

Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be completed and submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition submission deadline stated in the NOFO.

The CoC Priority Listing includes:

- Reallocation forms – must be completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects or if a project applicant will transition from an existing component to an eligible new component.
 - Project Listings:
 - New;
 - Renewal;
 - UFA Costs;
 - CoC Planning;
 - YHPD Renewal; and
 - YHDP Replacement and Reallocation.
 - Consolidations and Expansions Forms – must be completed if the CoC is consolidating eligible renewal projects or applying for new projects to expand the operations of eligible renewal projects.
 - Attachment Requirement
 - HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.
 - Things to Remember:
 - New, CoC Renewal, YHPD Renewal, YHDP Replacement and Reallocation Project Listings – all project applications must be reviewed, approved and ranked, or rejected based on the local CoC competition process.
 - Project applications on the following Project Listings must be approved and are not ranked per the FY 2026 CoC Program Competition NOFO:
 - UFA Costs Project Listing;
 - CoC planning Project Listing;
 - Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications accurately appear on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
 - For each project application rejected by the CoC, the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
 - If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND ranked or approved BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.
- Additional training resources are available online on HUD's website

1. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Collaborative Applicant Name: King County Regional Homelessness Authority

2. Reallocation

2-1 Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in Calendar Year 2027 to create one or more new projects? Yes

Alert:

As stated in the FY 2026 CoC Program Competition NOFO:

- CoCs may reallocate YHDP projects from any Round to create new YHDP Replacement projects.
- If a CoC reallocates funding from a renewal project that was previously awarded DV Bonus funding, any new project created with such funding must be 100 percent dedicated to serving individuals and families who are fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking and who qualify as homeless under paragraphs (1) or (4) of the definition of homeless at 24 CFR 578.3 or Section 103(b) of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act.

2A. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs reallocating eligible CoC Renewal, DV Renewal or YHDP renewal project funds to create new project application(s) may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible projects.

YHDP Renewal Grants and DV Renewal Grants may only be reallocated to create new projects that serve the same populations/subpopulations as the projects the funding was reallocated from.

Amount Available for New CoC Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated CoC Renewal Projects)					
\$766,826					
Amount Available for New YHDP Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated YHDP Restricted Projects)					
\$0					
Amount Available for New DV Projects: (Sum of All Eliminated DV Restricted Projects)					
\$0					
Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Funding Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Transition Grant
Home Safe Rapid R...	WA0363L0T002509	PH-RRH	CoC Renewal	\$498,375	No
Salvation Army Wi...	WA0023L0T002518	TH	CoC Renewal	\$268,451	No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

2A-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: Home Safe Rapid Rehousing
Grant Number of Eliminated Project: WA0363L0T002509
Eliminated Project Component Type: PH-RRH
Funding Type: CoC Renewal
Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: \$498,375

2A-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified.
(limit 2500 characters)

Voluntary elimination.

2A-3 Is the project being eliminated to create one or more transition grant applications? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

2A-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name: Salvation Army William Booth
Grant Number of Eliminated Project: WA0023L0T002518

Eliminated Project Component Type: TH

Funding Type: CoC Renewal

Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: \$268,451

**2A-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified.
(limit 2500 characters)**

Voluntary elimination

2A-3 Is the project being eliminated to create one or more transition grant applications? No

2B. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs that are reallocating eligible CoC Renewal, DV Renewal and YHDP Renewal project funds to create new project applications may do so by reducing one or more expiring eligible renewal projects.

YHDP Renewal Grants and DV Renewal Grants may only be reallocated to create new projects that serve the same populations/subpopulations as the projects the funding was reallocated from.

Amount Available for New CoC Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced CoC Projects)								
\$19,035,648								
Amount available for New YHDP Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced YHDP Projects)								
\$765,665								
Amount available for New DV Project(s): (Sum of All Reduced DV Projects)								
\$2,786,178								
Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Funding Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for YHDP Project	Amount available for DV Project	Amount available for New Project	Reallocation Type
Ozanam House Cons...	WA0239LOT002515	CoC Renewal	\$538,537	\$503,537	\$0	\$0	\$35,000	No
Scattered Site Le...	WA0053LOT002518	CoC Renewal	\$1,020,232	\$433,599	\$0	\$0	\$586,633	No
King County Conso...	WA0297LOT002511	CoC Renewal	\$9,105,698	\$3,274,971	\$0	\$0	\$5,830,727	No
My Friend's Place...	WA0392LOT002508	CoC Renewal	\$464,902	\$427,168	\$0	\$0	\$37,734	No
Regional RRH for ...	WA0412DOT002507	DV Renewal	\$4,844,925	\$2,720,459	\$0	\$2,124,466	\$0	No
Seattle Rapid Reh...	WA0295LOT002511	CoC Renewal	\$2,251,030	\$513,731	\$0	\$0	\$1,737,299	No
King County Conso...	WA0366LOT002508	CoC Renewal	\$1,660,591	\$705,751	\$0	\$0	\$954,840	No
Project Priority List FY2026					Page 7		09/29/2026	

Rapid Rehousing f...	WA0319LOT 002510	CoC Renewal	\$1,9 73,7 47	\$691 ,152	\$0	\$0	\$1,282,595	No
King County Shelt...	WA0034LOT 002518	CoC Renewal	\$11, 865.. .	\$4,8 80,5 25	\$0	\$0	\$6,985,036	No
Multicultural IDD...	WA0548LOT 002503	CoC Renewal	\$821 ,936	\$332 ,188	\$0	\$0	\$489,748	No
DV/SA Rapid Rehou...	WA0550DOT 002503	DV Renewal	\$1,6 27,1 74	\$965 ,462	\$0	\$661,712	\$0	No
WA-500 YHDP - YET...	WA0485YOT 002504	YHDP Renewal	\$1,4 05,5 79	\$1,3 55,4 66	\$50,113	\$0	\$0	No
WA-500 YHDP - Bri...	WA0484YOT 002504	YHDP Renewal	\$2,1 26,9 91	\$1,4 11,4 39	\$715,552	\$0	\$0	No
Roy St Transition...	WA0586LOT 002502	CoC Renewal	\$1,0 96,0 36	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,096,036	Yes

Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

2B-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2026 reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding type, entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: Ozanam House Consolidated PSH
Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0239L0T002515
Funding Type: CoC Renewal
Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$538,537
Amount Retained by Project: \$503,537
Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New Project(s): \$35,000
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

2B-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

Reduction determined based on historical spending and true need to meet performance commitments.

2B-3 Is the project applicant reallocating the grant, in part, to create one or more transition grants? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

2B-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2026 reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding type, entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: Scattered Site Leasing
Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0053L0T002518
Funding Type: CoC Renewal
Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$1,020,232
Amount Retained by Project: \$433,599
Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New Project(s): \$586,633
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

2B-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

The CoC used its annual ranking process to ensure all renewal projects were retained in Tier 1 while aligning resources with identified system needs. Based on project performance, community priorities, and gaps in youth housing resources, the CoC reduced selected scattered-site PSH and RRH projects by 59% and reallocated those funds to new Transitional Housing and Supportive Services projects. This approach preserved all renewal projects within Tier 1 while expanding housing and service options for youth and young adults experiencing homelessness. Project applicants were notified of the reduction following completion of the ranking process and prior to submission of the CoC Consolidated Application to HUD.

2B-3 Is the project applicant reallocating the grant, in part, to create one or more transition grants? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

2B-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2026 reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding type, entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: King County Consolidated Scattered Sites Supportive Housing

Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0297L0T002511

Funding Type: CoC Renewal

Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$9,105,698

Amount Retained by Project: \$3,274,971

Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

Amount available for New Project(s): \$5,830,727
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

2B-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

The CoC used its annual ranking process to ensure all renewal projects were retained in Tier 1 while aligning resources with identified system needs. Based on project performance, community priorities, and gaps in youth housing resources, the CoC reduced selected scattered-site PSH and RRH projects by 59% and reallocated those funds to new Transitional Housing and Supportive Services projects. This approach preserved all renewal projects within Tier 1 while expanding housing and service options for youth and young adults experiencing homelessness. Project applicants were notified of the reduction following completion of the ranking process and prior to submission of the CoC Consolidated Application to HUD.

2B-3 Is the project applicant reallocating the grant, in part, to create one or more transition grants? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

2B-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2026 reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding type, entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: My Friend's Place TH/RRH
Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0392L0T002508
Funding Type: CoC Renewal
Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$464,902
Amount Retained by Project: \$427,168
Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New Project(s): \$37,734
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

2B-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction.
(limit 750 characters)

The CoC used its annual ranking process to ensure all renewal projects were retained in Tier 1 while aligning resources with identified system needs. Based on project performance, community priorities, and gaps in youth housing resources, the CoC reduced selected scattered-site PSH and RRH projects by 59% and reallocated those funds to new Transitional Housing and Supportive Services projects. This approach preserved all renewal projects within Tier 1 while expanding housing and service options for youth and young adults experiencing homelessness. Project applicants were notified of the reduction following completion of the ranking process and prior to submission of the CoC Consolidated Application to HUD.

2B-3 Is the project applicant reallocating the grant, in part, to create one or more transition grants? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

2B-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2026 reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding type, entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: Regional RRH for DV Consolidated
Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0412D0T002507
Funding Type: DV Renewal
Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$4,844,925
Amount Retained by Project: \$2,720,459
Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$2,124,466
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

2B-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

The CoC used its annual ranking process to ensure all renewal projects were retained in Tier 1 while aligning resources with identified system needs. Based on project performance, community priorities, and gaps in youth housing resources, the CoC reduced selected scattered-site PSH and RRH projects by 59% and reallocated those funds to new Transitional Housing and Supportive Services projects. This approach preserved all renewal projects within Tier 1 while expanding housing and service options for youth and young adults experiencing homelessness. Project applicants were notified of the reduction following completion of the ranking process and prior to submission of the CoC Consolidated Application to HUD.

2B-3 Is the project applicant reallocating the grant, in part, to create one or more transition grants? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

2B-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2026 reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding type, entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: Seattle Rapid Rehousing for Families Consolidated Project

Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0295L0T002511

Funding Type: CoC Renewal

Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$2,251,030

Amount Retained by Project: \$513,731

Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

Amount available for New Project(s): \$1,737,299
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting
"Save" button)

2B-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

The CoC used its annual ranking process to ensure all renewal projects were retained in Tier 1 while aligning resources with identified system needs. Based on project performance, community priorities, and gaps in youth housing resources, the CoC reduced selected scattered-site PSH and RRH projects by 59% and reallocated those funds to new Transitional Housing and Supportive Services projects. This approach preserved all renewal projects within Tier 1 while expanding housing and service options for youth and young adults experiencing homelessness. Project applicants were notified of the reduction following completion of the ranking process and prior to submission of the CoC Consolidated Application to HUD.

2B-3 Is the project applicant reallocating the grant, in part, to create one or more transition grants? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

2B-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2026 reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding type, entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: King County Consolidated Rapid Rehousing Program
Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0366L0T002508
Funding Type: CoC Renewal
Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$1,660,591
Amount Retained by Project: \$705,751
Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting
"Save" button)

Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting
"Save" button)

Amount available for New Project(s): \$954,840
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting
"Save" button)

2B-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

The CoC used its annual ranking process to ensure all renewal projects were retained in Tier 1 while aligning resources with identified system needs. Based on project performance, community priorities, and gaps in youth housing resources, the CoC reduced selected scattered-site PSH and RRH projects by 59% and reallocated those funds to new Transitional Housing and Supportive Services projects. This approach preserved all renewal projects within Tier 1 while expanding housing and service options for youth and young adults experiencing homelessness. Project applicants were notified of the reduction following completion of the ranking process and prior to submission of the CoC Consolidated Application to HUD.

2B-3 Is the project applicant reallocating the grant, in part, to create one or more transition grants? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

2B-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2026 reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding type, entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: Rapid Rehousing for Young Adults
Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0319L0T002510
Funding Type: CoC Renewal
Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$1,973,747

Amount Retained by Project: \$691,152

Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting
"Save" button)

Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting
"Save" button)

Amount available for New Project(s): \$1,282,595
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting
"Save" button)

2B-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

The CoC used its annual ranking process to ensure all renewal projects were retained in Tier 1 while aligning resources with identified system needs. Based on project performance, community priorities, and gaps in youth housing resources, the CoC reduced selected scattered-site PSH and RRH projects by 59% and reallocated those funds to new Transitional Housing and Supportive Services projects. This approach preserved all renewal projects within Tier 1 while expanding housing and service options for youth and young adults experiencing homelessness. Project applicants were notified of the reduction following completion of the ranking process and prior to submission of the CoC Consolidated Application to HUD.

2B-3 Is the project applicant reallocating the grant, in part, to create one or more transition grants? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

2B-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2026 reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding type, entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: King County Shelter Plus Care Program - TRA
Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0034L0T002518

Funding Type: CoC Renewal

Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$11,865,561

Amount Retained by Project: \$4,880,525

Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

Amount available for New Project(s): \$6,985,036
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

2B-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

The CoC used its annual ranking process to ensure all renewal projects were retained in Tier 1 while aligning resources with identified system needs. Based on project performance, community priorities, and gaps in youth housing resources, the CoC reduced selected scattered-site PSH and RRH projects by 59% and reallocated those funds to new Transitional Housing and Supportive Services projects. This approach preserved all renewal projects within Tier 1 while expanding housing and service options for youth and young adults experiencing homelessness. Project applicants were notified of the reduction following completion of the ranking process and prior to submission of the CoC Consolidated Application to HUD.

2B-3 Is the project applicant reallocating the grant, in part, to create one or more transition grants? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

2B-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2026 reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding type, entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: Multicultural IDD Integrated Support Team (MIDST) PSH

Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0548LOT002503

Funding Type: CoC Renewal

Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$821,936

Amount Retained by Project: \$332,188

Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

Amount available for New Project(s): \$489,748
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

2B-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

The CoC used its annual ranking process to ensure all renewal projects were retained in Tier 1 while aligning resources with identified system needs. Based on project performance, community priorities, and gaps in youth housing resources, the CoC reduced selected scattered-site PSH and RRH projects by 59% and reallocated those funds to new Transitional Housing and Supportive Services projects. This approach preserved all renewal projects within Tier 1 while expanding housing and service options for youth and young adults experiencing homelessness. Project applicants were notified of the reduction following completion of the ranking process and prior to submission of the CoC Consolidated Application to HUD.

2B-3 Is the project applicant reallocating the grant, in part, to create one or more transition grants? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

2B-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2026 reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding type, entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: DV/SA Rapid Rehousing Program
Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0550D0T002503
Funding Type: DV Renewal
Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$1,627,174
Amount Retained by Project: \$965,462
Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$661,712
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

2B-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

The CoC used its annual ranking process to ensure all renewal projects were retained in Tier 1 while aligning resources with identified system needs. Based on project performance, community priorities, and gaps in youth housing resources, the CoC reduced selected scattered-site PSH and RRH projects by 59% and reallocated those funds to new Transitional Housing and Supportive Services projects. This approach preserved all renewal projects within Tier 1 while expanding housing and service options for youth and young adults experiencing homelessness. Project applicants were notified of the reduction following completion of the ranking process and prior to submission of the CoC Consolidated Application to HUD.

2B-3 Is the project applicant reallocating the grant, in part, to create one or more transition grants? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

2B-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2026 reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding type, entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: WA-500 YHDP - YET & Nav/Div Consolidated
Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0485Y0T002504
Funding Type: YHDP Renewal
Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$1,405,579
Amount Retained by Project: \$1,355,466
Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$50,113
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

2B-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

Project voluntarily reduced by subrecipient based on historical spending needs.

2B-3 Is the project applicant reallocating the grant, in part, to create one or more transition grants? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

2B-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2026 reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding type, entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: WA-500 YHDP - Bridge Housing
Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0484Y0T002504
Funding Type: YHDP Renewal
Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$2,126,991
Amount Retained by Project: \$1,411,439
Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$715,552
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

2B-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

Project voluntarily reduced by subrecipients based on historical spending and program need.

2B-3 Is the project applicant reallocating the grant, in part, to create one or more transition grants? No

Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

2B-1 Complete the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being reduced during the FY 2026 reallocation process.

Refer to the FY 2026 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information, including the funding type, entered is accurate.

