

Understanding System Performance Measures: A HUD Federal Report Overview

Research and Data, KCRHA

Goals:

Enhance Understanding: Provide CoC Board members with a clear overview of the System Performance Measures (SPM) report, focusing on Measure 2 (Returns to Homelessness) and Measure 7 (Successful Placement and Retention).

Facilitate Informed Discussion: Create space for advisory board members to ask clarifying questions and deepen their understanding of how the measures reflect system performance.

Support Strategic Use of Data: Identify ways the CoC Board can leverage the SPM report to inform policy decisions and strengthen the region's homelessness response.



Federal Reporting Calendar

Annual Performance Reports Longitudinal Systems Analysis Housing Inventory Count (HIC) (APR) (LSA) Submitted with the PIT during the Staggered reporting tied to Annual data due in January for last 10 days of January to track project grant cycles; due within population and trend analysis housing resources. 90 days after grant end date. **Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Quarterly Reporting System Performance Measures** Point-in-Time (PIT) Count Federal ESG: Due quarterly via (SPM) Sage; aligns with federal funding **Sheltered PIT: Conducted January** Report due annually in May, timelines. 30th, 2025. Unsheltered count is evaluates CoC effectiveness in biennial. **Department of Commerce ESG:** addressing homelessness. Quarterly submission through local systems.



System Performance Measures

Measure 1 & 7

What's measured	Critical Data Elements
Length of time homeless	Project start and exit dates
Exits to permanent housing	Exit destination
First time homeless	Project start and exit dates
Returns to homelessness	Re-enrollment data
Income growth and employment gains	Income & sources at entry, annual, and at exit



Measure 1:

- Assesses goal of achieving quick and stable housing for Participants
- Encourages communities to quickly re-house Participants
- Helps to discover Participants who have been homeless for long periods of time (detecting outliers)



Measure 1:

- 1. Add up time spent in ES and/or SH by each Participants during reporting period (days)
- 2. <u>Divide</u> total from **step 1** by total days to obtain *Average*
- 3. <u>Calculate</u> *Median* of days for all persons included (50th percentile value)



Measure 1(a):

- Separated into Measures 1.1 and 1.2
 - 1.1 does not include time spent in TH
 - 1.2 includes time spent in TH
- Median indicates anticipated time for any given person
- Average detects very long or very short times (outliers)
- Unsheltered time not required by HUD, but... (next slide)



Measure 1(b):

- Includes Unsheltered time (estimates where available)
- Length of time reported directly by the Participants
- Collected in addition to M1a (not required by HUD)
- Incorporates Participants' stories (self-reporting) into estimates



KCRHA System Performance (M1)

Median LoT (from HMIS)			
Project(s)	FY 2022 FY 2023		Change
ES, SH	97	114	17
ES, SH, TH	118	133	15

Median LoT (from Assessment)				
Project(s)	FY 2022 FY 2023 Chang			
ES, SH	379	336	-43	
ES, SH, TH	429	377	-52	

- On average, there was an increase in the length of time individuals spent in shelters from FY 2022 to FY 2023 by 13 days.
- There was decrease in the average days individuals reported spending (M1b) experiencing homelessness, prior to their housing move-in. This could suggest improvements aimed at reducing homelessness the duration overall may be working despite an increase in the time spent in shelters.



Measure 7:

Successful Housing Placements

- Viewed with M1 and M2 to assess solidity of placements
- Measures positive exits, rather than all exits
- Measures movement through the continuum to PH, as well as placements from street outreach
- Continuously collected data is an important tool for assessing system effectiveness



Measure 7:

Successful Housing Placements

- 1. Add Participants currently in ES, SH, TH, and PH-RRH who exited in the current period
- 2. Add all Participants in ES, SH, TH, and PH-RRH who then exited to PH
- 3. Divide step 2 by step 1 for percent of successful exits to PH

Note: 7b.1 calculation shown, and 7b.2 further includes percent who **retained** PH, while 7a focuses on SO



KCRHA System Performance (M7)

Successful Housing Placements

Exits to PH	FY 2022	FY 2023	Change
Total	8,392	8,891	499
Positive Exits	3,277	4,036	759
% Successful	39%	45%	6%

Retained <i>PH</i>	FY 2022	FY 2023	Change
Total	7,874	8,141	267
Positive Exits	7,556	7,842	286
% Successful	96%	96%	0%

- For Metric 7b.1, the percentage of successful exits to PH destinations from emergency shelters, TH, and PSH increased by 6%.
- For *Metric 7b.2*, the percentage of successful exits or retention in permanent housing remained consistent at 96%.



KCRHA System Performance (M7)

Successful Housing Placements

Exits from SO	FY 2022	FY 2023	Change
Total	3,623	4,551	928
Negative Exits	1,092	724	-368
Positive Exits	1,481	2,932	1,451
% Successful	71%	80%	9%

- Metric 7a.1, the percentage of successful exits from SO to PH destinations increased by 9%.
- Data quality is extremely important for this measure to be accurate and that exits and exit destinations are recorded accurately in the HMIS.



Discussion & Questions



Thank you.

Stay up to date by following us on social media and subscribing to our emails.

Scan this QR code to sign up for KCRHA emails →







@KingCoRHA



@KCRHA

