

System Performance Measures

Intent, Overview, and Current Data

Acronyms and Terms

Acronym	Project Type
ES	Emergency Shelter
TH	Transitional Housing
SH	Safe Haven
RRH	Rapid Re-Housing
PSH	Permanent Supportive Housing (disability requirement)
PH	Permanent Housing – Housing Only
РП	Permanent Housing – Housing with Services (no disability requirement)
SO	Street Outreach
HMIS	Homeless Management Information System
Term	Explanation
PIT Count	Point-in-Time Count of Sheltered/Unsheltered Persons
Client Universe	All Clients belonging the group of interest for the current metric
HUD	Housing and Urban Development (Federal Proponent)



Background and Intent

- CoCs consolidated redesign requires system-based thinking, planning and evaluation
- System Performance Measures standardize guidance for measuring how each CoC performs as a system
- Accurately assessing performance requires quality data from Projects/ Providers
- Data helps CoCs identify gaps in services and outcomes



Measure 1:

- Assesses goal of achieving quick and stable housing for Participants
- Encourages communities to quickly re-house Participants
- Helps to discover Participants who have been homeless for long periods of time (detecting outliers)



Measure 1:

- Add up time spent in ES and/or SH by each Participants during reporting period (days)
- 2. <u>Divide</u> total from **step 1** by total days to obtain *Average*
- 3. <u>Calculate</u> *Median* of days for all persons included (50th percentile value)



Measure 1(a):

- Separated into *Measures 1.1* and *1.2*
 - 1.1 does not include time spent in TH
 - 1.2 includes time spent in TH
- Median indicates anticipated time for any given person
- Average detects very long or very short times (outliers)
- Unsheltered time not required by HUD, but... (next slide)



Measure 1(b):

- Includes *Unsheltered* time (estimates where available)
- Length of time reported directly by the Participants
- Collected in addition to M1a (not required by HUD)
- Incorporates Participants' stories (self-reporting) into estimates



KCRHA System Performance (M1)

Median LoT (from HMIS)						
Project(s)	FY 2022 FY 2023 Change					
ES, SH	97	114	17			
ES, SH, TH 118 133 15						

Median LoT (from Assessment)						
Project(s) FY 2022 FY 2023 Change						
ES, SH	379	336	-43			
ES, SH, TH 429 377 -52						

- On average, there was an increase in the length of time individuals spent in shelters from FY 2022 to FY 2023 by 13 days.
- There was decrease in the average days individuals reported spending (M1b) experiencing homelessness, prior to their housing move-in. This could suggest improvements aimed at reducing homelessness the duration overall may be working despite an increase in the time spent in shelters.



Measure 2:

Returns to Homelessness in 6, 12, 24 mos.

- Assesses housing solidity
- Encourages community to pay attention to quality and fit, as well as volume
- Can help identify Programs and Projects needing special attention by flagging high rates of return
- Provides information about positive and negative exits



Measure 2(a):

Returns to Homelessness in 6, 12 mos.

- 1. Count total Participants who exited to PH in the prior period
- 2. Count total Participants who were also recorded in any of SO, ES, SH, or TH projects at 6 and 12 months post-exit to PH
- 3. <u>Divide</u> **step 2** by **step 1** to obtain fraction (percent) of Participants who return to homelessness

Note: Measure 2a is divided into 2a.1 and 2a.2, where 2a.1 does NOT include PH, but 2a.2 does (at step 2)



Measure 2(b):

Returns to Homelessness within 24 mos.

- 1. Count total Clients who exited to PH >= 24 months ago
- 2. Count total Clients who were also recorded in any of SO, ES, SH, or TH projects within 24 months post-exit to PH
- 3. <u>Divide</u> **step 2** by **step 1** to obtain fraction (percent) of Clients who return to homelessness

Note: Measure 2b is divided into 2b.1 and 2b.2, where 2b.1 does NOT include PH, but 2b.2 does (at step 2)



KCRHA System Performance (M2)

Returns to Homelessness in 6, 12, 24 mos.