Reduced Project Name: Roy St Transitional Living & RRH Program
Grant Number of Reduced Project: WA0586L0T002502
Funding Type: CoC Renewal
Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: \$1,096,036
Amount Retained by Project: \$0
Amount available for YHDP Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New DV Project(s): \$0
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)
Amount available for New Project(s): \$1,096,036
(This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)

2B-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced and include the date the project applicant was notified of the reduction. (limit 750 characters)

Grant transitioned to Transitional Housing and budget aligned with new project need.

2B-3 Is the project applicant reallocating the grant, in part, to create one or more transition grants? Yes

The Collaborative Applicant confirms the new transition grant(s) are for a new program component. ☒

The Collaborative Applicant reviewed and affirms that no more than 50 percent of the funds in the new component project(s) will be for costs of eligible activities under the old component; and ☒

The CoC consented to the transition of component and the new component project(s) meet the standards outlined in Section II.A.3.k of the NOFO.

X

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

To upload all new project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based on the number of new projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously.

To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details.

To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder.

If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility to ensure all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Funding Type	Transition Grant	Expansion	Rank	PSH/RRH
Roy St Transition...	2026-09-29 17:53:...	TH	King County Region...	\$660,244	1 Year	Reallocation	Yes		53	
Seattle Transition...	2026-09-29 17:56:...	TH	King County Region...	\$1,717,847	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	49	
King County Family...	2026-09-29 17:39:...	TH	King County Region...	\$523,810	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	54	

Mosaic House	2026-09-29 17:42:...	TH	King County Regio...	\$1,721,482	1 Year	DV Reallocation	No	No	D50	
DAWN RRH For Surv...	2026-09-29 17:22:...	PH	King County Regio...	\$483,495	1 Year	DV Reallocation	No	No	D58	RRH
WELD Recovery Hou...	2026-09-29 18:09:...	TH	King County Regio...	\$3,930,563	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	55	
Transitional Hous...	2026-09-29 18:00:...	TH	King County Regio...	\$2,384,954	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	57	
CoC Aftercare Sup...	2026-09-29 17:03:...	SSO	King County Regio...	\$430,038	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	60	
Sandpoint Youth G...	2026-09-29 17:55:...	TH	King County Regio...	\$49,288	1 Year	Reallocation	No	Yes	E59	
WELD Month	2026-09-29 18:09:...	SSO	King County Regio...	\$1,147,001	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	65	
Georgetown Inn	2026-09-29 17:32:...	TH	King County Regio...	\$2,892,060	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	62	
Hope Place Women' ...	2026-09-29 17:34:...	SSO	King County Regio...	\$808,562	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	63	
Rapid Rehousing f...	2026-09-29 17:49:...	PH	King County Regio...	\$677,967	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	68	RRH
Martin Court	2026-09-29 17:40:...	TH	King County Regio...	\$1,646,390	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	69	
St. Stephen Housi...	2026-09-29 17:58:...	TH	King County Regio...	\$645,371	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	66	
Carlyle-Dayton Ho...	2026-09-29 17:03:...	TH	King County Regio...	\$463,850	1 Year	DV Bonus	No	No	D72	
Bread of Life Mis...	2026-09-29 17:02:...	TH	King County Regio...	\$1,954,014	1 Year	Bonus + Reallocation	No	No	73	
EACS South King C...	2026-09-29 17:29:...	TH	King County Regio...	\$164,450	1 Year	Bonus	No	No	70	
REACH Multidiscip...	2026-09-29 17:51:...	SSO	King County Regio...	\$3,156,313	1 Year	Bonus	No	No	71	
CRew Homeless Out...	2026-09-29 17:20:...	SSO	King County Regio...	\$596,893	1 Year	Bonus	No	No	77	

Urban Rest Stop	2026-09-29 18:04:...	SSO	King County Regio...	\$321,624	1 Year	Bonus	No	No	78	
Pathways Inn - In...	2026-09-29 17:49:...	TH	King County Regio...	\$3,706,173	1 Year	DV Bonus	No	No	D75	
CoC Legal Services	2026-09-29 17:05:...	SSO	King County Regio...	\$468,008	1 Year	Bonus	No	No	76	
Stable Families : ...	2026-09-29 18:27:...	PH	King County Regio...	\$563,242	1 Year	Reallocation	No	No	61	RRH
HERO House Bellev...	2026-09-29 20:10:...	TH	King County Regio...	\$63,836	1 Year	Bonus	No	No	79	
Elizabeth Gregory. ..	2026-09-29 20:09:...	SSO	King County Regio...	\$236,508	1 Year	Bonus + Reallocation	No	No	80	

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload all renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.

X

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

X

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is prioritizing.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Consolidation	Accepted?	Comp Type	Rank	Expansion	PSH/RH	Consolidation Type
Scattered Site Le...	2026-09-28 15:49:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$433,599		Yes	PH	24		PSH	
DESC Blooms ide	2026-09-28 15:44:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$268,293		Yes	PH	9		PSH	
DESC Consolidated...	2026-09-28 15:46:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$5,018,344		Yes	PH	18		PSH	
DESC Clement Plac...	2026-09-28 15:45:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$2,633,902		Yes	PH	15		PSH	
Nyer Urness	2026-09-28 16:02:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$856,841		Yes	PH	28		PSH	
Broadview Transit..	2026-09-25 18:35:...	1 Year	Solid Ground of W...	\$171,440		Yes	TH	33			
Ozanam House Cons...	2026-09-28 15:52:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$503,537		Yes	PH	14		PSH	
DESC Consolidated...	2026-09-28 15:47:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$3,079,515		Yes	PH	21		PSH	
Williams Apartm ents	2026-09-28 15:59:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$829,221		Yes	PH	34		PSH	
King County Conso...	2026-09-28 16:16:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$3,274,971		Yes	PH	30		PSH	
King County Shelt...	2026-09-28 16:06:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$4,880,525		Yes	PH	43		PSH	

Avalon Place	2026-09-28 15:42:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$59,125		Yes	PH	4		PSH	
Valley Cities Lan...	2026-09-28 15:50:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$276,080		Yes	PH	7		PSH	
PHG 7th and Cherry	2026-09-28 15:57:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$301,752		Yes	PH	3		PSH	
Sandpo int Familie..	2026-09-28 15:58:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$447,118		Yes	PH	11		PSH	
Auburn Family PSH	2026-09-28 15:56:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$65,456		Yes	PH	19		PSH	
YWCA Suppor tive H...	2026-09-28 15:54:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$262,907		Yes	PH	32		PSH	
Family Village Re...	2026-09-28 15:55:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$139,266		Yes	PH	16		PSH	
Thea Bowma n Apart...	2026-09-28 15:53:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$235,794		Yes	PH	22		PSH	
Noel House at Bak...	2026-09-28 15:51:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$275,223		Yes	PH	1		PSH	
Arbor House (New ...	2026-09-25 19:22:...	1 Year	Friends of Youth	\$135,698		Yes	TH	23			
Patrick Place	2026-09-28 15:52:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$222,896		Yes	PH	2		PSH	
Cascad e Women 's S...	2026-09-28 16:01:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$132,204		Yes	PH	37		PSH	
Ronald Comm ons	2026-09-28 16:02:...	1 Year	King County Depar..	\$219,907		Yes	PH	10		PSH	
St. Martin's on W...	2026-09-29 15:09:...	1 Year	Catholi c Housin g ...	\$225,008		Yes	PH	17		PSH	

Rose of Lima	2026-09-29 14:46:...	1 Year	Catholic Housing ...	\$134,859		Yes	PH	5		PSH	
My Friend's Place...	2026-09-29 17:46:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$427,168		Yes	Joint TH & PH-RRH	31			
Hickman House Joi...	2026-09-29 17:33:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$416,973		Yes	Joint TH & PH-RRH	8			
Seattle Rapid Reh...	2026-09-29 17:56:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$513,731		Yes	PH	40		RRH	
WA-500 HMIS	2026-09-29 18:07:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$459,390		Yes	HMIS	25			
WA-500 Coordinate...	2026-09-29 18:06:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$2,143,638		Yes	SSO	26			
Rapid Rehous ing f...	2026-09-29 17:50:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$691,152		Yes	PH	42		RRH	
WA-500 Coordinate...	2026-09-29 18:06:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$300,399		Yes	SSO	27			
Regional RRH for ...	2026-09-29 17:52:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$2,720,459		Yes	PH	38		RRH	
King County Conso...	2026-09-29 17:39:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$705,751		Yes	PH	41		RRH	
Multicultural IDD...	2026-09-29 17:46:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$332,188		Yes	PH	47		PSH	
DESC Greenlake	2026-09-29 17:24:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$2,167,693		Yes	PH	29		PSH	
Home at Last	2026-09-29 17:34:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$141,454		Yes	PH	12		PSH	
The Inn - Safe Haven	2026-09-29 17:59:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$382,671		Yes	SH	45			

Lyon Building	2026-09-29 15:35:...	1 Year	Downtown Emergency...	\$639,462		Yes	PH	6		PSH	
DV/SA Rapid Rehou..	2026-09-29 17:29:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$965,462		Yes	PH	48		RRH	
Anita Vista TH/RRH	2026-09-29 17:01:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$379,020		Yes	Joint TH & PH-RRH	35			
Kerner Scott House	2026-09-29 17:36:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$466,485		Yes	SH	39			
Coming Home	2026-09-29 17:08:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$555,776		Yes	TH	44			
Sandpoint Youth G...	2026-09-29 17:54:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$359,272		Yes	TH	13	Expansion		
Mi Casa	2026-09-29 17:41:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$69,030		Yes	TH	20			
Severson Program	2026-09-29 17:57:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$131,689		Yes	TH	36			

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload the CoC planning project application submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes while the project is located in the e-snaps system. You may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application, you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Only one CoC planning project application can be submitted and only by the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC which must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Accepted?
CoC Planning Grant	2026-09-29 17:06:...	1 Year	King County Regio...	\$1,500,000	Yes

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Renewal project applications submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP Renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the Project simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2026 CoC NOFO, YHDP Renewal and YHDP Replacement applications are competitive and must be ranked.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing.

☐

The Collaborative Applicant certifies all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid rehousing projects listed on the YHDP Renewal Project Listing comply with program requirements and appropriate standards of quality and habitability.

☐

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid rehousing YHDP renewal projects.

☒

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type	Grant Term	Accepted ?	Rank	PSH/RRH	Consolidation Type
WA-500 YHDP Behav...	2026-09-29 18:08:...	King County Regio...	\$109,452	SSO	1 Year	Yes	46		

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: WA-500 YHDP Behavioral Health Crisis Response
Project Number: 230740
Date Submitted: 2026-09-29 18:08:00.598
Applicant Name King County Regional Homelessness Authority
Budget Amount \$109,452
Component Type SSO
Grant Term 1 Year

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Renewal project application that was submitted to your CoC for review.

YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation project applications will renew competitively and must be ranked in the FY 2026 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection of projects, answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" and complete the remaining fields:

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save" and a new field labeled "Rank" will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

Do you want to rank this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Rank 46

Continuum of Care (CoC) YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, review the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions available on HUD's website.

To upload all YHDP Replacement project and YHDP Reallocation project applications, submitted to this Project Listing, click the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of YHDP renewal projects submitted by project applicant(s) to your CoC in the e-snaps system.

You may update each of the projects simultaneously. To review a project on the YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If you identify errors in the project application(s), you can send the application back to the project applicant to make necessary changes by clicking the amend icon. It is your sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted, approved and ranked (if applicable) or rejected on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

As stated in the FY 2026 CoC NOFO, YHDP Renewal and YHDP Replacement applications are competitive and must be ranked.

WARNING: If you amend project applications back to project applicants to make changes or corrections in e-snaps, you must approve the resubmitted project applications. If you do not approve the resubmitted project applications, they will not be included on your CoC's Priority Listings, which could result in your CoC losing funding. HUD lacks the authority to fund projects unless they are included on the Priority Listings, which informs HUD which projects your CoC is accepting.

Project Name	Applicant Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Expansion	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Funding Type	PSH/RRH	Rank
New Ground Totem ...	King County Regio...	2026-09-29 17:48:...	TH	No	\$250,035	1 Year	YHDP Reallocation		56
ROOTS Structures ...	King County Regio...	2026-09-29 17:52:...	SSO	No	\$86,758	1 Year	YHDP Reallocation		74

YMCA Severson Pro...	King County Regio...	2026-09- 29 18:10:...	TH	No	\$259,873	1 Year	YHDP Reallocati on		67
WA-500 YHDP - YET...	King County Regio...	2026-09- 29 18:08:...	SSO		\$1,355,4 66	1 Year	YHDP Replace ment		51
WA-500 YHDP - Bri...	King County Regio...	2026-09- 29 18:51:...	TH		\$1,411,4 39	1 Year	YHDP Replace ment		52
Arbor House YHDP	Friends of Youth	2026-09- 29 19:02:...	TH	No	\$168,998	1 Year	YHDP Reallocati on		64

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: New Ground Totem Lake
Project Number: 239311
Date Submitted: 2026-09-29 17:48:28.532
Applicant Name King County Regional Homelessness Authority
Budget Amount \$250,035
Funding Type YHDP Reallocation
Component Type TH
Grant Term 1 Year
Expansion No

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Replacement project application that was submitted to your CoC for review.

YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation project applications will renew competitively and must be ranked in the FY 2026 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection of projects, answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" and complete the remaining fields:

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save" and a new field labeled "Rank" will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

Do you want to rank this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Rank 56

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: ROOTS Structures Case Management
Project Number: 239309

Date Submitted: 2026-09-29 17:52:55.839
Applicant Name King County Regional Homelessness Authority
Budget Amount \$86,758
Funding Type YHDP Reallocation
Component Type SSO
Grant Term 1 Year
Expansion No

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Replacement project application that was submitted to your CoC for review.

YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation project applications will renew competitively and must be ranked in the FY 2026 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection of projects, answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" and complete the remaining fields:

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save" and a new field labeled "Rank" will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

Do you want to rank this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Rank 74

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: YMCA Severson Program YHDP Supports
Project Number: 239310
Date Submitted: 2026-09-29 18:10:15.089
Applicant Name King County Regional Homelessness Authority
Budget Amount \$259,873
Funding Type YHDP Reallocation
Component Type TH
Grant Term 1 Year

Expansion No

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Replacement project application that was submitted to your CoC for review.

YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation project applications will renew competitively and must be ranked in the FY 2026 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection of projects, answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" and complete the remaining fields:

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save" and a new field labeled "Rank" will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

Do you want to rank this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Rank 67

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: WA-500 YHDP - YET & Nav/Div Consolidated
Project Number: 230741
Date Submitted: 2026-09-29 18:08:48.649
Applicant Name King County Regional Homelessness Authority
Budget Amount \$1,355,466
Funding Type YHDP Replacement
Component Type SSO
Grant Term 1 Year
Expansion

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Replacement project application that was submitted to your CoC for review.

YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation project applications will renew competitively and must be ranked in the FY 2026 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection of projects, answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" and complete the remaining fields:

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save" and a new field labeled "Rank" will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

Do you want to rank this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Rank 51

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: WA-500 YHDP - Bridge Housing
Project Number: 230744
Date Submitted: 2026-09-29 18:51:14.993
Applicant Name King County Regional Homelessness Authority
Budget Amount \$1,411,439
Funding Type YHDP Replacement
Component Type TH
Grant Term 1 Year
Expansion

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Replacement project application that was submitted to your CoC for review.

YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation project applications will renew competitively and must be ranked in the FY 2026 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection of projects, answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" and complete the remaining fields:

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save" and a new field labeled "Rank" will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

Do you want to rank this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Rank 52

Project Applicant Project Details

Project Name: Arbor House YHDP
Project Number: 239439
Date Submitted: 2026-09-29 19:02:46.804
Applicant Name Friends of Youth
Budget Amount \$168,998
Funding Type YHDP Reallocation
Component Type TH
Grant Term 1 Year
Expansion No

Instructions

This form provides the basic information for the YHDP Replacement project application that was submitted to your CoC for review.

YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation project applications will renew competitively and must be ranked in the FY 2026 CoC Program and YHDP Competition.

Based on the CoC local competition process that includes the rating and ranking or rejection of projects, answer "Yes" or "No" to the question "Do you want to rank this project?" and complete the remaining fields:

If "Yes" is selected, click "Save" and a new field labeled "Rank" will appear where you must enter a unique rank number for the project application, then click "Save & Back to List."

If "No" is selected, click "Save." A new drop-down menu will appear asking for the reason the CoC rejected the project application. Select the appropriate response from the list and then click "Save & Back to List."

