



FY 2026 CoC NOFO: Local Competition Rating

Renewal Project Rating Criteria

RENEWAL PROJECT CRITERIA			Maximum Scores		
Criteria	Metric and Point Allocation	Source	PSH/SH 125	RRH 123	TH/SSO 120
Exits to Permanent Housing	<p>PSH: % remaining in PSH or exited to a PH situation (zero points if less than 45%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 90%</p> <p>RRH: % moving to PH (zero point if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85%</p> <p>TH/SSO: % moving to PH (zero points if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85%.</p> <p>10 points: Meets or exceeds</p> <p>5 points: Nearing goal. RRH/TH/SSO with no exits in time period.</p> <p>0 points: Does not meet expectations</p>	HMIS	10	10	10
Housing Retention	<p>85% or more remain stably housing in PSH or SH for 2+ years or more.</p> <p>5 points: Meets or exceeds</p> <p>No partial scores</p>	HMIS	5	n/a	n/a
Exit to Unsubsidized Housing	<p>Demonstrate that at least 20% of participants who exit from TH/RRH/SSO exit to unsubsidized housing.</p> <p>5 points: At least 20% of participants that exit, exit to unsubsidized housing.</p> <p>0 points: Less than 20% if participants exit, exit to unsubsidized housing OR no households exited in the time period.</p>	HMIS	n/a	5	5

Unknown Exits	<p>Extent to which participants exit to a known destination.</p> <p>5 points: Less than 5% of clients that exit to "unknown" OR no households exited during the time period.</p> <p>0 points: More than 5% exit to "unknown".</p>	HMIS	5	5	5
Returns to Homelessness	<p>The extent to which persons who exit homelessness to permanent housing destination return to homelessness meets or exceeds system target for program and population type.</p> <p>For youth serving programs the standard is 5% across all project types. For non-youth programs the standard is 8% for TH and ES, and 3% for RRH and PSH.</p> <p>10 points: Meets or exceeds system target OR no households exited during the time period.</p> <p>5 points: Nearing system target. For youth serving programs the nearing 5-7% across all project types. For non-youth programs nearing is 8-10% for TH, RRH and SSO, and 3-5% for PSH/SH.</p> <p>0 points: More than 7% for YYA serving programs across all project types. For non-youth programs is 10% for TH, RRH, and SSO, and 5% for PSH/SH.</p>	HMIS	10	10	10
Exits with Earned Income	<p>Extent to which adults in the program exit the program with employment income.</p> <p>10 points: More than 20% exited with earned income.</p> <p>5 points: Nearing system target - at least 19-10% exit with earned income OR no households exited during the time period.</p> <p>0 points: Less than 10% of exits had earned income partial scores</p>	HMIS	10	10	10
Exits with Non-Earned Income	<p>Extent to which adults in the program exit with cash income from other sources (e.g. TANF, SSDI) or non-cash (e.g. EBT, Medicaid).</p> <p>5 points: More than 95% exited with non-earned income.</p> <p>3 points: Between 94-85% exited with non-earned income OR no households exited during the time period.</p> <p>0 points: Less than 85% exited with non-earned income.</p>	HMIS	5	5	5

Exits with No Financial Resources	Extent to which no more than 10% of participants exit with “no financial resources” (cash or non-cash). 5 points: Fewer than 10% exited with no income OR no households exited during the time period. No partial scores.	HMIS	5	5	5
Participants Served	Proportion of participants who, at entry, come from a homeless circumstance – excluding approved mobility transfers into PSH. 5 points: 100% of the population served coming from homelessness upon entry – excluding approved mobility transfers into PSH. No partial scores.	HMIS	5	5	5
HMIS Data Quality/Completeness	No more than 5% reported missing/not collected etc., for data in any element (excluding Name, SSN, HIV /AIDS status). 5 points: Less than 5% of universal data elements that are 'missing' - e.g. data not collected, client doesn't know, client refused. No partial scores	HMIS	5	5	5
Occupancy	Extent to which the project maintains capacity/occupancy. <u>Note:</u> RRH scores are determined by move-in rate. 5 points: Occupancy of 95% or more (RRH based on move-in rate) 3 points: Occupancy of 90-94% (RRH based on move-in rate) 2 points: Occupancy rate of 85-89% (RRH based on move-in rate) 0 points: Less than 85% occupancy (RRH based on move-in rate)	HMIS	5	5	5
Program Component Priority	Points based on component type: 5 points: Permanent Supportive Housing Project 3 points: Rapid Rehousing 2.5 points: Joint Component Transitional Housing/Rapid Rehousing 2 points: Transitional Housing, Safe Haven, or Support Services Only	APR Review	5	3	2