Project	Returns in Less than 6 Mos			
Exited	FY 2022	FY 2023	% of Returns	
so	66	66	5.64%	
ES	364	274	11.55%	
TH	33	36	6.03%	
SH	2	3	9.68%	
PH	65	54	2.75%	
TOTALS	530	433	7.06%	

Returns in 6 to 12 Mos				
FY 2022	FY 2023	% of Returns		
51	53	4.53%		
70	129	5.44%		
10	24	4.02%		
1	0	0.00%		
40	45	2.29%		
172	251 4.09%			

Returns in 24 Mos			
FY 2022	FY 2023 % of Return		
204	180	15.37%	
623	522	22.01%	
81	92	15.41%	
4	6	19.35%	
159	172 8.77%		
1,071 972 15.85%			



KCRHA System Performance (M2)

Returns to Homelessness in 6, 12, 24 mos.

- The number of returns to homelessness within two years varied across different categories, with percentages ranging from 9% to 22%.
- The percentages varied between intervention types but the count was overall down by 10% from the previous year's returns.



Measure 3:

Number of People Exp. Homelessness

- Measures overall reduction in the number of homeless individuals and families
- Directly assesses CoC's progress toward eliminating homelessness
- Utilizes PIT Count in combination with Annual Counts



Measure 3:

Number of People Exp. Homelessness

- 3.1 estimates number based on change in PIT count
 - Sheltered counts conducted annually
 - Unsheltered counts added on odd-numbered years
- 3.2 estimates number based on Annual count
 - Add total Clients in HMIS, by project type
 - Unduplicated records are counted



KCRHA System Performance (M3)

Number of People Exp. Homelessness

Change in PIT Count(s)					
Project Category	January 2022 January 2023 Change				
ES	4141	4885	744		
тн	1487	1525	38		
SH	55	54	-1		
Sheltered Total	5683	6464	781		
Unsheltered Total	7685	0	-7685		
Total	13368	6464	-6904		

 There was a significant decrease in the Point-in-Time Count of total persons from FY 2022 to FY 2023, with a decrease of 6,904 individuals but that is attributed to the absence of the unsheltered count in 2023. The sheltered count had an increase of 13%.



KCRHA System Performance (M3)

Number of People Exp. Homelessness

Change in Annual Count(s)				
Project Category	FY 2022 FY 2023 Change			
ES	13,880	14,443	563	
тн	12,514	13,098	584	
SH	106	146	40	
Total	1,750	1,696	-54	

 The Annual Count of total persons showed a slight increase from FY 2022 to FY 2023, with 563 more individuals counted.



Measure 4:

- Demonstrates whether the percent of adults served have increased their income between enrollment and exit (or follow-up assessment)
- Closely associated with housing placement stability



Measure 4:

- 1. Add total adult Participants ('leavers' or 'stayers' as required)
- 2. Add total adults who have increased:
 - Employment income (4.1, 4.4)
 - Non-Employment income (4.2, 4.5)
 - Combined Total income (4.3, 4.6)
- 3. Divide step 2 by step 1 for % with increased income



Measure 4:

- Assesses for increase in income during reporting period
 - 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 measure change for 'stayers' during annual assessments
 - 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 measure change for 'leavers' upon system exit (to PH)
 - Includes Adults in SH, TH, PH (RRH and PSH)
- Each subset of measures accounts for employment, nonemployment, and combined (total) income



KCRHA System Performance (M4)

'Stayers'	FY 2022	FY 2023	Change
Total	1,817	1,794	-23
# Increased	714	778	64
% Increased	39%	38%	4%

'Leavers'	FY 2022	FY 2023	Change
Total	701	645	-56
# Increased	272	242	-30
% Increased	39%	38%	-1%

- Overall, for stayers and leaver in CoC funded programs, an increase of income remained flat for stayers with no growth whatsoever and a 1% decrease for leavers.
- This suggests that while maintaining income is good, there's a challenge in enhancing income levels for individuals in the system and those transitioning out of the system.
- Figures shown reflect changes in Total Income (Employment + Non-Employment)



Measure 5:

- Additional important measure for assessing overall reduction in levels of homelessness
- Measures total numbers rather than percentages to highlight volume (increase awareness)
- Viewed in context with M2 and M3, it shows how people enter and exit system over time



Measure 5:

- 1. Add total number of Participants in the system
- 2. <u>Calculate</u> number of Participants who were *also* in any of *ES*, *SH*, *TH*, or *PH* within the past 24 months
- 3. Subtract number from **step 2** from that in **step 1** to get total experiencing homelessness for first time