Do you want to rank this project? Yes
(Make selection and click the 'save' button below)

Rank 64

4. Consolidation & Expansion

Instructions:

For guidance on completing the CoC Priority listing, please reference the CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions on HUD's website.

1. Is the CoC consolidating renewal projects that will expire in Calendar Year 2027? No
2. Is the CoC expanding renewal projects that will expire in Calendar Year 2027? Yes

Alert:

Applicants applying to Expand or Consolidate existing renewal grants will not be required to submit a combined version of the application.

Projects are prohibited from being included in a consolidation and an expansion in the same fiscal year competition.

4B. Expansion

Instructions

Project applicants can apply to expand eligible renewal projects by combining a renewal project application and up to 2 new expansion project applications. Renewal projects that are part of an expansion must have an expiration date in Calendar Year 2027 (as confirmed on the FY 2026 GIW or eLOCCS), must be the same recipient, and must be for the same component and project type (i.e., PH-PSH, PH-RRH, Joint TH/PH-RRH, TH, SSO, SSO-CE or HMIS).

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that all projects listed are part of a CoC approved Expansion(s). ☒

The Collaborative Applicant certifies the accuracy of all the individual project applications related to this expansion request(s) ☒

The Collaborative Applicant certifies they have reviewed eLOCCS Operating Start Dates and Expiration dates for all grants listed ☒

CoC must complete the following fields for each Expansion Application submitted.

	Applicant Name	Stand Alone Renewal Expansion Project Pin:	Stand Alone New Expansion Application (1) esnaps Project Number	Stand Alone New Expansion Application (2) esnaps Project Number
Expansion #1:	King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA0318	Sandpoint Youth Group Homes	239289
Expansion #2:				
Expansion #3:				
Expansion #4:				
Expansion #5:				
Expansion #6:				
Expansion #7:				
Expansion #8:				
Expansion #9:				
Expansion #10:				

Funding Summary

Instructions

This page provides the total budget summaries for each of the project listings after you approved and ranked or rejected new and renewal project applications. You must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate.

The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount your CoC will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
CoC Renewal Amount	\$40,052,344
New CoC Bonus and CoC Reallocation Amount	\$25,038,983
New DV Bonus Amount	\$4,170,023
New DV Reallocation Amount	\$2,204,977
YHDP Renewal and Replacement Amount	\$2,876,357
YHDP Reallocation Amount	\$765,664
CoC Planning Amount	\$1,500,000
Rejected Amount	\$0
Total Funding Request	\$76,608,348

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)	Yes	Certification of ...	09/27/2026
Other	No		
Other	No		
Project Rating and Ranking Tool (optional)	No	Project Rating an...	09/27/2026

Attachment Details

Document Description: Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan (HUD-2991)

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Project Rating and Ranking Tool

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY 2026 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of the CoC Priority Listing AND the CoC Application by the FY 2026 Application Submission Deadline.

WARNING: The FY 2026 CoC Consolidated Application requires submissions of the CoC Priority Listing AND the CoC Application by the FY 2026 Application Submission Deadline.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1. Collaborative Applicant	09/29/2026
2. Reallocation	09/29/2026
2A. Grant(s) Eliminated	09/29/2026
2B. Grant(s) Reduced	09/29/2026
3A. CoC New Project Listing	09/29/2026
3B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	09/29/2026
3D. CoC Planning Project Listing	09/29/2026
Project Priority List FY2026	Page 50
	09/29/2026

3E. YHDP Renewal Project Listing	09/29/2026
3F. YHDP Replacement and YHDP Reallocation Project Listing	09/29/2026
4. Consolidation & Expansion	09/29/2026
4B. Expansion	09/29/2026
Funding Summary	No Input Required
Attachments	09/29/2026
Submission Summary	No Input Required

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

OMB Number: 2501-0044
Expiration Date: 2/28/2027

Public Reporting Burden Statement: This collection of information is estimated to average 3 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of the requested information. Comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden can be sent to: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of the Chief Data Officer, R, 451 7th St SW, Room 8210, Washington, DC 20410-5000. Do not send completed forms to this address. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection displays a valid OMB control number. This agency is authorized to collect this information under Section 102 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989. The information you provide will enable HUD to carry out its responsibilities under this Act and ensure greater accountability and integrity in the provision of certain types of assistance administered by HUD. This information is required to obtain the benefit sought in the grant program. Failure to provide any required information may delay the processing of your application and may result in sanctions and penalties including of the administrative and civil money penalties specified under 24 CFR §4.38. This information will not be held confidential and may be made available to the public in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. §552). The information contained on the form is not retrieved by a personal identifier, therefore it does not meet the threshold for a Privacy Act Statement.

I/We, the undersigned, also certify under penalty of perjury that the information provided below is true, correct, and accurate. Warning: Anyone who knowingly submits a false claim or makes a false statement is subject to criminal and/or civil penalties, including confinement for up to 5 years, fines, and civil and administrative penalties (18 U.S.C §§ 287, 1001, 1010, 1012, 1014; 31 U.S.C. § 3729, 3802; 24 CFR § 28.10(b)(1)(iii)).

I/We, the undersigned, certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan. (Complete the fields below.)

Applicant Name:

Project Name:

Location of the Project:


Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying:

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction:

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction
Name:

Title:

Signature:



Date:

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan**U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development**OMB Number: 2501-0044
Expiration Date: 2/28/2027

Public Reporting Burden Statement: This collection of information is estimated to average 3 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of the requested information. Comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing this burden can be sent to: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of the Chief Data Officer, R, 451 7th St SW, Room 8210, Washington, DC 20410-5000. Do not send completed forms to this address. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection displays a valid OMB control number. This agency is authorized to collect this information under Section 102 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989. The information you provide will enable HUD to carry out its responsibilities under this Act and ensure greater accountability and integrity in the provision of certain types of assistance administered by HUD. This information is required to obtain the benefit sought in the grant program. Failure to provide any required information may delay the processing of your application and may result in sanctions and penalties including of the administrative and civil money penalties specified under 24 CFR §4.38. This information will not be held confidential and may be made available to the public in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. §552). The information contained on the form is not retrieved by a personal identifier, therefore it does not meet the threshold for a Privacy Act Statement.

I/We, the undersigned, also certify under penalty of perjury that the information provided below is true, correct, and accurate. Warning: Anyone who knowingly submits a false claim or makes a false statement is subject to criminal and/or civil penalties, including confinement for up to 5 years, fines, and civil and administrative penalties (18 U.S.C §§ 287, 1001, 1010, 1012, 1014; 31 U.S.C. § 3729, 3802; 24 CFR § 28.10(b)(1)(iii)).

I/We, the undersigned, certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan. (Complete the fields below.)

Applicant Name: See attached list of projects with multiple applicants

Project Name: See attached list of projects

Location of the Project: See attached list of projects

Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying:

Continuum of Care

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: King County

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction

Name: Jelani Jackson

Title: Acting Director, Housing and Community Development Division

Signature: 

Date: 9/24/2026

FA2331EDC4DD47A...

Continuum of Care: Seattle King County, Washington WA-500
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan: List of Projects

Renewals:

Applicant	Project	Address
Archdiocesan Housing Authority	Rose of Lima House	120 Bell Street Seattle, WA 98121
Archdiocesan Housing Authority	St. Martin's on Westlake	2008 Westlake Ave. Seattle, WA 98121
Downtown Emergency Service Center	Lyon Building	607 3rd Ave, Seattle, WA 98104
Friends of Youth	Arbor House (New Ground Bothell)	16225 NE 87th, Suite A-6 Redmond, WA 98052
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Coming Home	2209 First Avenue Seattle, WA 98121
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	The Inn - Safe Haven	1911 Aurora Avenue N Seattle, WA 98109
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Kerner Scott House	510 Minor Ave. Seattle, WA 98109
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Mi Casa	3808 S. Angeline St. Seattle, WA 98118
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA-500 HMIS	400 Yesler Way Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98104
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Sandpoint Youth Group Homes	6524 62 nd Avenue Seattle, WA 98115
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Severson Program	Scattered Sites Auburn , WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Seattle Rapid Rehousing for Families Consolidated Project	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Rapid Rehousing for Young Adults	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA-500 Coordinated Entry	400 Yesler Way Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98104

Continuum of Care: Seattle King County, Washington WA-500
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan: List of Projects

King County Regional Homelessness Authority	My Friend's Place TH/RRH	Confidential Location Bellevue, WA 98008
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Hickman House Joint Component RRH/TH	5600 Fauntleroy Way SW. Seattle, WA 98136
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Regional RRH for DV - Consolidated	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA-500 Coordinated Entry - DV	400 Yesler Way Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98104
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Anita Vista TH/RRH	Confidential Location Kent, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA-500 YHDP Behavioral Health Crisis Response	400 Yesler Way Suite 600 Seattle, WA 98104
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA-500 CoC Planning	401 5th Ave Suite 510 Seattle, WA 98104
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	DESC Greenlane	8610 Aurora Ave N Seattle, WA 98103
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Francis Village Permanent Supportive Housing AKA Home at Last	12601 NE 124th St, Kirkland, WA 98034
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Multicultural IDD Integrated Support Team	24437 Russell Rd #110, Kent, WA 98032
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	DV/SA Rapid Rehousing Program	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	King County Consolidated Rapid Rehousing Program	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King, County of	Valley Cities Landing	2704 I Street NE Auburn, WA 98002
King, County of	DESC Consolidated PSH Portfolio #2	513 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104
King, County of	King County Shelter Plus Care Program - TRA	Scattered Sites King County, WA

Continuum of Care: Seattle King County, Washington WA-500
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan: List of Projects

King, County of	YWCA Supportive Housing	2024 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98121
King, County of	Scattered Sites Leasing	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King, County of	Noel House at Bakhita Gardens	2310 Second Avenue Seattle, WA 98112
King, County of	Nyer Urness	1753 N.W. 56th Street Seattle, WA 98107
King, County of	Avalon Place	2970 SW Avalon Way Seattle, WA 98126
King, County of	Ozanam House Consolidated PSH	801 Ninth Street Seattle, WA 98104
King, County of	Williams Apartments	219 Pontius Seattle, WA 98121
King, County of	Patrick Place	4251 Aurora Avenue Seattle, WA 98104
King, County of	King County Consolidated Scattered Sites Supportive Housing	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King, County of	Ronald Commons	17839 Aurora Avenue, N Shoreline, WA 98133
King, County of	Sandpoint Families Supportive Housing	6801 62nd Ave SE Seattle, WA 98115
King, County of	Family Village Redmond PSH for Families	16601 NE 80th St Redmond, WA 98052-6643
King, County of	Plymouth at First (formerly 7th and Cherry)	700 Cherry Seattle, WA 98104
King, County of	DESC Consolidated PSH Portfolio #1	513 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104
King, County of	Cascade Women's Supportive Housing	1205 Thomas Street Seattle, WA 98109

Continuum of Care: Seattle King County, Washington WA-500
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan: List of Projects

King, County of	DESC Clement Place Consolidated	5270 Rainier Avenue S Seattle, WA 98118
King, County of	Thea Bowman Apartments	23920 32nd Ave S, Kent, WA 98032
King, County of	DESC Bloomsides	801 SW 150th St, Burien, WA 98166
King, County of	Auburn Family PSH	628 L Street Auburn, WA 98002
Solid Ground Washington	Broadview Transitional Housing Program	P.O. Box 31151 Seattle, WA 98103

Transition and YHDP Replacement Projects:

King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA-500 YHDP - Bridge Housing	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WA-500 YHDP - YET & Nav/Div Consolidated	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Roy Street Transitional Living Program	Scattered Sites King County, WA

New Projects:

Friends of Youth	Arbor House (New Ground Bothell) Expansion	16225 NE 87th, Suite A-6 Redmond, WA 98052
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Seattle Transitional Housing for Families	14900 Interurban Ave S, Suite 210, Tukwila, WA 98168
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Mosaic House	Undisclosed, Seattle WA 98125
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	King County Family Transitional Housing Program	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WELD Recovery Housing	1426 S Jackson St Seattle, WA 98144
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	New Ground Totem Lake	13102 NE 132nd Street Kirkland, WA 98034

Continuum of Care: Seattle King County, Washington WA-500
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan: List of Projects

King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Transitional Housing Tiny House Village	1211 East Alder Street Seattle, WA 98122
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	DAWN RRH For Survivors of Domestic Violence	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Sandpoint Youth Group Homes Expansion	6801 62nd Ave SE Seattle, WA 98115
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	CoC Aftercare Support	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Stable Families: Expanding Rapid Rehousing, Family Stability, and Self Sufficiency for Homeless Families	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Georgetown Inn	6100 Corson Ave S, Seattle, WA 98108
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Hope Place Women's and Children's Recovery Program	3800 S Othello Street, Seattle WA 98118-3562
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	WELD Month	1426 S Jackson St Seattle, WA 98144
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	St. Stephen Housing Family Housing Program	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	YMCA Severson Program Expansion	Scattered Sites Auburn , WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Rapid Rehousing for Young Adult Head of Household Families with Children	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Martin Court	6188 4th Ave S, Seattle, WA 98108
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	EACS South King County Transitional Housing Program	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	REACH Multidisciplinary Program	1412 SW 43rd St #140 Renton, WA 98057
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Carlyle-Dayton House DV Transitional Housing	Undisclosed Shoreline, WA 98133
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Bread of Life Mission's Transitional Housing with Supportive Services	97 S. Main St., Seattle WA 98104

Continuum of Care: Seattle King County, Washington WA-500
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan: List of Projects

King County Regional Homelessness Authority	ROOTS Structures Case Management	4541 19th Ave NE Seattle WA 98105
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Pathways Inn - Integrated Care Network	4710 Lake Washington Blvd, Renton, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	CoC Legal Services	110 Prefontaine Place South, Suite 502-506 Seattle, WA 98104
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	CRew Homeless Outreach and Recovery	Scattered Sites King County, WA
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Urban Rest Stop	2014 NW 57th St, Seattle, WA 98107 & 1924 9th Ave, Seattle, WA 98101
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	HERO House Bellevue Transitional Housing	12838 SE 40th Pl Bellevue WA, 98006
King County Regional Homelessness Authority	Elizabeth Gregory Home Day Shelter	1604 NE 50th Street, Seattle, WA 98105



FY 2026 CoC NOFO

Local Competition Rating and Ranking Process

Local Competition and Merit-Based Prioritization

The CoC is committed to a fair, open, and competitive funding process that is data-driven, transparent, and merit-based. All eligible applicants - including new providers, existing subrecipients, and organizations serving specialized populations - are encouraged to apply.

Projects will be evaluated based on:

- Objective performance data
- Demonstrated outcomes
- Alignment with HUD priorities and CoC goals

Minimum point distributions:

- At least 50% of total points are based on objective criteria, **and**
- At least 25% are based on system performance measure

Local Process Overview

- Step 1: Project Threshold Review in accordance with published criteria in the NOFO.
- Step 2 (Renewals, Transitions, or YHPD Replacement projects only): Data-Based Rating Review¹
- Step 3: (All Projects) Application-Based Rating Review
- Step 4: [Local Ranking](#)

¹¹ For renewal and transition or YHDP replacement projects, HMIS data will be pulled for the operating period 4/1/25 to 3/31/26.

Threshold Criteria

The Collaborative Applicant will conduct a threshold review – inclusive of project eligibility and project component-specific criteria – of each renewal, transition, YHPD replacement, and new project application prior to submission to the rating panel. These thresholds all stem from specific requirements in the NOFO that must be reflected in the local process. All applicants will be required to affirm and provide supporting documentation to the “Project Eligibility” questions below, and each application must also meet the project component type thresholds listed below for the corresponding project component submitted.

Under *King County v Turner* 2:25-cv-00814-BJR a preliminary injunction has been extended to King County and KCRHA, as well as all continuum members, enjoining HUD from imposing or enforcing certain new grant conditions related to anti-discrimination law, immigration enforcement, immigration status verification, “gender ideology,” abortions, and compliance with Executive Orders. Consistent with the preliminary injunction, certifications or attestations related to such conditions are struck below and are not required of applicants to this funding opportunity while the preliminary injunction remains in effect.

Project Eligibility

The published NOFO requires certain conditions be met for a project to be eligible for funding. Pursuant to those requirements, applications that do not fully meet these thresholds may not be considered, may not be reviewed by the rater panel, and may risk funding recommendation.

Applicants who do not affirmatively answer “Yes” to all questions below (excluding those currently enjoined) may risk funding eligibility.

