



KCRHA

King County Regional Homelessness Authority

FY24 NOFO Rating Updates

Proposed Changes to the FY24 NOFO Rating

Wednesday July 3, 2024

Today's Objective

- Review the proposed updates to the FY24 Continuum of Care (CoC) NOFO Rating Materials
- Questions and Answers
- Approval for from the CoC Board



NOFO Planning Workgroup

- Workgroup of the CoC focused on preparing for the CoC NOFO
- Collectively reviewed all rating criteria
 - Collaborated on recommended changes to the rating and key indicators



FY24 CoC Rating and Ranking

- **Rating**: scoring projects based on standard criteria (for example, for rapid rehousing how many days it takes to move-in to housing after entering the program).
- **Ranking**: prioritizing projects based on our local CoC prioritizes (for example, historically youth programs have rated low - because we wanted to prioritize ending youth homelessness we ranked lower scoring programs higher to not risk de-funding all youth programs).



HUD Requirements for Rating

1. Established total points available for each project application type.
2. At least **33 percent** of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served.
3. At least **20 percent** of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).
4. Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.
5. Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.
6. Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.



No Change: HMIS Data

- Rating related to HMIS data did not change.
 - Largely the points related to system performance criteria.



Proposed Changes

- **Section 5: HUD / System / Program Component Priority Measure**
 - **Program Component Priority:** added additional options
 - **Program Population Priority:** included Elders and seniors
 - **Housing First Narrative:** updated criteria and increased point value
 - **Program Termination & Low Barrier Housing Narrative:** updated criteria and increased point value
 - **Participant Engagement/Feedback Narrative:** updated criteria and increased point value



Proposed Changes Continued

- Section 5: HUD / System / Program Component

- Priority Measure

- **Lived & Living Experience:** updated criteria and increased point value
- **BONUS: Lived & Living Experience:** added bonus points
- **Racial Equity Narrative:** updated criteria and increased point value
- **BONUS: Racial Equity:** added bonus points
- **LGBTQIA2S+ Narrative:** updated criteria and increased point value
- **BONUS: LGBTQIA2S+:** added bonus points



Proposed Changes Continued

- **6. Project Efficiency and Effectiveness Measure**
 - **Project Expenditures:** updated criteria



Summary of the Ask

- The NOFO Planning Workgroup requests the CoC Board to approve the recommended changes affirmed by the System Performance Committee to the FY24 NOFO Rating and Key Indicators including edits required based on feedback from the Board and/or HUD's Detailed Instructions for the FY24 NOFO.



Questions?





KCRHA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority

Thank you.

Stay up to date by following us on social media and subscribing to our emails.

Scan this QR code to sign up for KCRHA emails →



@KingCoRHA



@KCRHA