Measure 5:

- 5.1 measures total Participants as those in ES, SH, TH currently
- 5.2 measures total Participants as those in PH (in addition to 5.1)
- 'Experiencing homelessness for the first time' defined as not having engaged with the system for > 24 months



KCRHA System Performance (M5)

ES, SH, and TH	FY 2022	FY 2023	Change
Total	10,171	10,178	7
Recorded w/ in 24 mos.	2,501	2,331	-170
No Record for >24 mos.	7,670	7,847	177

ES, SH, TH, and PH	FY 2022	FY 2023	Change
Total	14,185	13,911	-274
Recorded w/ in 24 mos.	4,187	3,370	-817
No Record for >24 mos.	9,998	10,541	543

- In *Metric 5.1* and *5.2* there was an increase in the number of persons entering *ES*, *SH*, *TH* and *PH* projects with no prior enrollments from both categories in HMIS from *FY 2022* to *FY 2023*.
- This could indicate a small rise in firsttime homelessness in our system during the reporting period.



Measure 6:

Preventing Returns to Homelessness (Cat.3)

- Addresses homelessness prevention and housing placement for people who are *unstably* housed
- Special criteria for *youth* and *families with children* who are at higher risk of homelessness



Measure 6:

Preventing Returns to Homelessness (Cat.3)

- Category 3 defines unaccompanied youth under 25 years of age, or families with children, who do not otherwise qualify as homeless, who:
 - Are defined as homeless under the other listed federal statutes;
 - Have not had a lease, ownership interest in permanent housing during the 60 days prior to the homeless assistance application;
 - Have experienced persistent instability as measured by two moves or more during in the preceding 60 days; and
 - Can be expected to continue in such status for an extended period of time due to special needs or barriers



Measure 6:

Preventing Returns to Homelessness (Cat.3)

- 1. Add all Participants meeting the category criteria
- 2. Add persons in this group who also entered any of SO, ES, SH, TH, or PH projects, subdivided into:
 - o reentry within 6 and 12 months of exit to PH (6.1)
 - o reentry within 24 months of exit to PH (6. 2)
- 3. Divide step 2 total by step 1 total for each time-window



KCRHA System Performance (M6)

Preventing Returns to Homelessness (Cat.3)

This Measure is not applicable to CoCs in the FY2021 or FY2022 reporting periods



Measure 7:

Successful Housing Placements

- Viewed with M1 and M2 to assess solidity of placements
- Measures positive exits, rather than all exits
- Measures movement through the continuum to *PH*, as well as placements from *street outreach*
- Continuously collected data is an important tool for assessing system effectiveness



Measure 7:

Successful Housing Placements

- 1. Add Participants currently in ES, SH, TH, and PH-RRH who exited in the current period
- 2. Add all Participants in ES, SH, TH, and PH-RRH who then exited to PH
- 3. Divide step 2 by step 1 for percent of successful exits to PH

Note: 7b.1 calculation shown, and 7b.2 further includes percent who retained PH, while 7a focuses on SO



KCRHA System Performance (M7)

Successful Housing Placements

Exits to PH	FY 2022	FY 2023	Change
Total	8,392	8,891	499
Positive Exits	3,277	4,036	759
% Successful	39%	45%	6%

Retained PH	FY 2022	FY 2023	Change
Total	7,874	8,141	267
Positive Exits	7,556	7,842	286
% Successful	96%	96%	0%

- For Metric 7b.1, the percentage of successful exits to PH destinations from emergency shelters, TH, and PSH increased by 6%.
- For *Metric 7b.2*, the percentage of successful exits or retention in permanent housing remained consistent at 96%.



KCRHA System Performance (M7)

Successful Housing Placements

Exits from SO	FY 2022	FY 2023	Change
Total	3,623	4,551	928
Negative Exits	1,092	724	-368
Positive Exits	1,481	2,932	1,451
% Successful	71%	80%	9%

- *Metric 7a.1*, the percentage of successful exits from *SO* to *PH* destinations increased by 9%.
- Data quality is extremely important for this measure to be accurate and that exits and exit destinations are recorded accurately in the HMIS.



Questions?







Thank you.

Stay up to date by following us on social media and subscribing to our emails.

Scan this QR code to sign up for KCRHA emails →







(🟏) @KingCoRHA