Project Expenditures	Extent to which the project spent 100% of HUD funds. 5 points: Underspend is less than or equal to 5% 3 points: Underspend is 6% to 10% 1 points: Underspend is 11% to 20% 0 points: Underspend over 20%	APR Review	5	5	5
On Time Annual Performance Report	APR submitted in a timely manner. 5 points: APR submitted on time. No partial points.	APR Review	5	5	5
Program Population Priority	Full points based on the core target populations the program serves: 5 points: 100% of units/program dedicated/prioritizes Chronically Homeless Persons 3 points: 100% of units/program dedicated/prioritizes Unsheltered Persons 3 points: 100% of units/program operates as “Recovery Based” 3 points: 100% of units/program serve Elders, seniors and/or disabled persons 3 points: 100% of units/program serves Families with children 3 points: 100% of units/program serve Youth and Young Adults 3 points: 100% of units/program participants are fleeing Domestic Violence or Sex Trafficking	Application	5	3	3
Monitoring/Audit Results	Extent to which the project has open findings or recommendations. 5 points: No open findings or recommendations. No partial points	Application	5	5	5
Supportive Service Participation	Evidence of required engagement per 24 CFR 578.75(h) . Except that consistent with 24 CFR 5.2005(b)(1) assistance may not be denied on the basis or as a direct result of the fact that the participant is or has been a victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, if the participant otherwise qualifies for admission, assistance, participation, or occupancy. 2 points: Agency requires participants to engage in supportive services and provides evidence of required engagement in alignment 24 CFR 578.75(h) based on attached agreement OR proposed program exclusively serves	Application	2	2	2

	<p>victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking.</p> <p>1 point: Agency encourage participants to engage in supportive services but does not require it.</p> <p>0 points: Agency does not require participants to engage in supportive services or does not provide evidence.</p>				
Onsite Behavioral Health Treatment Supports	<p>The project demonstrates clear evidence of onsite behavioral health treatment support, including a letter of agreement with the partner or team providing such services.</p> <p>5 points: Applicant provides Letter or MOU demonstrate onsite behavioral health supports provided by a licensed behavioral health agency.</p> <p>3 points: Applicant provides a plan for onsite behavioral health supports without formal agreement or is an outreach program with no onsite location.</p> <p>0 points: Project does not provide onsite behavioral health supports OR provides evidence of support provided by an agency that is not a licensed behavioral health agency.</p>	Application	5	5	5
Mainstream Benefit Supports	<p>Extent to which the project connects participants to mainstream benefits programs.</p> <p>5 points: program indicates at least one mainstream benefit program to which they connect participants. No partial points.</p>	Application	5	5	5
Program Termination	<p>Extent to which the program's service model demonstrates strategies to minimize program termination in full alignment with HUD standards and Continuum of Care best practices.</p> <p>2 points: The program provides clear description and documentation that identifies a clear service model and supports minimizing program termination in alignment with HUD standards of trauma-informed, person-centered care. No partial points.</p>	Application	2	2	2
Participant Feedback	<p>Extent to which the programs solicits, collects and responds to participant feedback to inform and improve services.</p> <p>2 points: The program solicits qualitative and quantitative feedback more than once a year and provides clear examples of how they have changed practices based on feedback and engagement.</p>	Application	2	2	2

	<p>1 point: The program solicits feedback once a year but does not provide examples of how they have changed practices based on feedback and engagement.</p> <p>No points: The program does not solicit or incorporate participant feedback</p>				
Individuals Who Are Experiencing or Have Experienced Homelessness	<p>Demonstrates meaningful inclusion of individuals who are experiencing or have experienced homelessness in organizational governance through dedicated and filled board representation.</p> <p>2 points: The agency has a dedicated board seat for individuals who are experiencing or have experienced homelessness. No partial points.</p>	Application	2	2	2
Advancing Public Safety	<p>Demonstrates active collaboration and system integration with law enforcement, first responders, and outreach systems to support public safety, reduce unsheltered homelessness, and minimize trauma associated with living in unsheltered settings (including encampments). For housing projects (PSH, RRH, TH), responses should reflect how these partnerships support engagement of unsheltered individuals and safe transitions into housing.</p> <p>2 points: The project provides clear, specific, and current evidence of partnerships with law enforcement and/or first responders. Includes Named partners (e.g., police departments, fire/EMS, outreach teams), description of regular coordination activities (e.g., case conferencing, joint outreach, encampment response) and examples of how these partnerships improve safety outcomes and reduce harm/trauma for unsheltered individuals and the broader community. No partial points.</p>	Application	2	2	2
Braided Funding	<p>The extent to which the project demonstrates secured or committed external funding that leverages housing or behavioral health resources.</p> <p>Required Documentation (must include at least one of the following):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Award letter(s) from recognized public funding sources such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Washington State Housing Finance Commission (WSHFC) ○ Public Housing Authority (PHA) ○ Office of Housing (OH) ○ Department of Community and Human Services (DCHS) 	Application	10	10	10

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Executed or draft formal agreement with a Public Housing Authority (PHA) for Move-On vouchers • Other verifiable documentation confirming committed capital, operating, or service funding tied to housing stability or behavioral health services <p>10 Points: Award letter(s) (WSHFC, PHA, OH, DCHS) or executed Move-On voucher agreement Funding is committed and aligned.</p> <p>5 Points: Draft agreement, LOI, or pending award, Credible but not finalized funding</p> <p>0 Points: No documentation or funding is uncommitted/unrelated</p>			
<p>Geographic Diversity of Funds</p>	<p>The project has other fund sources that ensure services are provided throughout the county. Committed fund sources permit participant placements across multiple jurisdictions.</p> <p>5 Points: Committed funding supports services countywide & enables placements across multiple jurisdictions</p> <p>3 Points: Some committed funding, but limited geographic coverage. Cross-jurisdiction placements are partial or constrained</p> <p>0 Points: No committed funding or restricted to a single jurisdiction.</p>	<p>Application</p>	<p>5</p>	<p>5</p>