1. **Required:** Is your organization eligible to receive federal funding (i.e nonprofit organization (including faith-based organizations), state, local government, instrumentality of state and/or local governments, Indian Tribes or Tribally Designated Housing Entities)?
☐ Yes ☐ No
2. **Required:** Does your organization have the financial and management capacity to carry out the project as detailed and administer federal funds as demonstrated by the administration/operation of one of the following (check yes to each that apply):
 - Continuum of Care award or subaward: ☐ Yes ☐ No

- Award from the State of Washington: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 - Project funded by KCRHA, King County, or the City of Seattle: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 - Project funded by another local municipality (provide name of municipality) (verified through information provided in the application and reviewed by rating panel): ☐ Yes: _____ ☐ No
 - Homelessness services project similar to projects eligible to receive CoC funds (verified through information provided in the application and reviewed by rating panel): ☐ Yes ☐ No
 - Applicant has a fiscal sponsor with demonstrated success administering CoC funds (verified through information provided in the application and reviewed by rating panel): ☐ Yes ☐ No
3. **Required:** Does the project affirm to only serve populations meeting the definition of "homeless" in [24 CFR 578.3](#), including the definition of "homeless" under section [103\(b\) of the McKinney- Vento Homeless Assistance Act](#)?
☐ Yes ☐ No
- ~~4. **Required:** The project will not engage in illegal racial discrimination. This is consistent with the requirements of [2](#).³
☐ Yes ☐ No~~
5. **As Required by HUD per the FY26 CoC NOFO:** The project applicant will not operate drug injection sites or "safe consumption sites" in violation of 21 U.S.C. 856(a)(1), knowingly permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under their control in violation of 21 U.S.C. 856(a)(2), or knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia in violation of 21 U.S.C. 863. This is consistent with the objectives outlined in Section III.B HUD FY26 CoC NOFO and is consistent with the requirements of 2 CFR 200.300(a).

This certification is not a requirement that program participants must be sober in order to receive assistance, participate in treatment in order to receive assistance, or be evicted or exited from assistance for a first-time violation of a drug-related program policy or lease requirement.

☐ Yes ☐ No

³ This certification is not required under the *King County v. Turner* preliminary injunction.

Transitional Housing

New TH projects must get at least 6 out of 8 points in the Threshold Review:

- **2 points:** Demonstrate that the project will provide and/or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain housing (i.e., case management, behavioral healthcare, employment training, etc.)
- **1 point:** The applicant has prior experience operating transitional housing or other projects that have successfully helped homeless individuals and families exit homelessness within 24 months or has a plan in place to ensure homeless individuals and families will exit homelessness within 24 months.
- **1 point:** The applicant has previously operated or currently operates transitional housing or another homelessness project, or has a plan in place to ensure that at least 50 percent of participants exit to a positive destination within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income as reflected in HMIS or another data system used by the applicant.
- **1 point:** The project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP.
- **2 points:** Describe how the proposed project will:
 - assess the service needs of program participants,
 - and provide individualized services for program participants during their time in Transitional Housing that will result in **at least 20 hours per week** of engagement in services, activities or employment for all program participants, except for a program participant over age 62 or who is an individual with handicaps as defined in [24 CFR 8.3](#) or a with a developmental disability as defined under [24 CFR 578.3](#) (examples of services or activities include case management, counseling, treatment, volunteering, work therapy, education, job training, community building activities, etc.) Employment may contribute to the 20 hours per week of engagement. The project description provided here does not constitute a reporting or documentation requirement.
 - Indicate that the proposed project will create service plans for each program participant that include:
 - the services to be provided, when and how often services will be provided, by whom all services will be provided;
 - program participant goals, strategies for achieving those goals, and target dates for achievement to focus on improved health and wellness, housing stability, and increased employment income leading to financial stability and self-sufficiency.
- **1 point:** Demonstrate the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable. [2 CFR 200.404](#).

Supportive Services Only (SSO) Standalone

New SSO Standalone projects must get at least 4 out of 5 points in the Threshold Review:

- **1 point:** The Supportive Services project is necessary to assist people in exiting homelessness, addressing barriers to stable housing (e.g., substance use disorder, unemployment, childcare, etc.) and increasing self-sufficiency and the Recipient will conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of the program participants.
- **2 points:** The proposed project has a strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.
- **1 point:** The project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP.
- **1 point:** Demonstrate the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable. [2 CFR 200.404](#).

Supportive Services Only (SSO) Street Outreach

New SSO Street Outreach projects must get at least 5 out of 6 points in the Threshold Review:

- **1 point:** The project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP.
- **2 points:** The proposed project has a strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services.
- **1 point:** Demonstrate that the applicant has a history of, or a plan for, partnering with first responders and law enforcement to engage people living in places not meant for human habitation to access emergency shelter, treatment programs, reunification with family, transitional housing or independent living. The applicant must cooperate and not interfere or impede with the enforcement of local laws such as public camping and public drug use laws and assist/be willing to assist first responders in their efforts to engage homeless individuals.

- **1 point:** The applicant has experience providing outreach services, or a plan for providing outreach services, consistent with the activity description at [24 CFR 578.53\(e\)\(13\)](#) and has a plan for or has demonstrated effectiveness at helping people successfully exit from places not meant for human habitation to emergency shelter, treatment programs, transitional housing or permanent housing programs.
- **1 point:** Demonstrate the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable. [2 CFR 200.404](#).

SSO-Coordinated Entry (SSO-CE)

New SSO-CE projects must get at least 3 out of 4 points in the Threshold Review:

- **1 point:** The Coordinated Entry system is easily available and reachable for all persons within the CoC's geographic area who are seeking homelessness assistance. The system must also be accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area.
- **1 point:** There is a strategy for advertising that is designed specifically to reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs.
- **1 point:** There is a standardized assessment process.
- **1 point:** The project will ensure program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs.

Rapid Rehousing (RRH)

New RRH projects must get at least 4 out of 6 points in the Threshold Review:

- **1 point:** The provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months.
- **2 points:** The type of supportive services and assistance that will be offered to program participants (e.g., case management, substance use treatment, mental health treatment, and employment assistance) will ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness.
- **1 point:** The applicant has previously operated or currently operates a homelessness project where, or has a plan in place to have, at least 50 percent of participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income as reflected in HMIS or another data system used by the applicant, or has a plan in place to ensure this.
- **1 point:** Demonstrate the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable. [2 CFR 200.404](#).

- **1 point:** The project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources, that may include mainstream health, social, and employment programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, and SNAP.

Homelessness Management Information System (HMIS)

New HMIS projects must get at least 3 out of 4 points in the Threshold Review:

- **1 point:** How the HMIS funds will be expended in a way that furthers the CoC's HMIS implementation.
- **1 point:** The HMIS collects all Universal Data Elements as set forth in the HMIS Data Standards
- **1 point:** The ability of the HMIS to un-duplicate client records.
- **1 point:** The HMIS produces all HUD-required reports and provides data as needed for HUD reporting (e.g., APR, quarterly reports, data for CAPER/ESG reporting) and other reports required by other federal partners.

Rating Process

Projects will be reviewed, scored, and ranked using a transparent, merit-based methodology grounded in HUD priorities and local system goals.

All projects (Renewal, Transition/YHDP Replacement, and New) will be:

- Scored using standardized criteria:
 - Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Safe Havens (SH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH), and Transitional Housing (TH) and Supportive Services Only (SSO) will have varying totals based on project type.
 - Joint Component projects will have the score for the RRH and TH components averaged.
- Ranked based on total score and alignment with HUD priorities and the local CoC system goals.
- Placed into funding tiers (Tier 1 and Tier 2) using the methodology detailed in the [Local Ranking Process](#) below

This process ensures that funding decisions:

- Improve system outcomes
- Promote competition and access to CoC funding
- Reward strong performance

Renewal Rating Criteria

RENEWAL PROJECT CRITERIA			Maximum Scores		
Criteria	Metric and Point Allocation	Source	PSH/SH 125	RRH 123	TH/SSO 120
Exits to Permanent Housing	PSH: % remaining in PSH or exited to a PH situation (zero points if less than 45%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 90% RRH: % moving to PH (zero point if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85% TH/SSO: % moving to PH (zero points if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85%. 10 points: Meets or exceeds 5 points: Nearing goal. RRH/TH/SSO with no exits in time period. 0 points: Does not meet expectations	HMIS	10	10	10
Housing Retention	85% or more remain stably housing in PSH or SH for 2+ years or more. 5 points: Meets or exceeds No partial scores	HMIS	5	n/a	n/a
Exit to Unsubsidized Housing	Demonstrate that at least 20% of participants who exit from TH/RRH/SSO exit to unsubsidized housing. 5 points: At least 20% of participants that exit, exit to unsubsidized housing. 0 points: Less than 20% if participants exit, exit to unsubsidized housing OR no households exited in the time period.	HMIS	n/a	5	5
Unknown Exits	Extent to which participants exit to a known destination. 5 points: Less than 5% of clients that exit to "unknown" OR no households exited during the time period. 0 points: More than 5% exit to "unknown".	HMIS	5	5	5

Returns to Homelessness	The extent to which persons who exit homelessness to permanent housing destination return to homelessness meets or exceeds system target for program and population type. For youth serving programs the standard is 5% across all project types. For non-youth programs the standard is 8% for TH and ES, and 3% for RRH and PSH. 10 points: Meets or exceeds system target OR no households exited during the time period. 5 points: Nearing system target. For youth serving programs the nearing 5-7% across all project types. For non-youth programs nearing is 8-10% for TH, RRH and SSO, and 3-5% for PSH/SH. 0 points: More than 7% for YYA serving programs across all project types. For non-youth programs is 10% for TH, RRH, and SSO, and 5% for PSH/SH.	HMIS	10	10	10
Exits with Earned Income	Extent to which adults in the program exit the program with employment income. 10 points: More than 20% exited with earned income. 5 points: Nearing system target - at least 19-10% exit with earned income OR no households exited during the time period. 0 points: Less than 10% of exits had earned income partial scores	HMIS	10	10	10
Exits with Non-Earned Income	Extent to which adults in the program exit with cash income from other sources (e.g. TANF, SSDI) or non-cash (e.g. EBT, Medicaid). 5 points: More than 95% exited with non-earned income. 3 points: Between 94-85% exited with non-earned income OR no households exited during the time period. 0 points: Less than 85% exited with non-earned income.	HMIS	5	5	5
Exits with No Financial Resources	Extent to which no more than 10% of participants exit with “no financial resources” (cash or non-cash).	HMIS	5	5	5

	5 points: Fewer than 10% exited with no income OR no households exited during the time period. No partial scores.				
Participants Served	Proportion of participants who, at entry, come from a homeless circumstance – excluding approved mobility transfers into PSH. 5 points: 100% of the population served coming from homelessness upon entry – excluding approved mobility transfers into PSH. No partial scores.	HMIS	5	5	5
HMIS Data Quality/Completeness	No more than 5% reported missing/not collected etc., for data in any element (excluding Name, SSN, HIV /AIDS status). 5 points: Less than 5% of universal data elements that are 'missing' - e.g. data not collected, client doesn't know, client refused. No partial scores	HMIS	5	5	5
Occupancy	Extent to which the project maintains capacity/occupancy. <u>Note:</u> RRH scores are determined by move-in rate. 5 points: Occupancy of 95% or more (RRH based on move-in rate) 3 points: Occupancy of 90-94% (RRH based on move-in rate) 2 points: Occupancy rate of 85-89% (RRH based on move-in rate) 0 points: Less than 85% occupancy (RRH based on move-in rate)	HMIS	5	5	5
Program Component Priority	Points based on component type: 5 points Permanent Supportive Housing Project 3 points: Rapid Rehousing 2.5 points: Joint Component Transitional Housing/Rapid Rehousing 2 points: Transitional Housing, Safe Haven, or Support Services Only	APR Review	5	3	2

Project Expenditures	Extent to which the project spent 100% of HUD funds. 5 points: Underspend is less than or equal to 5% 3 points: Underspend is 6% to 10% 1 points: Underspend is 11% to 20% 0 points: Underspend over 20%	APR Review	5	5	5
On Time Annual Performance Report	APR submitted in a timely manner. 5 points: APR submitted on time. No partial points.	APR Review	5	5	5
Program Population Priority	Full points based on the core target populations the program serves: 5 points: 100% of units/program dedicated/prioritizes Chronically Homeless Persons 3 points: 100% of units/program dedicated/prioritizes Unsheltered Persons 3 points: 100% of units/program operates as “Recovery Based” 3 points: 100% of units/program serve Elders, seniors and/or disabled persons 3 points: 100% of units/program serves Families with children 3 points: 100% of units/program serve Youth and Young Adults 3 points: 100% of units/program participants are fleeing Domestic Violence or Sex Trafficking	Application	5	3	3
Monitoring/Audit Results	Extent to which the project has open findings or recommendations. 5 points: No open findings or recommendations. No partial points	Application	5	5	5
Supportive Service Participation	Evidence of required engagement per 24 CFR 578.75(h). Except that consistent with 24 CFR 5.2005(b)(1) assistance may not be denied on the basis or as a direct result of the fact that the participant is or has been a victim of domestic violence, dating	Application	2	2	2

	violence, sexual assault, or stalking, if the participant otherwise qualifies for admission, assistance, participation, or occupancy. 2 points: Agency requires participants to engage in supportive services and provides evidence of required engagement in alignment 24 CFR 578.75(h) based on attached agreement OR proposed program exclusively serves victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. 1 point: Agency encourage participants to engage in supportive services but does not require it. 0 points: Agency does not require participants to engage in supportive services or does not provide evidence.				
Onsite Behavioral Health Treatment Supports	The project demonstrates clear evidence of onsite behavioral health treatment support, including a letter of agreement with the partner or team providing such services. 5 points: Applicant provides Letter or MOU demonstrate onsite behavioral health supports provided by a licensed behavioral health agency. 3 points: Applicant provides a plan for onsite behavioral health supports without formal agreement or is an outreach program with no onsite location. 0 points: Project does not provide onsite behavioral health supports OR provides evidence of support provided by an agency that is not a licensed behavioral health agency.	Application	5	5	5
Mainstream Benefit Supports	Extent to which the project connects participants to mainstream benefits programs. 5 points: program indicates at least one mainstream benefit program to which they connect participants. No partial points.	Application	5	5	5

Program Termination	Extent to which the program's service model demonstrates strategies to minimize program termination in full alignment with HUD standards and Continuum of Care best practices. 2 points: The program provides clear description and documentation that identifies a clear service model and supports minimizing program termination in alignment with HUD standards of trauma-informed, person-centered care. No partial points.	Application	2	2	2
Participant Feedback	Extent to which the programs solicits, collects and responds to participant feedback to inform and improve services. 2 points: The program solicits qualitative and quantitative feedback more than once a year and provides clear examples of how they have changed practices based on feedback and engagement. 1 point: The program solicits feedback once a year but does not provide examples of how they have changed practices based on feedback and engagement. No points: The program does not solicit or incorporate participant feedback	Application	2	2	2
Individuals Who Are Experiencing or Have Experienced Homelessness	Demonstrates meaningful inclusion of individuals who are experiencing or have experienced homelessness in organizational governance through dedicated and filled board representation. 2 points: The agency has a dedicated board seat for individuals who are experiencing or have experienced homelessness. No partial points.	Application	2	2	2

Advancing Public Safety	Demonstrates active collaboration and system integration with law enforcement, first responders, and outreach systems to support public safety, reduce unsheltered homelessness, and minimize trauma associated with living in unsheltered settings (including encampments). For housing projects (PSH, RRH, TH), responses should reflect how these partnerships support engagement of unsheltered individuals and safe transitions into housing. 2 points: The project provides clear, specific, and current evidence of partnerships with law enforcement and/or first responders. Includes Named partners (e.g., police departments, fire/EMS, outreach teams), description of regular coordination activities (e.g., case conferencing, joint outreach, encampment response) and examples of how these partnerships improve safety outcomes and reduce harm/trauma for unsheltered individuals and the broader community. No partial points.	Application	2	2	2
Braided Funding	The extent to which the project demonstrates secured or committed external funding that leverages housing or behavioral health resources. Required Documentation (must include at least one of the following): • Award letter(s) from recognized public funding sources such as: ○ Washington State Housing Finance Commission (WSHFC) ○ Public Housing Authority (PHA) ○ Office of Housing (OH) ○ Department of Community and Human Services (DCHS) • Executed or draft formal agreement with a Public Housing Authority (PHA) for Move-On vouchers	Application	10	10	10

	Other verifiable documentation confirming committed capital, operating, or service funding tied to housing stability or behavioral health services 10 Points: Award letter(s) (WSHFC, PHA, OH, DCHS) or executed Move-On voucher agreement Funding is committed and aligned. 5 Points: Draft agreement, LOI, or pending award, Credible but not finalized funding 0 Points: No documentation or funding is uncommitted/unrelated				
Geographic Diversity of Funds	The project has other fund sources that ensure services are provided throughout the county. Committed fund sources permit participant placements across multiple jurisdictions. 5 Points: Committed funding supports services countywide & enables placements across multiple jurisdictions 3 Points: Some committed funding, but limited geographic coverage. Cross-jurisdiction placements are partial or constrained 0 Points: No committed funding or restricted to a single jurisdiction.	Application	5	5	5

Transition or YHDP Replacement Rating Criteria

TRANSITION OR YHDP REPLACEMENT PROJECT CRITERIA			
Criteria	Metric and Point Allocation	Source	Maximum Score 123
Exits to Permanent Housing	PSH: % remaining in PSH or exited to a PH situation (zero points if less than 45%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 90% RRH: % moving to PH (zero point if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85% TH/SSO: % moving to PH (zero points if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85%. 10 points: Meets or exceeds 5 points: Nearing goal. RRH/TH/SSO with no exits in time period. 0 points: Does not meet expectations	HMIS	10
Exit to Unsubsidized Housing	Demonstrate that at least 20% of participants who exit from TH/RRH/SSO exit to unsubsidized housing. 5 points: At least 20% of participants that exit, exit to unsubsidized housing. 0 points: Less than 20% if participants exit, exit to unsubsidized housing OR no households exited in the time period.	HMIS	5
Unknown Exits	Extent to which participants exit to a known destination. 5 points: Less than 5% of clients that exit to "unknown" OR no households exited during the time period. 0 points: More than 5% exit to "unknown".	HMIS	5

Returns to Homelessness	The extent to which persons who exit homelessness to permanent housing destination return to homelessness meets or exceeds system target for program and population type. For youth serving programs the standard is 5% across all project types. For non-youth programs the standard is 8% for TH and ES, and 3% for RRH and PSH. 10 points: Meets or exceeds system target OR no households exited during the time period. 5 points: Nearing system target. For youth serving programs the nearing 5-7% across all project types. For non-youth programs nearing is 8-10% for TH, RRH and SSO, and 3-5% for PSH/SH. 0 points: More than 7% for YYA serving programs across all project types. For non-youth programs is 10% for TH, RRH, and SSO, and 5% for PSH/SH.	HMIS	10
Exits with Earned Income	Extent to which adults in the program exit the program with employment income. 10 points: More than 20% exited with earned income. 5 points: Nearing system target - at least 19-10% exit with earned income OR no households exited during the time period. 0 points: Less than 10% of exits had earned income partial scores	HMIS	10
Exits with Non-Earned Income	Extent to which adults in the program exit with cash income from other sources (e.g. TANF, SSDI) or non-cash (e.g. EBT, Medicaid). 5 points: More than 95% exited with non-earned income. 3 points: Between 94-85% exited with non-earned income OR no households exited during the time period. No points: Less than 85% exited with non-earned income.	HMIS	5
Exits with No Financial Resources	Extent to which no more than 10% of participants exit with “no financial resources” (cash or non-cash). 5 points: Fewer than 10% exited with no income OR no households exited during the time period. No partial scores.	HMIS	5

Participants Served	Proportion of participants who, at entry, come from a homeless circumstance – excluding approved mobility transfers into PSH. 5 points: 100% of the population served coming from homelessness upon entry – excluding approved mobility transfers into PSH. No partial scores.	HMIS	5
HMIS Data Quality/Completeness	No more than 5% reported missing/not collected etc., for data in any element (excluding Name, SSN, HIV /AIDS status). 5 points: Less than 5% of universal data elements that are 'missing' - e.g. data not collected, client doesn't know, client refused. No partial scores	HMIS	5
Occupancy	Extent to which the project maintains capacity/occupancy. <u>Note:</u> RRH scores are determined by move-in rate. 5 points: Occupancy of 95% or more (RRH based on move-in rate) 3 points: Occupancy of 90-94% (RRH based on move-in rate) 2 points: Occupancy rate of 85-89% (RRH based on move-in rate) 0 points: Less than 85% occupancy (RRH based on move-in rate)	HMIS	5
Project Expenditures	Extent to which the project spent 100% of HUD funds. 5 points: Underspend is less than or equal to 5% 3 points: Underspend is 6% to 10% 1 points: Underspend is 11% to 20% No points for underspend over 20%	APR Review	5
On Time Annual Performance Report	APR submitted in a timely manner. 5 points: APR submitted on time. No partial points.	APR Review	5
Program Population Priority	Full points based on the core target populations the program serves: 3 points: 100% of units/program dedicated/prioritizes Unsheltered Persons 3 points: 100% of units/program operates as “Recovery Based” 3 points: 100% of units/program serve Elders, seniors and/or disabled persons	Application	3

	3 points: 100% of units/program serves Families with children 3 points: 100% of units/program serve Youth and Young Adults 3 points: 100% of units/program participants are fleeing Domestic Violence or Sex Trafficking		
Monitoring/Audit Results	Extent to which the project has open findings or recommendations. 5 points: No open findings or recommendations. No partial points	Application	5
Supportive Service Participation	Evidence of required engagement per 24 CFR 578.75(h). Except that consistent with 24 CFR 5.2005(b)(1) assistance may not be denied on the basis or as a direct result of the fact that the participant is or has been a victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, if the participant otherwise qualifies for admission, assistance, participation, or occupancy. 2 points: Agency requires participants to engage in supportive services and provides evidence of required engagement in alignment 24 CFR 578.75(h) based on attached agreement OR proposed program exclusively serves victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. 1 point: Agency encourage participants to engage in supportive services but does not require it. 0 points: Agency does not require participants to engage in supportive services or does not provide evidence.	Application	2
Onsite Behavioral Health Treatment Supports	The project demonstrates clear evidence of onsite behavioral health treatment support, including a letter of agreement with the partner or team providing such services. 5 points: Applicant provides Letter or MOU demonstrate onsite behavioral health supports provided by a licensed behavioral health agency. 3 points: Applicant provides a plan for onsite behavioral health supports without formal agreement or is an outreach program with no onsite location. 0 points: Project does not provide onsite behavioral health supports OR provides	Application	5

	evidence of support provided by an agency that is not a licensed behavioral health agency .		
Mainstream Benefit Supports	Extent to which the project connects participants to mainstream benefits programs. 5 points: program indicates at least one mainstream benefit program to which they connect participants. No partial points.	Application	5
Program Termination	Extent to which the program's service model demonstrates strategies to minimize program termination in full alignment with HUD standards and Continuum of Care best practices. 2 points: The program provides clear description and documentation that identifies a clear service model and supports minimizing program termination in alignment with HUD standards of trauma-informed, person-centered care. No partial points.	Application	2
Participant Feedback	Extent to which the programs solicits, collects and responds to participant feedback to inform and improve services. 2 points: The program solicits qualitative and quantitative feedback more than once a year and provides clear examples of how they have changed practices based on feedback and engagement. 1 point: The program solicits feedback once a year but does not provide examples of how they have changed practices based on feedback and engagement. 0 points: The program does not solicit or incorporate participant feedback	Application	2
Individuals Who Are Experiencing or Have Experienced Homelessness	Demonstrates meaningful inclusion of individuals who are experiencing or have experienced homelessness in organizational governance through dedicated and filled board representation. 2 points: The agency has a dedicated board seat for individuals who are experiencing or have experienced homelessness. No partial points.	Application	2

Advancing Public Safety	Demonstrates active collaboration and system integration with law enforcement, first responders, and outreach systems to support public safety, reduce unsheltered homelessness, and minimize trauma associated with living in unsheltered settings (including encampments). For housing projects (PSH, RRH, TH), responses should reflect how these partnerships support engagement of unsheltered individuals and safe transitions into housing. <u>2 points:</u> The project provides clear, specific, and current evidence of partnerships with law enforcement and/or first responders. Includes Named partners (e.g., police departments, fire/EMS, outreach teams), description of regular coordination activities (e.g., case conferencing, joint outreach, encampment response) and examples of how these partnerships improve safety outcomes and reduce harm/trauma for unsheltered individuals and the broader community. No partial points.	Application	2
--------------------------------	--	-------------	---

Braided Funding	The extent to which the project demonstrates secured or committed external funding that leverages housing or behavioral health resources. Required Documentation (must include at least one of the following): • Award letter(s) from recognized public funding sources such as: ○ Washington State Housing Finance Commission (WSHFC) ○ Public Housing Authority (PHA) ○ Office of Housing (OH) ○ Department of Community and Human Services (DCHS) • Executed or draft formal agreement with a Public Housing Authority (PHA) for Move-On vouchers • Other verifiable documentation confirming committed capital, operating, or service funding tied to housing stability or behavioral health services 10 Points: Award letter(s) (WSHFC, PHA, OH, DCHS) or executed Move-On voucher agreement Funding is committed and aligned. 5 Points: Draft agreement, LOI, or pending award, Credible but not finalized funding 0 Points: No documentation or funding is uncommitted/unrelated	Application	10
Geographic Diversity of Funds	The project has other fund sources that ensure services are provided throughout the county. Committed fund sources permit participant placements across multiple jurisdictions. 5 Points: Committed funding supports services countywide & enables placements across multiple jurisdictions 3 Points: Some committed funding, but limited geographic coverage. Cross-jurisdiction placements are partial or constrained 0 Points: No committed funding or restricted to a single jurisdiction.	Application	5
Cost Effectiveness	Extent to which the program budget meets 2 CFR 200.404 standards. 5 points: Meets 2 CFR 200.404 standards No partial points.	Application	5

New Project Rating Criteria

NEW PROJECT CRITERIA		Maximum Score	
Criteria	Metric and Point Allocation	New - RRH 119	New – Other 118
Addressing System or Geographic Gaps	The proposed project addresses an identified geographic or system gap (accessing services, strengthening crisis response, and improving housing stability) as documented by the CoC's needs assessment, gap analysis, or other published system priorities. Reviewers will consider the extent to which the project: • Is located in, or specifically serves, areas identified as underserved; and/or • Expands capacity in service areas or program types identified as priority gaps. • Provides detail about the anticipated number of people housed and anticipates serving an underserved population. 10 points: The proposed services are clearly located in, or specifically designed to address, a documented geographic or system gap identified by the CoC. The application provides strong documentation and clearly demonstrates how the project expands capacity in a priority area or service type. 7 points: The proposed services partially address an identified geographic or system gap, or alignment with CoC priorities is evident but limited in scope, scale, or supporting documentation. 5 points: The proposed services demonstrate minimal or indirect alignment with identified geographic or system gaps and lack sufficient documentation or explanation of system impact. 0 points: The proposed services do not address an identified geographic or system gap or are located in areas with no documented unmet need.	10	10

Demonstration of Experience Operating Proposed Services	Demonstrates experience and expertise in providing proposed services. 5 points: Demonstrates experience of 4+ years with operating the same services proposed in the application. 3 points: Demonstrates 2-3 years providing services proposed, or demonstrates 4+ years of homelessness service provision but no direct experience with the services proposed in the application. 0 points: No prior experience providing homelessness services.	5	5
Staffing and Qualifications & Staffing Needs	Clearly explains how staff positions and their qualifications are designed to meet the needs of the program participants. 5 points: Staffing model and justifications are reasonable based on proposed number of households to serve. Minimum Recommendation for staffing: RRH and TH - staffing ratio is around 1 case manager to 15 households, SSO - staffing ratio is around 1 case manager to 20 households. 0 points: Staffing model is not reasonable and ratio of staff is below minimum required to provide quality services.	5	5
Partnerships & Coordination	Demonstrates collaboration and system integration with: • Housing & Healthcare Partnerships: Coordinates with housing and healthcare providers. • Law Enforcement & First Responder Collaboration: Especially for SSO-Street Outreach; supports public safety and encampment reduction. 5 points: Clear demonstration of partnerships with both healthcare/housing providers and Law Enforcement and First Responders. Proposal includes named partners within the sectors, and examples of collaboration with partners. 3 points: Clear demonstration of partnerships with both healthcare/housing providers or Law Enforcement and First Responders. Proposal includes named partners within the sectors, and examples of collaboration with partners. 0 points: Does not demonstrate ability to meet criteria. 1 bonus point for MOUs or other formal agreements with Healthcare and Housing	6	6

Service Approach and Methodology	Demonstrates a commitment to trauma-informed, person-centered approaches that maintain confidentiality and encourage wellbeing. 5 points: Applicant demonstrates excellence in their ability to utilize a trauma-informed and person-centered approach. Applicant provides policies, procedures and describes an agency culture that ensures the approaches will be used and improve client outcomes. 3 points: Applicant adequately addresses their ability to utilize a trauma-informed and person-centered approach. Applicant provides policies or procedures and describes an agency culture that ensures the approaches will be used and improve client outcomes. 0 points: Applicant does not meet and/or address their ability to utilize a trauma-informed and person-centered approach.	5	5
Returns to Homelessness	Strategy to support increased self-sufficiency of participants to reduce returns to homelessness. 10 points: Applicant provides strategy and data from similar program that demonstrates 3-5% return rate. 7 points: Applicant provides strategy data from similar program that demonstrates 6-10% return rate. 5 points: Applicant provides anecdotal evidence of prior ability to meet low returns but does not provide data to support it. 0 points: Does not demonstrate ability or strategy to increase self-sufficiency or participants to reduce returns to homelessness.	10	10
Employment Income Outcomes	Plan to achieve a gain of employment income for 50% of participants including examples and partnerships to support this goal. 10 points: Applicant provides exit data from similar program that demonstrates ability to meet 50% of participants who exit have employment income. 7 points: Provides exit data from similar program that demonstrates ability to meet 30% of participants who exit have employment income. 5 points: Provides anecdotal evidence of prior ability or plan to meet employment income measure but does not provide data to support it.	10	10

	0 points: Does not demonstrate ability to meet criteria.		
Exits to Permanent Housing	Clear plan to exit at least 50% of participants to permanent housing within 24 months. 10 points: Provides exit data from similar program that demonstrates ability to meet 50% exit to PH within 24 months. 7 points: Provides exit data from similar program that demonstrates ability to meet 30% exit to PH within 24 months. 5 points: Provides anecdotal evidence of prior ability or plan to meet exit criteria but does not provide data to support it. 0 points: Does not demonstrate ability to meet criteria.	10	10
HMIS & Data Management	Demonstrates previous experience or provides a detailed explanation of their knowledge and capacity to collect and manage Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) data. Has experience meeting reporting requirements for state, local, and/or federally funded programs, or demonstrates the ability to fulfill these requirements. 5 points: Agency has experience and/or capacity to utilize HMIS and manage sensitive participant data. Agency has capacity to meet reporting requirements. 3 points: Agency has no experience but has capacity to utilize HMIS and manage sensitive participant data. Agency has no capacity to meet reporting requirements. 0 points: Agency has no experience and no capacity to utilize HMIS and manage sensitive participant data. Agency has no capacity to meet reporting requirements.	5	5
Fiscal Management	Maintains a fiscal management system with checks and balances that adheres to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) to safeguard all awarded funds. If the applicant lacks fiscal management capabilities, they must identify a fiscal sponsor. 5 points: Applicant describes revenue, financial health, and financial management systems. Applicant has a fiscal management system which maintains checks and balances and follows Generally Accepted Accounting	5	5

	Principles to safeguard all funds that may be awarded under the terms of this funding opportunity. 3 points: If the applicant lacks fiscal management capabilities, a signed letter of agreement stating an appropriate fiscal sponsor is provided. 0 points: Applicant do not describe revenue, financial health, and financial management systems or does not have a system that maintains checks and balances and follows Generally Accepted Accounting Principles to safeguard all funds that may be awarded under the terms of this funding opportunity.		
Budget Justification	Provides a clear explanation for each budget item and its intended use. Budget items are logical and cost-effective, meets 2 CFR 200.404 standards. 5 points: Budget is logical and cost effective with items meeting 2 CFR 200.404 standards. 0 points: Budget is not logical, does not meet the needs of the clients or program, or does not meet 2 CFR 200.404 standards.	5	5
Match Requirement	Demonstrates the ability to meet the 25% match requirement for the funding. 5 points: Submitted budget includes eligible 25% match. 0 points: Submitted budget does not include a 25% match or match provided is not eligible.	5	5
Braided Funding	The extent to which the project demonstrates secured or committed external funding that leverages housing or behavioral health resources. Required Documentation (must include at least one of the following): • Award letter(s) from recognized public funding sources such as: ○ Washington State Housing Finance Commission (WSHFC) ○ Public Housing Authority (PHA) ○ Office of Housing (OH) ○ Department of Community and Human Services (DCHS) • Executed or draft formal agreement with a Public Housing Authority (PHA) for Move-On vouchers	10	10

	Other verifiable documentation confirming committed capital, operating, or service funding tied to housing stability or behavioral health services 10 Points: Award letter(s) (WSHFC, PHA, OH, DCHS) or executed Move-On voucher agreement Funding is committed and aligned. 5 Points: Draft agreement, LOI, or pending award, Credible but not finalized funding 0 Points: No documentation or funding is uncommitted/unrelated		
Geographic Diversity of Funds	The project has other fund sources that ensure services are provided throughout the county. Committed fund sources permit participant placements across multiple jurisdictions. 5 Points: Committed funding supports services countywide & enables placements across multiple jurisdictions 3 Points: Some committed funding, but limited geographic coverage. Cross-jurisdiction placements are partial or constrained 0 Points: No committed funding or restricted to a single jurisdiction.	5	5
Program Component Priority	Full points based on component type: 3 points: Rapid Rehousing for families with children 2 points: Transitional Housing, Support Services Only Standalone, Support Services Only Street Outreach, Coordinated Entry, HMIS	3	2
Program Population Priority	Full points based on the core target populations the program serves: 3 points: 100% of units/program dedicated/prioritizes Unsheltered Persons 3 points: 100% of units/program operates as “Recovery Based” 3 points: 100% of units/program serve Elders, seniors and/or disabled persons 3 points: 100% of units/program serves Families with children 3 points: 100% of units/program serve Youth and Young Adults 3 points: 100% of units/program participants are fleeing Domestic Violence or Sex Trafficking	3	2

Supportive Service Participation	Evidence of required engagement per 24 CFR 578.75(h). Except that consistent with 24 CFR 5.2005(b)(1) assistance may not be denied on the basis or as a direct result of the fact that the participant is or has been a victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, if the participant otherwise qualifies for admission, assistance, participation, or occupancy. 2 points: Agency requires participants to engage in supportive services and provides evidence of required engagement in alignment 24 CFR 578.75(h) based on attached agreement OR proposed program exclusively serves victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. 1 point: Agency encourage participants to engage in supportive services but does not require it. 0 points: Agency does not require participants to engage in supportive services or does not provide evidence.	2	2
Onsite Behavioral Health Treatment Supports	The project demonstrates clear evidence of onsite behavioral health treatment support, including a letter of agreement with the partner or team providing such services. 5 points: Applicant provides Letter or MOU demonstrate onsite behavioral health supports provided by a licensed behavioral health agency. 3 points: Applicant provides a plan for onsite behavioral health supports without formal agreement or is an outreach program with no onsite location. 0 points: Project does not provide onsite behavioral health supports OR provides evidence of support provided by an agency that is not a licensed behavioral health agency.	5	5
Coordination with Mainstream Resources	Demonstrates partnerships with SOAR, SSI, SNAPs, Medicaid/Medicare: MOUs, referrals, co-enrollment. 5 points: Agency demonstrates partnerships or referral processes AND supplies letters, MOUs, or other formal agreements that demonstrate	5	5

	partnerships or referral processes with mainstream resources such as Medicaid, SNAP, SSI/SSDI 3 points: Demonstrates partnerships or referral processes with mainstream resources such as Medicaid, SNAP, SSI/SSDI, but no formal agreements. 0 points: Does not demonstrate partnerships or referral		
Demonstration of Commitment to Include Residents & Individuals Who Are Experiencing or Have Experienced Homelessness OR DV Bonus: Demonstration of inclusion of victim/survivor-centered practices	Partnership and Community Involvement Clearly describes how they will partner with residents and community members who have experienced homelessness. This includes actively involving these individuals in planning, implementation, and evaluation processes. Incorporation of Customer Voice Effectively communicates how they respond to customer feedback. This includes including the customer voice in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of services. Solicits qualitative and quantitative feedback more than once a year and provides clear examples of how practices have been adjusted based on this feedback. Board Representation The board includes dedicated seats for individuals who are experiencing or have experienced homelessness. OR DV Bonus Partnerships and Collaboration Clearly describes how they will partner with survivors and/or other community stakeholders with experience of homelessness and violence, including details on the nature of these partnerships. Customer Feedback Effectively communicates how they incorporate customer feedback into the planning, implementation, and evaluation of services.	5	5

	Victim-Centered Practices Clearly explains how the proposal addresses the housing and safety needs of survivors by adopting victim/survivor-centered practices such as Trauma-Informed Care, and Confidentiality. Demonstrates current adoption of victim-centered practices or provides a detailed plan for how they will integrate these practices. <u>5 points:</u> Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria. <u>3 points:</u> Adequately addresses the criteria. <u>0 points:</u> Does not meet and/or address the criteria.		
--	--	--	--

Rating Criteria Point Distribution Overview

RENEWAL PROJECTS					
	PH-PSH	RRH	TH/SSO		
Objective	0.776	0.7686	0.766		
25% System Performance	0.28	0.325	0.333		
	PSH, SH	RRH	TH/SSO	Objective Criteria	System Performance
Exits to Permanent Housing	10	10	10	x	x
Stability Bonus	5	0	0	x	
Exit to Unsubsidized Housing	0	5	5	x	x
Unknown Exits	5	5	5	x	
Returns to Homelessness	10	10	10	x	x
Exits with Earned Income	10	10	10	x	x
Exits with Non-Earned Income	5	5	5	x	
Exits with No Financial Resources	5	5	5	x	
Participants Served	5	5	5	x	
HMIS Data Quality/Completeness	5	5	5	x	
Occupancy	5	5	5	x	x
Program Component Priority	5	3	2	x	
Program Population Priority	5	3	3	x	
Project Expenditures	5	5	5	x	
On Time Annual Performance Report	5	5	5	x	
Monitoring/Audit Results	5	5	5	x	
Program Termination	2	2	2		
Participant Feedback	2	2	2		
Experiencing/Have Experienced Homelessness	2	2	2		

Advancing Public Safety	2	2	2		
Supportive Service Participation	2	2	2	x	
Onsite Behavioral Health Treatment Supports	5	5	5	x	
Mainstream Benefit Supports	5	5	5		
Braided Funding	10	10	10		
Geographic Diversity of Funds	5	5	5		
TOTAL	125	123	120		

TRANSITION/REPLACEMENT			
	All		
Objective	0.7377		
25% System Performance	0.3278		
	All	Objective Criteria	System Performance
Exits to Permanent Housing	10	x	x
Exit to Unsubsidized Housing	5	x	x
Unknown Exits	5	x	
Returns to Homelessness	10	x	x
Exits with Earned Income	10	x	x
Exits with Non-Earned Income	5	x	
Exits with No Financial Resources	5	x	
Participants Served	5	x	
HMIS Data Quality/Completeness	5	x	
Occupancy	5	x	x
Program Population Priority	3	x	
Project Expenditures	5	x	
On Time Annual Performance Report	5	x	

Monitoring/Audit Results	5	x	
Program Termination	2		
Participant Feedback	2		
Experiencing/Have Experienced Homelessness	2		
Advancing Public Safety	1		
Supportive Service Participation	2	x	
Onsite Behavioral Health Treatment Supports	5		
Mainstream Benefit Supports	5		
Cost Effectiveness	5	x	
Braided Funding	10		
Geographic Diversity of Funds	5		
TOTAL	122		

NEW PROJECTS				
	PH-RRH	Other		
Objective	0.5294	0.5254		
25% System Performance	0.2521	0.2542		
	PH-RRH	Other	Objective Criteria	System Performance
Addressing System or Geographic Gaps	10	10		
Demonstration of Experience Operating Proposed Services	5	5		
Staffing and Qualifications & Staffing Needs	5	5		
Partnerships & Coordination	6	6		
Include People Experience of Homelessness OR Inclusion of DV Survivors & Survivor-Centered Practice	5	5		
Service Approach & Methodology	5	5		

HMIS & Data Management	5	5	x	
Fiscal Management	5	5		
Budget Justification	5	5	x	
Match Requirement	5	5	x	
Braided Funding	10	10		
Geographic Diversity of Funds	5	5		
Coordination with Mainstream Resources	5	5	x	
Exits to Permanent Housing	10	10	x	x
Employment Income Outcomes	10	10	x	x
Returns to Homelessness	10	10	x	x
Supportive Service Participation	2	2	x	
Program Population Priority	3	3	x	
Onsite Behavioral Health Treatment Supports	5	5	x	
Program Component Priority	3	2	x	
TOTAL	119	118		

Local Ranking Process

Upon completion of project scoring by the designated rater panel, the ranking panel will convene to develop the final ranked order of all projects submitted for the FY26 Continuum of Care (CoC) Local Competition. Projects will be reviewed, scored, and ranked using the transparent, merit-based methodology discussed above and evaluated to ensure that HUD and local priorities are achieved. Coordinated Entry (CE) and HMIS projects are not rated, only ranked. To ensure critical system infrastructure, CE and HMIS are ranked in Tier 1.

The Ranking Panel will present its work to the Continuum of Care Board, which will approve the final Priority List.

Ranking Panel Composition

The ranking panel will consist of representatives of the CoC lead entity, local government partners, and people with lived and living experience. The ranking panel will include some members who were part of rating panels to ensure consistency with the scoring process.

Project Acceptance Criteria

1. To be considered for inclusion in the CoC Priority Listing, a project must:
2. Meet all HUD eligibility, threshold, and project quality requirements contained in the applicable Continuum of Care Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO).
3. Submit a complete application, including all required certifications, attestations, forms, and supporting documentation by established deadlines.
4. Demonstrate project viability, including sufficient organizational capacity, financial management systems, staffing, and operational readiness necessary to successfully implement the proposed project.
5. Demonstrate compliance with federal, state, and local requirements, including participation in HMIS or comparable databases, monitoring requirements, financial reporting, and other applicable grant conditions.
6. Be consistent with the goals, priorities, and strategic direction of the Continuum of Care and contribute to the overall effectiveness of the local homelessness response system.
7. Demonstrate that project activities, budgets, and outcomes are reasonable and achievable based on the proposed scope of work.

8. For renewal projects, demonstrate satisfactory performance, utilization, expenditure rates, and compliance with grant requirements, unless exceptional circumstances warrant continued funding.
9. For new, reallocated, or expansion projects, demonstrate how the project addresses an identified system need, service gap, geographic gap, or priority population identified through CoC planning processes.
10. For transition or replacement projects, demonstrate how the proposed project will better align with HUD priorities, improve system performance, preserve housing capacity, or better address community needs.

Grounds for Rejection, Reduction, or Reallocation

A project may be rejected, reduced, reallocated, transitioned, or ranked lower when it:

- Fails to meet HUD eligibility or threshold requirements.
- Fails to meet locally established threshold requirements.
- Scores below the minimum score established by the CoC competition process.
- Fails to complete required certifications, attestations, or application components.
- Demonstrates insufficient organizational or financial capacity.
- Demonstrates persistent underperformance, low utilization, underspending, or significant compliance concerns.
- Does not align with HUD funding priorities or CoC strategic priorities.
- Is determined by the CoC to be less effective than alternative projects competing for limited funding.
- Is identified for reallocation to create new projects that are expected to better advance HUD priorities, improve system performance, or address unmet community needs.

Ranking Order and Funding Priorities

Final project rankings will be based primarily on project application scores and threshold compliance. However, the CoC may adjust rankings, funding levels, or project placement within Tier 1 and Tier 2 to ensure compliance with HUD requirements, preserve critical system infrastructure, maximize federal funding, and maintain a balanced homelessness response system.

Projects may be accepted, reduced, reallocated, transitioned, ranked lower, or rejected based on the following priorities:

1. Preservation of Existing Effective Housing Resources

- Priority will be given to renewal projects demonstrating strong performance and alignment with HUD requirements.

- Consistent with community-adopted goals, the CoC will prioritize continuation of existing housing inventory and services whenever feasible.
 - Renewals failing to meet minimum threshold requirements or voluntarily reallocating funding may be ranked lower, reduced, or removed from Tier 1 consideration.
2. **HUD Funding Alignment and Portfolio Optimization**
 - The CoC will prioritize project types and interventions identified in the annual CoC NOFO and local planning priorities.
 - Existing projects that voluntarily transition to project models more closely aligned with HUD priorities may receive favorable ranking consideration.
 - The CoC may reallocate funding from lower-performing, underutilized, or less strategically aligned projects to create new projects that better address system needs and HUD priorities.
 3. **System Infrastructure Preservation**
 - HMIS, Coordinated Entry, Coordinated Entry-DV, and other core system infrastructure projects may be prioritized to ensure continued operation of the homelessness response system regardless of comparative application scores.
 - The CoC may adjust rankings to protect projects necessary for system administration, referral coordination, data quality, and compliance activities.
 4. **Improving Housing Outcomes** Projects demonstrating strong performance in the following areas will receive higher ranking consideration:
 - Housing retention and stability
 - Exits to permanent housing
 - Reduced returns to homelessness
 - Effective support strategies that promote long-term housing success
 5. **Promoting Economic Stability**
 - Increases in earned income and employment
 - Successful connection to mainstream benefits
 - Participant engagement in supportive services
 6. **Addressing Community Needs and System Gaps** Priority may be given to projects that:
 - Serve populations with high service needs or limited access to housing resources.
 - Address documented geographic gaps in services.
 - Expand capacity in priority service areas.

- Preserve access for underserved populations and communities disproportionately impacted by homelessness.

7. **Tier Placement and Funding Adjustments**

- Fundable renewals may be placed in Tier 1 or Tier 2 based on available funding, performance, alignment with NOFO priorities, and system considerations.
- Where funding limitations require reductions, the CoC may apply proportional reductions to project types that can operationally scale without immediate service disruption, including certain scattered-site housing models.
- Projects funded through HUD set-asides, bonus funding, domestic violence funding, YHDP funding, or other restricted funding sources may be protected from reductions to preserve compliance with original award conditions.

8. **Population Coverage and System Balance**

- The CoC may adjust rankings to maintain services for key populations, including survivors of domestic violence, families with children, youth and young adults, and other populations with specialized housing needs.
- Such adjustments will only be made when necessary to preserve system balance and continuity of services.

Threshold and Rejection Criteria

Projects may be rejected, reduced, reallocated, ranked lower, or deemed ineligible for funding when they:

- Fail to meet HUD eligibility requirements.
- Fail to meet local threshold requirements.
- Score below the minimum rating threshold established by the CoC.
- Do not demonstrate project viability.
- Fail to complete required attestations or application components.
- Demonstrate poor performance, low utilization, chronic underspending, or inconsistent compliance with federal requirements.
- Do not align with HUD funding priorities or the strategic goals established by the CoC.

Projects that satisfy threshold requirements remain subject to ranking decisions based on system performance, funding availability, portfolio balance, and strategic priorities.

Demonstrate it used **objective criteria** (e.g., cost-effectiveness, performance data, type of population served) to review, rate, and rank project applications and that these factors account for **at least 50%** of the total available points (up to 1 point)
 Demonstrate that it used **system performance measures** in their local review, selection, and rating process and that these factors account for **at least 25%** of the total points available (up to 2 points).

For housing projects (TH, PH-PSH, PH-RRH) that the following measures were considered (up to 3 points):

- Returns to homelessness;
- Employment income; and
- Supportive service participation requirements.

RENEWAL PROJECTS					
	PH-PSH	RRH	TH/SSO		
Objective	0.776	0.7686	0.76667		
25% System Performance	0.28	0.33058	0.33333		
	PSH, SH	RRH	TH/SSO	Objective	25% System Performance
Exits to PH	10	10	10	x	x
Stability Bonus	5	0	0	x	
Exit to Unsub	0	5	5	x	x
Unknown	5	5	5	x	
Returns	10	10	10	x	x
Earned	10	10	10	x	x
Non-Earned	5	5	5	x	
No Income	5	5	5	x	
ppl Served	5	5	5	x	
HMIS Data	5	5	5	x	
Occupancy	5	5	5	x	x
Prog. Component	5	3	2	x	
Expenditures	5	5	5	x	
APR	5	5	5	x	
Monitoring	5	5	5	x	
Program Term	2	2	2		
Participant Fe	2	2	2		
Population	5	3	3	x	
LE	2	2	2		
Advancing Pu	2	2	2		
Supportive se	2	2	2	x	
onsite BH	5	5	5	x	
Mainstream b	5	5	5		
Braided Fund	10	10	10		
Geographic D	5	5	5		
TOTAL	125	121	120		

TRANSITION/REPLACEMENT			
	All		
Objective	0.731707317		
25% System Performance	0.325203252		
	All	Objective	25% System Performance
Exits to PH	10	x	x
Exit to Unsub	5	x	x
Unknown	5	x	
Returns	10	x	x
Earned	10	x	x
Non-Earned	5	x	
No Income	5	x	
ppl Served	5	x	
HMIS Data	5	x	
Occupancy	5	x	x
Population	3	x	
Expenditures	5	x	
Monitoring	5	x	
Program Termin	2		
Participant Feed	2		
LE	2		
Advancing Public	2		
APR	5	x	
Supportive services	2	x	
onsite BH	5		
Mainstream ben	5		
Cost Effectiveness	5	x	
Braided Funding	10		
Geographic Divers	5		
TOTAL	123		

NEW PROJECTS					
	PH-RRH	Other	DV-RRH	DV-TH	
Objective	0.529411765	0.52542	0.52941		
25% System Performance	0.25210084	0.25424	0.2521		
	PH-RRH	Other	DV-RRH	DV-TH	Objective
Addressing System of	10	10	10	10	
Demonstration of Exp	5	5	5	5	
Staffing Qualifications	5	5	5	5	
Partnerships & Coord	6	6	6	6	
Include PLE/PLE-DV	5	5	5	5	
Service Approach & N	5	5	5	5	
Data	5	5	5	5	x
Fiscal Management	5	5	5	5	
Budget Justification	5	5	5	5	x
Match Requirement	5	5	5	5	x
Braided Funding	10	10	10	10	
Geographic Diversity	5	5	5	5	
Exit to PH Plan	10	10	10	10	x
Employment Income C	10	10	10	10	x
Returns to Homelessr	10	10	10	10	x
Supportive services a	2	2	2	2	x
Pop. Priority	3	3	3	3	x
Mainstream bene	5	5	5	5	x
onsite BH	5	5	5	5	x
Program Component	3	2	3	2	x
TOTAL	119	118	119	118	

Criteria	Metric	Point allocation	Source	PH-PSH, SH	RRH	TH/SSO
Exits to Permanent Housing	PSH: % remaining in PSH or exited to a PH situation (zero points if less than 45%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 90% TH/SSO: % moving to PH (zero points if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85%. RRH: % moving to PH (zero point if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85%	10 points: Meets or exceeds 5 points: Nearing goal. RRH/TH/SSO with no exits in time period. 0 points: Does not meet expectations	HMIS	10	10	10
Housing Retention	85% or more remain stably housing in PSH or SH for 2+ years or more	5 points: Meets or exceeds No partial scores	HMIS	5		
Exit to Unsubsidized Housing	Demonstrate that at least 20% of participants who exit from TH/RRH/SSO exit to unsubsidized housing.	5 points: At least 20% of participants that exit, exit to unsubsidized housing. 0 points: Less than 20% if participants exit, exit to unsubsidized housing OR no households exited in the time period.	HMIS		5	5
Unknown Exits	Extent to which participants exit to a known destination.	5 points = Less than 5% of clients that exit to "unknown" OR no households exited during the time period. No points if more than 5% exit to "unknown".	HMIS	5	5	5
Returns to Homelessness	The extent to which persons who exit homelessness to permanent housing destination return to homelessness meets or exceeds system target for program and population type. For youth serving programs the standard is 5% across all project types. For non-youth programs the standard is 8% for TH and ES, and 3% for RRH and PSH.	10 points = meets or exceeds system target. OR no households exited during the time period. 5 points = nearing system target : For youth serving programs the nearing 5-7% across all project types. For non-youth programs nearing is 8-10% for TH, RRH and SSO, and 3-5% for PSH/SH. 0 points if more than 7% for YYA serving programs across all project types. For non-youth programs is 10% for TH, RRH, and SSO, and 5% for PSH/SH.	HMIS	10	10	10
Exits with Earned Income	Extent to which adults in the program exit the program with employment income	10 points = More than 20% exited with earned income. 5 points = Nearing system target - at least 19-10% exit with earned income OR no households exited during the time period. 0 points = less than 10% of exits had earned income partial scores	HMIS	10	10	10
Exits with Non-Earned Income	Extent to which adults in the program exit with cash income from other sources (e.g. TANF, SSDI) or non-cash (e.g. EBT, Medicaid) .	5 points = More than 95% exited with non-earned income. 3 points = Between 94-85% exited with non-earned income OR no households exited during the time period. No points = Less than 85% exited with non-earned income.	HMIS	5	5	5
Exits with No Financial Resources	Extent to which no more than 10% of participants exit with "no financial resources" (cash or non-cash)	5 points = Fewer than 10% exited with no income OR no households exited during the time period. No partial scores.	HMIS	5	5	5
Participants Served	Proportion of participants served coming from streets and/or Emergency Shelter	5 points = 100% population served coming from homelessness. No partial scores.	HMIS	5	5	5
HMIS Data Quality/Completeness	No more than 5% reported missing/not collected etc., for data in any element (excluding Name, SSN, HIV /AIDS status)	5 Points = Less than 5% of universal data elements that are 'missing' - e.g. data not collected, client doesn't know, client refused. No partial scores	HMIS	5	5	5
Occupancy	Extent to which the project maintains capacity/occupancy. Note: RRH scores are determined by move-in rate.	5 points = Occupancy of 95% or more (RRH based on move-in rate) 3 points = Occupancy of 90-94% (RRH based on move-in rate) 2 points = Occupancy rate of 85-89% (RRH based on move-in rate) No points for less than 85% occupancy (RRH based on move-in rate)	HMIS	5	5	5

Program Component Priority	Permanent Supportive Housing Project = 5pts Rapid Rehousing = 3 pts Joint Component Transitional Housing/Rapid Rehousing = average of both TH & RRH Transitional Housing = 2 pts Safe Haven = 2 pts Support Services Only = 2 pts	Points based on component type.	Pre-Determined	5	3	2
Project Expenditures	Extent to which the project spent 100% of HUD funds.	5 points = Underspend is less than or equal to 5% 3 points = Underspend is 6% to 10% 1 points = Underspend is 11% to 20% No points for underspend over 20%	APR Review	5	5	5
On Time Annual Performance Report	APR submitted in a timely manner	Full points for APR submitted on time. No partial points.	APR Review	5	5	5
Program Population Priority	100% of units/program dedicated/prioritizes Chronically Homeless Persons = 5pts 100% of units/program dedicated/prioritizes Unsheltered Persons = 3pts 100% of units/program operates as "Recovery Based" = 3pt 100% of units serve Elders, seniors and/or disabled persons = 3pt 100% of units/program serves Families 100% of units/program serve Youth and Young Adults= 3pt 100% of units/program participants are fleeing Domestic Violence or Sex Trafficking =	Full points based on the core target populations the program serves	Application	5	3	3
Monitoring/Audit Results	Extent to which the project has open findings or recommendations	5 points for no findings or recommendations that remain open. No partial points.	KCRHA/Application	5	5	5
Supportive Service Participation	Evidence of required engagement per 24 CFR 578.75(h). Except that consistent with 24 CFR 5.2005(b)(1) assistance may not be denied on the basis or as a direct result of the fact that the participant is or has been a victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, if the participant otherwise qualifies for admission, assistance, participation, or occupancy.	2 points: Agency requires participants to engage in Supportive services and provides evidence of required engagement in alignment 24 CFR 578.75(h) based on attached agreement OR proposed program exclusively serves victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. 1 point: Agency encourage participants to engage in Supportive services but does not require it. 0 points: Agency does not require participants to engage in supportive services or does not provide evidence.	Application	2	2	2
Onsite Behavioral Health Treatment Supports	The project demonstrates clear evidence of onsite behavioral health treatment supports, including a letter of agreement with the partner or team providing such services.	•5 points: Applicant provides Letter or MOU demonstrate onsite behavioral health supports. •3 points: Applicant provides a plan for onsite behavioral health supports without formal agreement or is an outreach program with no onsite location. •No points: Project does not provide a letter or MOU demonstrating onsite behavioral health supports.	Application	5	5	5
Mainstream Benefit Supports	Extent to which the project connects participants to mainstream benefits programs	5 points: program indicates at least one mainstream benefit program to which they connect participants. No partial points.	Application	5	5	5
Program Termination	Extent to which the program's service model demonstrates strategies to minimize program termination in full alignment with HUD standards and Continuum of Care best practices	2 points = The program provides clear description and documentation that identifies a clear service model and supports minimizing program termination in alignment with HUD standards of trauma-informed, person-centered care. No Points = The program provides a description and documentation that does not identify how the project aims to minimize program termination nor is in alignment with HUD standards of trauma-informed, person-centered care..	Application	2	2	2

	Extent to which the programs solicits, collects and responds to participant feedback to inform and improve services.	2 points = The program solicits qualitative and quantitative feedback more than once a year and provides clear examples of how they have changed practices based on feedback and engagement. 1 point = The program solicits feedback once a year but does not provide examples of how they have changed practices based on feedback and engagement. No Points = The program does not solicit or incorporate participant feedback.	Application	2	2	2
Participant Feedback						
	Demonstrates meaningful inclusion of individuals with lived and living experience of homelessness in organizational governance through dedicated and filled board representation.	2 points = The agency has a dedicated board seat for an individual with lived and/or living experience of homelessness or program services. 0 points = The agency does not have a dedicated board seat for someone with lived experience.	Application	2	2	2
Lived and Living Experience						
	Demonstrates active collaboration and system integration with law enforcement, first responders, and outreach systems to support public safety, reduce unsheltered homelessness, and minimize trauma associated with living in unsheltered settings (including encampments). For housing projects (PSH, RRH, TH), responses should reflect how these partnerships support engagement of unsheltered individuals and safe transitions into housing.	2 Points: The project provides clear, specific, and current evidence of partnerships with law enforcement and/or first responders. Includes Named partners (e.g., police departments, fire/EMS, outreach teams), description of regular coordination activities (e.g., case conferencing, joint outreach, encampment response) and examples of how these partnerships improve safety outcomes and reduce harm/trauma for unsheltered individuals and the broader community 0 Points: The project does not demonstrate meaningful coordination with law enforcement, first responders, or public safety systems, or provides insufficient detail.	Application	2	2	2
Advancing Public Safety						
Braided Funding	The extent to which the project demonstrates secured or committed external funding that leverages housing or behavioral health resources. Required Documentation (must include at least one of the following): Award letter(s) from recognized public funding sources such as: *Washington State Housing Finance Commission (WSHFC) *Public Housing Authority (PHA) *Office of Housing (OH) *Department of Community and Human Services (DCHS) Executed or draft formal agreement with a Public Housing Authority (PHA) for Move-On vouchers Other verifiable documentation confirming committed capital, operating, or service funding tied to housing stability or behavioral health services	10 Points: Award letter(s) (WSHFC, PHA, OH, DCHS) or executed Move-On voucher agreement Funding is committed and aligned. 5 Points: Draft agreement, LOI, or pending award, Credible but not finalized funding 0 Points: No documentation or funding is uncommitted/unrelated	Application	10	10	10
Geographic Diversity of Funds	The project has other fund sources that ensure services are provided throughout the county. Committed fund sources permit participant placements across multiple jurisdictions.	5 Points: Committed funding supports services countywide & enables placements across multiple jurisdictions 3 Points: Some committed funding, but limited geographic coverage. Cross-jurisdiction placements are partial or constrained 0 Points: No committed funding or restricted to a single jurisdiction.	Application	5	5	5

Criteria	Metric	Point allocation	Source	Highest Possible
Exits to Permanent Housing	PSH: % remaining in PSH or exited to a PH situation (zero points if less than 45%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 90% TH/SSO: % moving to PH (zero points if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85%. RRH: % moving to PH (zero point if less than 50%). Full points to meet/exceed system target of 85%	10 points: Meets or exceeds 5 points: Nearing goal. RRH/TH/SSO with no exits in time period. 0 points: Does not meet expectations	HMIS	10
Exit to Unsubsidized Housing	Demonstrate that at least 20% of participants who exit from TH/RRH exit to unsubsidized housing.	5 points: At least 20% of participants that exit, exit to unsubsidized housing. 0 points: Less than 20% if participants exit, exit to unsubsidized housing OR no households exited in the time period.	HMIS	5
Unknown Exits	Extent to which participants exit to a known destination.	5 points = Less than 5% of clients that exit to "unknown" OR no households exited during the time period. No points if more than 5% exit to "unknown".	HMIS	5
Returns to Homelessness	The extent to which persons who exit homelessness to permanent housing destination return to homelessness meets or exceeds system target for program and population type. For youth serving programs the standard is 5% across all project types. For non-youth programs the standard is 8% for TH and ES, and 3% for RRH and PSH.	10 points = meets or exceeds system target. OR no households exited during the time period. 5 points = nearing system target : For youth serving programs the nearing 5-7% across all project types. For non-youth programs nearing is 8-10% for TH, RRH and SSO, and 3-5% for PSH/SH. 0 points if more than 7% for YYA serving programs across all project types. For non-youth programs is 10% for TH, RRH, and SSO, and 5% for PSH/SH.	HMIS	10
Exits with Earned Income	Extent to which adults in the program exit with employment income from a RRH, TH, or SSO Project	10 points = More than 20% exited with earned income. 5 points = Nearing system target - at least 19-10% exit with earned income OR no households exited during the time period. 0 points = less than 10% of exits had earned income partial scores	HMIS	10
Exits with Non-Earned Income	Extent to which adults in the program exit with cash income from other sources (e.g. TANF, SSDI) or non-cash (e.g. EBT, Medicaid) from a PSH or SH project.	5 points = More than 95% exited with non-earned income. 3 points = Between 94-85% exited with non-earned income OR no households exited during the time period. No points = Less than 85% exited with non-earned income.	HMIS	5
Exits with No Financial Resources	Extent to which no more than 10% of participants exit with "no financial resources" (cash or non-cash)	5 points = Fewer than 10% exited with no income OR no households exited during the time period. No partial scores.	HMIS	5
Participants Served	Proportion of participants served coming from streets and/or Emergency Shelter	5 points = 100% population served coming from homelessness. No partial scores.	HMIS	5
HMIS Data Quality/Completeness	No more than 5% reported missing/not collected etc., for data in any element (excluding Name, SSN, HIV /AIDS status)	5 Points = Less than 5% of universal data elements that are 'missing' - e.g. data not collected, client doesn't know, client refused. No partial scores	HMIS	5
Occupancy	Extent to which the project maintains capacity/occupancy. Note: RRH scores are determined by move-in rate.	5 points = Occupancy of 95% or more (RRH based on move-in rate) 3 points = Occupancy of 90-94% (RRH based on move-in rate) 2 points = Occupancy rate of 85-89% (RRH based on move-in rate) No points for less than 85% occupancy (RRH based on move-in rate)	HMIS	5

Program Population Priority	100% of units/program dedicated/prioritizes Unsheltered Persons = 3pts 100% of units/program operates as "Recovery Based" = 3pt 100% of units serve Elders, seniors and/or disabled persons = 3pt 100% of units/program serves Families 100% of units/program serve Youth and Young Adults= 3pt 100% of units/program participants are fleeing Domestic Violence or Sex Trafficking = 3pt	Full points based on the core target populations the program serves	Application	3
Project Expenditures	Extent to which the project spent 100% of HUD funds.	5 points = Underspend is less than or equal to 5% 3 points = Underspend is 6% to 10% 1 points = Underspend is 11% to 20% No points for underspend over 20%	APR	5
On Time Annual Performance Report	APR submitted in a timely manner	Full points for APR submitted on time. No partial points.	APR	5
Monitoring/Audit Results	Extent to which the project has open findings or recommendations	5 points for no findings or recommendations that remain open. No partial points.	KCRHA Review	5
Supportive Service Participation	Evidence of required engagement per 24 CFR 578.75(h). Except that consistent with 24 CFR 5.2005(b)(1) assistance may not be denied on the basis or as a direct result of the fact that the participant is or has been a victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, if the participant otherwise qualifies for admission, assistance, participation, or occupancy.	2 points: Agency requires participants to engage in Supportive services and provides evidence of required engagement in alignment 24 CFR 578.75(h) based on attached agreement OR proposed program exclusively serves victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. 1 point: Agency encourage participants to engage in Supportive services but does not require it. 0 points: Agency does not require participants to engage in supportive services or does not provide evidence.	Application	2
Onsite Behavioral Health Treatment Supports	The project demonstrates clear evidence of onsite behavioral health treatment supports, including a letter of agreement with the partner or team providing such services.	•5 points: Applicant provides Letter or MOU demonstrate onsite behavioral health supports. •3 points: Applicant provides a plan for onsite behavioral health supports without formal agreement or is an outreach program with no onsite location. •No points: Project does not provide a letter or MOU demonstrating onsite behavioral health supports.	Application	5
Mainstream Benefit Supports	Extent to which the project connects participants to mainstream benefits programs	5 points: program indicates at least one mainstream benefit program to which they connect participants. No partial points.	Application	5
Cost Effectiveness	Extent to which the program budget meets 2 CFR 200.404 standards	5 points: Meets 2 CFR 200.404 standards No partial points	Application	5
Program Termination	Extent to which the program's service model demonstrates strategies to minimize program termination in full alignment with HUD standards and Continuum of Care best practices	2 points = The program provides clear description and documentation that identifies a clear service model and supports minimizing program termination in alignment with HUD standards of trauma-informed, person-centered care. No Points = The program provides a description and documentation that does not identify how the project aims to minimize program termination nor is in alignment with HUD standards of trauma-informed, person-centered care..	Application	2

Participant Feedback	Extent to which the programs solicits, collects and responds to participant feedback to inform and improve services.	2 points = The program solicits qualitative and quantitative feedback more than once a year and provides clear examples of how they have changed practices based on feedback and engagement. 1 point = The program solicits feedback once a year but does not provide examples of how they have changed practices based on feedback and engagement. No Points = The program does not solicit or incorporate participant feedback.	Application	2
Lived and Living Experience	Demonstrates meaningful inclusion of individuals with lived and living experience of homelessness in organizational governance through dedicated and filled board representation.	2 points = The agency has a dedicated board seat for an individual with lived and/or living experience of homelessness or program services. 0 points = The agency does not have a dedicated board seat for someone with lived experience.	Application	2
Advancing Public Safety	Demonstrates active collaboration and system integration with law enforcement, first responders, and outreach systems to support public safety, reduce unsheltered homelessness, and minimize trauma associated with living in unsheltered settings (including encampments). For housing projects (PSH, RRH, TH), responses should reflect how these partnerships support engagement of unsheltered individuals and safe transitions into housing.	2 Points: The project provides clear, specific, and current evidence of partnerships with law enforcement and/or first responders. Includes Named partners (e.g., police departments, fire/EMS, outreach teams), description of regular coordination activities (e.g., case conferencing, joint outreach, encampment response) and examples of how these partnerships improve safety outcomes and reduce harm/trauma for unsheltered individuals and the broader community 0 Points: The project does not demonstrate meaningful coordination with law enforcement, first responders, or public safety systems, or provides insufficient detail.	Application	2
Braided Funding	The extent to which the project demonstrates secured or committed external funding that leverages housing or behavioral health resources. Required Documentation (must include at least one of the following): Award letter(s) from recognized public funding sources such as: *Washington State Housing Finance Commission (WSHFC) *Public Housing Authority (PHA) *Office of Housing (OH) *Department of Community and Human Services (DCHS) Executed or draft formal agreement with a Public Housing Authority (PHA) for Move-On vouchers Other verifiable documentation confirming committed capital, operating, or service funding tied to housing stability or behavioral health services	10 Points: Award letter(s) (WSHFC, PHA, OH, DCHS) or executed Move-On voucher agreement Funding is committed and aligned. 5 Points: Draft agreement, LOI, or pending award, Credible but not finalized funding 0 Points: No documentation or funding is uncommitted/unrelated	Application	10
Geographic Diversity of Funds	The project has other fund sources that ensure services are provided throughout the county. Committed fund sources permit participant placements across multiple jurisdictions.	5 Points: Committed funding supports services countywide & enables placements across multiple jurisdictions 3 Points: Some committed funding, but limited geographic coverage. Cross-jurisdiction placements are partial or constrained 0 Points: No committed funding or restricted to a single jurisdiction.	Application	5

Criteria	Metric	Point allocation	PH-RRH	PH-Other	DV-RRH	DV -TH
Addressing System or Geographic Gaps	The proposed project addresses an identified geographic or system gap (accessing services, strengthening crisis response, and improving housing stability) as documented by the CoC's needs assessment, gap analysis, or other published system priorities. Reviewers will consider the extent to which the project: Is located in, or specifically serves, areas identified as underserved; and/or Expands capacity in service areas or program types identified as priority gaps. Provides detail about the anticipated number of people housed and anticipates serving an underserved population.	10 Points: The proposed services are clearly located in, or specifically designed to address, a documented geographic or system gap identified by the CoC. The application provides strong documentation and clearly demonstrates how the project expands capacity in a priority area or service type. 7 Points: The proposed services partially address an identified geographic or system gap, or alignment with CoC priorities is evident but limited in scope, scale, or supporting documentation. 5 Points: The proposed services demonstrate minimal or indirect alignment with identified geographic or system gaps and lack sufficient documentation or explanation of system impact. 0 Points: The proposed services do not address an identified geographic or system gap or are located in areas with no documented unmet need.	10	10	10	10
Demonstration of Experience Operating Proposed Services	Demonstrates experience and expertise in providing proposed services. Provides adequate experience to deliver Transitional Housing or Supportive Services leasing, services, and/or operations.	5 points: Demonstrates experience of 4+ years with operating the same services proposed in the application. 3 points: Demonstrates 2-3 years providing services proposed, or demonstrates 4+ years of homelessness service provision but no direct experience with the services proposed in the application. 0 points: No prior experience providing homelessness services.	5	5	5	5
Staffing and Qualifications & Staffing Needs	Clearly explains how staff positions and their qualifications are designed to meet the needs of the program participants.	5 points: Staffing model and justifications are reasonable based on proposed number of households to serve. Minimum Recommendation for staffing: RRH and TH - staffing ratio is around 1 case manager to 15 households, SSO - staffing ratio is around 1 case manager to 20 households. 0 points: Staffing model is not reasonable and ratio of staff is below minimum required to provide quality services.	5	5	5	5
Partnerships & Coordination	Demonstrates collaboration and system integration with: Housing & Healthcare Partnerships: Coordinates with housing and healthcare providers. Law Enforcement & First Responder Collaboration: Especially for SSO-Street Outreach; supports public safety and encampment reduction.	5 points: Clear demonstration of partnerships with both healthcare/housing providers and Law Enforcement and First Responders. Proposal includes named partners within the sectors, and examples of collaboration with partners. 3 points: Clear demonstration of partnerships with both healthcare/housing providers or Law Enforcement and First Responders. Proposal includes named partners within the sectors, and examples of collaboration with partners. 0 points: Does not demonstrate ability to meet criteria. 1 bonus point for MOUs or other formal agreements with Healthcare and Housing	6	6	6	6
Service Approach and Methodology	Demonstrates a commitment to trauma-informed, person-centered approaches that maintain confidentiality and encourage wellbeing.	5 points: Applicant demonstrates excellence in their ability to utilize a trauma-informed and person-centered approach. Applicant provides policies, procedures and describes an agency culture that ensures the approaches will be used and improve client outcomes. 3 points: Applicant adequately addresses their ability to utilize a trauma-informed and person-centered approach. Applicant provides policies or procedures and describes an agency culture that ensures the approaches will be used and improve client outcomes. 0 points: Applicant does not meet and/or address their ability to utilize a trauma-informed and person-centered approach.	5	5	5	5
Returns to Homelessness	Strategy to support increased self-sufficiency of participants to reduce returns to homelessness.	10 points: Applicant provides strategy and data from similar program that demonstrates 3-5% return rate. 7 points: Applicant provides strategy data from similar program that demonstrates 6-10% return rate. 5 points: Applicant provides anecdotal evidence of prior ability to meet low returns, but does not provide data to support it. 0 points: Does not demonstrate ability or strategy to increase self sufficiency or participants to reduce returns to homelessness.	10	10	10	10

Employment Income Outcomes	Plan to achieve a gain of employment income for 50% of participants including examples and partnerships to support this goal.	10 points: Applicant provides exit data from similar program that demonstrates ability to meet 50% of participants who exit have employment income. 7 points: Provides exit data from similar program that demonstrates ability to meet 30% exit of participants who exit have employment income. 5 points: Provides anecdotal evidence of prior ability or plan to meet employment income measure but does not provide data to support it. 0 points: Does not demonstrate ability to meet criteria.	10	10	10	10
Exits to Permanent Housing	Clear plan to exit at least 50% of participants to permanent housing within 24 months.	10 points: Provides exit data from similar program that demonstrates ability to meet 50% exit to PH within 24 months. 7 points: Provides exit data from similar program that demonstrates ability to meet 30% exit to PH within 24 months. 5 points: Provides anecdotal evidence of prior ability or plan to meet exit criteria but does not provide data to support it. 0 points: Does not demonstrate ability to meet criteria.	10	10	10	10
HMIS & Data Management	Demonstrates previous experience or provides a detailed explanation of their knowledge and capacity to collect and manage Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) data. Has experience meeting reporting requirements for state, local, and/or federally funded programs, or demonstrates the ability to fulfill these requirements.	•5 points: Agency has experience and/or capacity to utilize HMIS and manage sensitive participant data. Agency has capacity to meet reporting requirements. •3 points: Agency has no experience, but has capacity to utilize HMIS and manage sensitive participant data. Agency has no capacity to meet reporting requirements. •0 points: Agency has no experience and no capacity to utilize HMIS and manage sensitive participant data. Agency has no capacity to meet reporting requirements.	5	5	5	5
Fiscal Management	Maintains a fiscal management system with checks and balances that adheres to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) to safeguard all awarded funds. If the applicant lacks fiscal management capabilities, they must identify a fiscal sponsor.	5 points: Applicant describes revenue, financial health, and financial management systems. Applicant has a fiscal management system which maintains checks and balances and follows Generally Accepted Accounting Principles to safeguard all funds that may be awarded under the terms of this funding opportunity. 3 points: If the applicant lacks fiscal management capabilities, a signed letter of agreement stating an appropriate fiscal sponsor is provided. 0 points: Applicant do not describe revenue, financial health, and financial management systems or does not have a system that maintains checks and balances and follows Generally Accepted Accounting Principles to safeguard all funds that may be awarded under the terms of this funding opportunity.	5	5	5	5
Budget Justification	Provides a clear explanation for each budget item and its intended use. Budget items are logical and cost-effective, meets 2 CFR 200.404 standards.	5 points: Budget is logical and cost effective with items meeting 2 CFR 200.404 standards. 0 points: Budget is not logical, does not meet the needs of the clients or program, or does not meet 2 CFR 200.404 standards. No partial points.	5	5	5	5
Match Requirement	Demonstrates the ability to meet the 25% match requirement for the funding.	5 points: Submitted budget includes eligible 25% match. 0 points: Submitted budget does not include a 25% match or match provided is not eligible.	5	5	5	5
Braided Funding	The extent to which the project demonstrates secured or committed external funding that leverages housing or behavioral health resources. Required Documentation (must include at least one of the following): Award letter(s) from recognized public funding sources such as: *Washington State Housing Finance Commission (WSHFC) *Public Housing Authority (PHA) *Office of Housing (OH) *Department of Community and Human Services (DCHS)	10 Points: Award letter(s) (WSHFC, PHA, OH, DCHS) or executed Move-On voucher agreement Funding is committed and aligned. 5 Points: Draft agreement, LOI, or pending award, Credible but not finalized funding 0 Points: No documentation or funding is uncommitted/unrelated	10	10	10	10

Geographic Diversity of Funds	The project has other fund sources that ensure services are provided throughout the county. Committed fund sources permit participant placements across multiple jurisdictions.	5 Points: Committed funding supports services countywide & enables placements across multiple jurisdictions 3 Points: Some committed funding, but limited geographic coverage. Cross-jurisdiction placements are partial or constrained 0 Points: No committed funding or restricted to a single jurisdiction.	5	5	5	5
Program Component Priority	Rapid Rehousing = 3 pts Transitional Housing = 2 pts Support Services Only = 2 pts	Full points based on component type.	3	2	3	2
Program Population Priority	100% of units/program dedicated/prioritizes Unsheltered Persons = 3pts 100% of units/program operates as "Recovery Based" = 3pt 100% of units serve Elders, seniors and/or disabled persons = 3pt 100% of units/program serves Families 100% of units/program serve Youth and Young Adults= 3pt 100% of units/program participants are fleeing Domestic Violence or Sex Trafficking = 3pt	Full points based on the core target populations the program serves	3	3	3	3
Supportive Service Participation	Evidence of required engagement per 24 CFR 578.75(h). Except that consistent with 24 CFR 5.2005(b)(1) assistance may not be denied on the basis or as a direct result of the fact that the participant is or has been a victim of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, if the participant otherwise qualifies for admission, assistance, participation, or occupancy.	2 points: Agency requires participants to engage in Supportive services and provides evidence of required engagement in alignment 24 CFR 578.75(h) based on attached agreement OR proposed program exclusively serves victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking. 1 point: Agency encourage participants to engage in Supportive services but does not require it.	2	2	2	2
Onsite Behavioral Health Treatment Supports	The project demonstrates clear evidence of onsite behavioral health treatment supports, including a letter of agreement with the partner or team providing such services.	•5 points: Applicant provides Letter or MOU demonstrate onsite behavioral health supports. •3 points: Applicant provides a plan for onsite behavioral health supports without formal agreement or is an outreach program with no onsite location. •No points: Project does not provide a letter or MOU demonstrating onsite behavioral health supports.	5	5	5	5
Coordination with Mainstream Resourcess	Demonstrates partnerships with SOAR, SSI, SNAPs, Medicaid/Medicare: MOUs, referrals, co-enrollment	5 points: Agency demonstrates partnerships or referral processes AND supplies letters, MOUs, or other formal agreements that demonstrate partnerships or referral processes with mainstream resources such as Medicaid, SNAP, SSI/SSDI 3 points: Demonstrates partnerships or referral processes with mainstream resources such as Medicaid, SNAP, SSI/SSDI, but no formal agreements. 0 points: Does not demonstrate partnerships or referral	5	5	5	5
Demonstration of inclusion of victim/survivor-centered practices	1. Partnerships and Collaboration Partnerships with Survivors and Stakeholders: Clearly describes how they will partner with survivors and/or other community stakeholders with lived experience of homelessness and violence, including details on the nature of these partnerships. 2. Customer Feedback and Power Sharing Inclusion of Customer Voice: Effectively communicates how they 'share power' and incorporate customer feedback into the planning, implementation, and evaluation of services. 3. Program Effectiveness Victim-Centered Practices: Clearly explains how the proposal addresses the housing and safety needs of survivors by adopting victim/survivor-centered practices such as Trauma-Informed Care, and Confidentiality. Adoption of Victim-Centered Practices: Demonstrates current adoption of victim-centered practices or provides a detailed plan for how they will integrate these practices.	5 points: Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria. 3 points: Adequately addresses the criteria. 0 points: Does not meet and/or address the criteria.			5	5

Demonstration of Commitment to Include Residents & Others w/ Lived Experience	1. Partnership and Community Involvement Engagement with Lived Experience: Clearly describes how they will partner with residents and community members who have lived experience of homelessness. This includes actively involving these individuals in planning, implementation, and evaluation processes. 2. Feedback and Power Sharing Incorporation of Customer Voice: Effectively communicates how they share power with clients and respond to customer feedback. This includes including the customer voice in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of services. Regular Feedback Mechanisms: Solicits qualitative and quantitative feedback more than once a year and provides clear examples of how practices have been adjusted based on this feedback. 3. Board Representation Diverse Board Composition: The board includes dedicated seats for individuals with lived and living experience of homelessness. Specifically, there is at least one seat filled by a person currently receiving services and one seat filled by someone with past lived experience.	5 points: Demonstrates excellence in all and/or most of the criteria. 3 points: Adequately addresses the criteria. 0 points: Does not meet and/or address the criteria.	5	5		
---	---	--	---	---	--	--