

## **System Performance Measures**

Intent, Overview, and Current Data

### **Acronyms and Terms**

Acronym	Project Type
ES	Emergency Shelter
тн	Transitional Housing
SH	Safe Haven
RRH	Rapid Re-Housing
PSH	Permanent Supportive Housing (disability requirement)
PH	Permanent Housing – Housing Only
rn	Permanent Housing – Housing with Services (no disability requirement)
SO	Street Outreach
HMIS	Homeless Management Information System
Term	Explanation
PIT Count	Point-in-Time Count of Sheltered/Unsheltered Persons
Client Universe	All Clients belonging the group of interest for the current metric
HUD	Housing and Urban Development (Federal Proponent)



### **Background and Intent**

- CoCs consolidated redesign requires *system-based* thinking, planning and **evaluation**
- System Performance Measures standardize guidance for measuring how each CoC performs as a system
- Accurately assessing performance requires quality data from Projects/ Providers
- Data helps CoCs identify gaps in services and outcomes



#### Measure 1:

- Assesses goal of achieving quick and stable housing for Participants
- Encourages communities to quickly re-house Participants
- Helps to discover Participants who have been homeless for long periods of time (detecting outliers)



#### Measure 1:

- 1. <u>Add</u> up time spent in *ES* and/or *SH* by each Participants during reporting period (days)
- 2. <u>Divide</u> total from **step 1** by total days to obtain *Average*
- 3. <u>Calculate</u> *Median* of days for all persons included (50th percentile value)



### Measure 1(a):

- Separated into *Measures 1.1* and *1.2* 
  - 1.1 does not include time spent in TH
  - 1.2 includes time spent in TH
- Median indicates anticipated time for any given person
- Average detects very long or very short times (outliers)
- Unsheltered time not required by HUD, but... (next slide)



### Measure 1(b):

- Includes Unsheltered time (estimates where available)
- Length of time reported directly by the Participants
- Collected in addition to M1a (not required by HUD)
- Incorporates Participants' stories *(self-reporting)* into estimates



### **KCRHA System Performance (M1)** Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

Median LoT (from HMIS)					
Project(s) FY 2022 FY 2023 Change					
ES, SH	97	114	17		
<b>ES, SH, TH</b> 118 133 15					

Median LoT (from Assessment)					
Project(s) FY 2022 FY 2023 Change					
ES, SH	379	336	-43		
<b>ES, SH, TH</b> 429 377 -52					

- On average, there was an increase in the length of time individuals spent in shelters from FY 2022 to FY 2023 by 13 days.
- There was decrease in the average days individuals *reported* spending (**M1b**) experiencing homelessness, prior to their housing move-in. This could suggest improvements aimed at reducing homelessness the duration overall may be working despite an increase in the time spent in shelters.



#### Measure 2:

#### Returns to Homelessness in 6, 12, 24 mos.

- Assesses housing *solidity*
- Encourages community to pay attention to quality and fit, as well as volume
- Can help identify Programs and Projects needing special attention by flagging high rates of return
- Provides information about *positive* and *negative* exits



### Measure 2(a):

#### Returns to Homelessness in <u>6</u>, <u>12</u> mos.

- 1. <u>Count total Participants who exited to PH in the prior period</u>
- 2. <u>Count</u> total Participants who were *also* recorded in any of SO, *ES*, *SH*, or *TH* projects at 6 *and* 12 months *post-exit* to *PH*
- 3. <u>Divide</u> **step 2** by **step 1** to obtain fraction (percent) of Participants who return to homelessness

Note: *Measure 2a* is divided into 2a.1 and 2a.2, where 2a.1 does NOT include *PH*, but 2a.2 does (at **step 2**)



### Measure 2(b):

Returns to Homelessness within <u>24</u> mos.

- 1. <u>Count</u> total Clients who exited to PH >= 24 months ago
- 2. <u>Count</u> total Clients who were *also* recorded in any of SO, *ES*, *SH*, or *TH* projects within 24 months *post-exit* to *PH*
- 3. <u>Divide</u> **step 2** by **step 1** to obtain fraction (percent) of Clients who return to homelessness

Note: *Measure 2b* is divided into *2b.1* and *2b.2*, where *2b.1* does NOT include *PH*, but *2b.2* does (at **step 2**)



### **KCRHA System Performance (M2)**

Returns to Homelessness in 6, 12, 24 mos.

Project	<b>Project</b> Returns in Less than 6 Mos		Returns in 6 to 12 Mos			Returns in 24 Mos			
Exited	FY 2022	FY 2023	% of Returns	FY 2022	FY 2023	% of Returns	FY 2022	FY 2023	% of Returns
SO	66	66	5.64%	51	53	4.53%	204	180	15.37%
ES	364	274	11.55%	70	129	5.44%	623	522	22.01%
тн	33	36	6.03%	10	24	4.02%	81	92	15.41%
SH	2	3	9.68%	1	0	0.00%	4	6	19.35%
РН	65	54	2.75%	40	45	2.29%	159	172	8.77%
TOTALS	530	433	7.06%	172	251	4.09%	1,071	972	15.85%



### **KCRHA System Performance (M2)**

Returns to Homelessness in 6, 12, 24 mos.

- The number of returns to homelessness within two years varied across different categories, with percentages ranging from 9% to 22%.
- The percentages varied between intervention types but the count was overall down by 10% from the previous year's returns.



#### Measure 7:

#### **Successful Housing Placements**

- Viewed with M1 and M2 to assess *solidity* of placements
- Measures *positive* exits, rather than *all* exits
- Measures movement through the continuum to *PH*, as well as placements from *street outreach*
- Continuously collected data is an important tool for assessing system effectiveness



#### Measure 7:

#### **Successful Housing Placements**

- 1. <u>Add</u> Participants currently in *ES*, *SH*, *TH*, and *PH-RRH* who exited in the current period
- 2. <u>Add</u> all Participants in *ES*, *SH*, *TH*, and *PH-RRH* who then *exited* to *PH*
- 3. <u>Divide</u> step 2 by step 1 for percent of *successful exits* to *PH*

Note: 7b.1 calculation shown, and 7b.2 further includes percent who retained PH, while 7a focuses on SO



### KCRHA System Performance (M7)

#### Successful Housing Placements

Exits to PH	FY 2022	FY 2023	Change	
Total	8,392	8,891	499	
<b>Positive Exits</b>	3,277	4,036	759	
% Successful	39%	45%	6%	

Retained PH	FY 2022	FY 2023	Change
Total	7,874	8,141	267
<b>Positive Exits</b>	7,556	7,842	286
% Successful	96%	96%	0%

- For *Metric 7b.1*, the percentage of successful exits to *PH* destinations from emergency shelters, *TH*, and *PSH* increased by 6%.
- For *Metric 7b.2*, the percentage of successful exits or retention in permanent housing remained consistent at 96%.



### KCRHA System Performance (M7)

#### Successful Housing Placements

Exits from SO	FY 2022	FY 2023	Change
Total	3,623	4,551	928
<b>Negative Exits</b>	1,092	724	-368
<b>Positive Exits</b>	1,481	2,932	1,451
% Successful	71%	80%	9%

- *Metric 7a.1*, the percentage of successful exits from *SO* to *PH* destinations increased by 9%.
- Data quality is extremely important for this measure to be accurate and that exits and exit destinations are recorded accurately in the HMIS.











# Thank you.

Stay up to date by following us on social media and subscribing to our emails.

Scan this QR code to sign up for KCRHA emails  $\rightarrow$ 

f ( ) @KingCoRHA ( ) @KCRHA

