budget item and its use clearly. Budget items seem logical and cost effective.
- If funds are being used from other sources, applicant clearly lists the fund source(s) and budget allocations are clearly explained.
- Applicant demonstrated the ability to meet the 25% match requirement.

III. Deadline & Completed Application Requirements

A. Application Submittal

1. A completed and signed Application Cover Sheet (Attachment #1).
2. The application must include:
 - a. A completed narrative response to sections A. – F. (Attachment #2 does not count towards the 1700-word limit).
 - b. If you are proposing a partnership or subcontract with another agency, attach a signed letter of commitment from that agency's Director or other authorized representative.
 - c. List of Board of Directors names and last three meeting minutes.

Completed applications are due by **August 28, 2023, by 11:59pm PST via Fluxx**. Applications must be submitted to Fluxx. Faxed, hand delivered, or mailed applications will not be accepted. KCRHA advises submitting application documents in Fluxx several hours prior to the deadline in case you encounter an issue with the system, etc. KCRHA is not responsible for ensuring that applications are received by the deadline. KCRHA reserves the right to deny any applications submitted after the stated deadline.

B. Determination of a Completed Application

KCRHA screens each complete application that is submitted on time. For applicants that are determined "complete", KCRHA will request copies of the following documents if they are not already on file:

1. Current fiscal year's financial statements, consisting of the Balance Sheet, Income Statement and Statement of Cash Flows, certified by the agency's CFO, Finance Officer, or Board Treasurer.
2. Most recent audit reports.
3. Most recent fiscal year-ending Form 990 report.
4. Current certificate of commercial liability insurance (if awarded, the agency's insurance must conform to Master Service Agreement (MSA) requirements at the start of the contract).
5. Current verification of nonprofit status or evidence of incorporation or status as a legal entity. Your agency must have a federal tax identification number/employer identification number.
6. Proof of federally approved indirect rate, if applicable.
7. Proof of Federal [System for Award Management](#) (SAM) registration in good standing, if applicable.

TEMPLATE

CoC PSH Bonus RFP Assigned
Reviewer:

Status

**A. NEED FOR PROJECT/PROJECT
NARRATIVE (20 POINTS):**

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- The agency effectively demonstrates unique features needed within the community and Continuum of Care through identified in this funding process.
- Proposes to serve youth & young adults, single adults or and families who meet HUD's definition of "chronically homeless", or at least one member in the household has a disability;
- Applicant proposes adequate experience to provide Permanent Support Housing (PSH) rental assistance, services, and/or operations;
- The number and confirmation of units will fit the needs of the program participants; and
- Demonstrates a commitment to a housing first approach, trauma-informed, person-centered approaches which also encourage wellbeing.
- The applicant has a logical, thorough plan to address the needs identified in this procurement process.
- Applicant proposes to implement services within KCRHA's jurisdiction and/or target location(s).
- The ratio of direct-service staff to residents supports person-centered services.
- Applicant provides detail about the anticipated number of people housed and anticipates serving an underserved population. Additional points are awarded to applicants who plan to serve youth and young adults.

Low (0-5) = Does not meet any/or address the criteria.

Medium (6-10) = Adequately addresses the criteria.

High (15-20) = Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria.

Comments:

**B. PROJECT APPLICANT EXPERIENCE
& SYSTEM COORDINATION (20
POINTS):**

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- Demonstrates a history of successfully operating PSH;
- Demonstrates the ability to keep residents housed permanently.
- Proposal includes staffing and staff qualifications seem logical for the program type
- Additional points awarded for proposals which have formal agreements with healthcare providers
- Proposal demonstrates the ability to leverage partnerships and services to best serve PSH residents

Low (0-7) = Does not meet any/or address the criteria.

Medium (7-14) = Adequately addresses the criteria.

High (15-20) = Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria.

Comments:

**C. DEMONSTRATION OF COMMITMENT
TO ADVANCING RACE & SOCIAL
JUSTICE (20 POINTS):**

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- Applicant demonstrates how they empower their residents' potential and demonstrates an understanding of

the historical systemic forms of oppression which harm the communities they serve.

- Applicant has a strong history and/or commitment working with and supporting low income BIPOC communities and individuals impacted by institutional racism.
- Applicants demonstrate a commitment to advancing equity for marginalized communities in the region.

Low (0-7) = Does not meet any/or address the criteria.

Medium (8-15) = Adequately addresses the criteria.

High (16-20) = Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria.

Comments:

D. DEMONSTRATION OF INCLUSION OF LIVED EXPERTISE AND PERSON-CENTERED PRACTICES (20 POINTS) :

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- The applicant clearly describes who and how they will partner with residents and members of the community with lived experience of homelessness.
- Applicant effectively communicates how they 'share power' and respond to customer feedback by including customer voice in their planning, implementation, and evaluation of services.
- Applicant's budget and explanation reflect an equitable partnership (if applicable).

Low (0-7) = Does not meet any/or address the criteria.

Medium (8-15) = Adequately addresses the criteria.

High (16-20) = Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria.

Comments:

E. DATA AND FISCAL MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (5 POINTS):

Rating Criteria - A strong application meets all the criteria below.

- Applicant has previous experience or explains their knowledge and capacity to collect and manage HMIS data.
- The applicant has experience or can meet reporting requirements with state, local and/or federally funded programs.
- Applicant adequately describes its revenue, financial health, and financial management system.
- Applicant has a fiscal management system which maintains checks and balances and follows Generally Accepted Accounting Principles to safeguard all funds that may be awarded under the terms of this funding opportunity. If applicant lacks fiscal management capabilities, applicant identifies its fiscal sponsor.

Low (0-1) = Does not meet any/or address the criteria.

Medium (2-3) = Adequately addresses the criteria.

High (4-5) = Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria.

Comments:

F. BUDGET & BUDGET NARRATIVE (10 POINTS):

Low (0-5) = Does not meet any/or address the criteria.
Medium (6-10) = Adequately addresses the criteria.
High (11-15) = Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria.

G. AGENCY VIRTUAL TOUR/INTERVIEW

Comments:

Overall Comments:

Overall Score:

Do you have any specific feedback on the strengths, weaknesses, or concerns of the proposal that you would like to share?

Would you support funding of this application? :

▼ **Notes/History - Internal only**

NOTES

HISTORY

Show History

Updated At:

Updated By:

Created At:

Created By: